Evaporation from snow covers in Eastern Canada
Williams, G. P.

Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:

National Research Council Canada   Conseil national de recherches Canada

Canada

20274

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

ANALYZED

CANADA

EVAPORATION FROM SNOW COVERS IN
EASTERN CANADA

BY

G. P. WILLIAMS

RESEARCH PAPER NO. 73

OF THE

DIVISION OF BUILDING RESEARCH

OTTAWA

JANUARY 1959

NRC 5003

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF CANADA

DIVISION OF BUILDING RESEARCH

ANALYZED

EVAPORATION FROM SNOW COVERS IN EASTERN CANADA

by

G. P. Williams

Research Paper No. 73

of the

Division of Building Research

Ottawa

January 1959

PREFACE

        This paper was presented before the
Eastern Snow Conference at Worcester, Massachusetts,
13 and 14 February 1958. The Proceedings of
this Conference have not been published and the
Division is issuing this report as a research
paper in its series.

Ottawa   Robert F. Legget
January 1959   Director

EVAPORATION FROM SNOW COVERS IN EASTERN CANADA

by

G. P. Williams

Hydrologists charged with the responsibility of estimating the amount of runoff from melting snow have long been interested in estimating the amount of evaporation from a snow cover. Although there have been a number of investigations on snow evaporation, it has been difficult to find an analysis that gives an order-of-magnitude for evaporation from the comparatively shallow snow covers that are subject to the weather conditions typical of Eastern Canada. The purpose of this paper is to review the literature and experiments on evaporation from snow covers in other countries and to make a preliminary evaluation of some snow evaporation measurements taken at Ottawa during the 1956-57 season.

The theory of evaporation from snow covers will not be discussed in this report; Diamond has given a good analysis of the theory which would be of value to engineers (1). Sverdrup (2) developed an equation for predicting the rate of evaporation and melt from a snow cover which, from a theoretical viewpoint, is still a classic. Light (3) used Sverdrup's equation for heat and water flux to develop an equation for predicting theoretical rates of snow evaporation.

The basic theory of evaporation from a snow cover is the same as evaporation from a water surface. Good résumés of this theory, particularly the turbulent-exchange theory on which some evaporation formulas are based, are given by Thornthwaite (4) and E.R. Anderson and others (5).

The main problem in evaporation studies is to obtain reliable measurements under field conditions. Evaporation rates from open water, bare soil or grass have been estimated by measuring the rate of evaporation from some standard pan or tank and from these measurements obtaining a coefficient which could be used to estimate evaporation from the surface area in question (6, 7). Evaporation rates from snow covers have usually been measured by filling pans with snow and placing them into the snow cover so that the surface of the pan is flush with the snow surface. The pans are usually painted white or are made of plastic to minimize radiation effects. The rate of evaporation from the pan is assumed to be representative of that from the larger snow surface area.

de Quervain (8) found that radiation did affect the evaporation rate if the pans were too shallow. Pans also interfere with the possible upward flow of vapour through the snow cover and there is some question as to what effect this has on the rate of evaporation from the snow surface. It is also impossible to obtain reliable results from pans during periods of precipitation or blowing snow. Some field measurements of evaporation were made in the Fraser Experimental Forest using a volumetric method and the pan method (9). Because of difficulties of measurement they were unable to obtain good comparisons between the two methods. It is apparent that the use of pans to measure snow evaporation has several drawbacks which make it difficult to obtain continuous, reliable records. Nevertheless, their use appears to be the only way that the order-of-magnitude of snow evaporation rates can be ascertained.

Later in this report the pan evaporation measurements of several workers in different countries will be compared. The coefficients calculated from these studies for the various snow evaporation formulas will be compared with the evaporation coefficient calculated from the snow evaporation measurements taken at Ottawa during the 1956-57 winter.

Field Measurements at the Ottawa Site

Snow evaporation was measured at Ottawa by placing circular pans (4 cm deep with 355 sq cm area) filled with snow, flush with the snow surface and weighing the pans at different time intervals. The weight loss or gain during the time period was assumed to be due to evaporation or condensation from the snow surface during the period of observation. The light aluminum pans were painted white to reduce the absorption of radiation from the sun.

The observation site was in an exposed location near an area where standard meteorological observations are made. The standard meteorological records obtained at this station were used in all calculations.

There were many days when it was impossible to obtain reliable measurements because of weather conditions. Whenever there was blowing snow, precipitation, or melting snow, observations were not taken because of uncertainty in the measurements. Furthermore, during the 1956-57 winter, the duration of the snow cover was below normal in the Ottawa area and the opportunities for observation were limited.

Analysis of Results

For each day during which evaporation was measured, the evaporation rate was calculated from the following equation:

$$Q = 0.00011 \ p \ U_a \ (e - e_s) \tag{1}$$

Where Q = evaporation rate, $gm/cm^2/min$

p = mean density of air, gm/cm^3

U_a = mean wind speed, cm/sec
(at height a cm)

e = vapour pressure of air in Stevenson
screen, (millibars)

e_s = saturated vapour pressure over ice at
the mean temperature of the snow
surface, (millibars).

The form of this equation was developed from the more complicated Sverdrup equation (2) by assuming constant values for surface roughness and air pressure.

The mean wind speed was obtained by averaging the hourly wind speed values during the period of observation. The vapour pressure of the air was calculated from the mean relative humidity value recorded at 8.30 a.m. and at 4.30 p.m. The vapour pressure at the snow surface was calculated by assuming that the mean snow surface temperature is equal to the mean air temperature recorded in the Stevenson screen.

Figure 1 shows the relationship between the calculated evaporation rate and the measured evaporation rates at the Ottawa site. The measured evaporation rate is the average rate from the three evaporation pans. Table I lists the results used to calculate the values shown in Fig. 1.

Calculated Evaporation Rates during March

The evaporation observations listed in Table I were all made during the colder winter months. During the spring melt period, which occurred in March in 1957, no evaporation measurements were made. Whenever melting conditions occur, water is present with the snow in the pan and it is doubtful whether evaporation rates from this mixture are the same as evaporation rates from a wet snow surface where the free water can drain downward. The order-of-magnitude of evaporation rates during the spring melt period was estimated using equation 1 and the appropriate meteorological data. Whenever the snow was melting the vapour pressure was assumed to be equal to the saturated vapour pressure over ice at 32°F. Condensation was treated as negative evaporation.

The average calculated evaporation loss from the snow cover during the month of March for the years 1953-57 inclusive are plotted on Fig. 2. This total monthly evaporation loss was obtained by accumulating the calculated rates for a 10-hour day from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. Because of the uncertainty of relative humidity values during the night, the evaporation rates were not calculated for this period. It is generally assumed that evaporation losses will be less during the night when there is no heat supplied by radiation and when the relative humidity values are higher than during the daylight hours. Croft (10) found that evaporation losses from a snow cover during the daylight hours were three to four times as great as losses during the night period.

Thus Fig. 2 only indicates total evaporation losses during part of the day and is only intended to indicate that average losses from a snow cover are generally under one inch of water during a month of spring runoff, and are generally much less than the precipitation during that month.

Comparison of Evaporation Coefficients

The general form of equation 1 is the same as that used by other investigators of snow evaporation. Research workers at the Central Sierra Snow Laboratory (11) have used the following form: Q_e equals $K_e (e_a - e_s) V_b$; where Q_e is the amount of evaporation or condensation, e_a and e_s are the vapour pressure of the air and the saturated vapour pressure over ice at the mean snow surface temperature respectively, V_b is the wind speed, and K_e is a constant. In addition, they calculated a K_e' equal to $8.5 K_e (Z_a Z_b)^{1/6}$, where the subscripts a and b identify the levels of measurement of the wind speed and air vapour pressure respectively, and K_e' is the value of the coefficient when the wind speed and vapour pressure are measured one foot above the snow surface.

They have compared the evaporation or condensation constant K_e' for several workers as follows:

Central Sierra Snow Laboratory Snow Investigations	(1954)	0.0540
Sverdrup	(1936)	0.0674
de Quervain	(1951)	0.0770

By using the same units of vapour pressure in millibars, mean wind speed in miles per hour, evaporation or condensation in inches, and heights of measurement in feet, it was possible to calculate a K_e' from the Ottawa

measurements given in Table I. The mean K_e' thus obtained
was 0.046 which compares reasonably well with the 0.054
value obtained by the investigators at the Central Sierra
Snow Laboratory. The fact that these coefficients are in
the same general range strengthens the confidence in the use
of this form of equation to determine the order-of-magnitude
of snow evaporation rates.

Comparison of Measured Evaporation Rates

There have been a surprising number of measurements
of snow evaporation rates but, because of the difficulties
of measurement, most of the records are sporadic and all the
results must be qualified because of these difficulties.
Although it is difficult to compare evaporation rates because
of differences in sites and weather conditions, it was con-
sidered that if the reported mean monthly rates and reported
maximum daily rates were tabulated, a check on the order-of-
magnitude of evaporation losses would be obtained. In
addition, the references provide a useful bibliography on
snow evaporation. This tabulation of references and reported
evaporation rates are listed in Table II.

All the reported measurements appear to be within
the same general range. The mean monthly rates compare
favourably with the monthly rates calculated for the Ottawa
site.

Discussion of Results

It is reasonable to conclude that the order-of-magnitude
of snow evaporation from large snow covered areas can now
be determined using present techniques, but it is difficult
to determine the degree of accuracy of these calculated
evaporation losses. Kaitera's experiments indicate that
evaporation rate varies a great deal with exposure (19).
Evaporation losses from a snow-covered slope facing north
will be less than from a similar slope facing south under
comparable conditions. Evaporation losses from snow cover
in sheltered sites protected by forest cover will be much
less than evaporation from snow cover in exposed sites.
Evaporation losses must be calculated for representative
sites which are typical of conditions over the area in
question.

TABLE I

List of Data used to Calculate Values shown in Figure 2

Date	Wind Velocity U_a cm/sec	Vapour Pressure Difference $e - e_s$ mb	Density of air gm/cm^3 (x 10^{-3})	Calculated Evaporation Rate gm/cm^2/min (x 10^{-4})	* Measured Evaporation Rate gm/cm^2/min (x 10^{-4})
Dec. 6/56	134.1	1.08	1.30	0.208	0.15
Jan. 3/57	268.2	0.61	1.36	0.244	0.122
	246.9	0.61	1.36	0.225	0.147
Jan.17/57	219.5	0.21	1.40	0.071	0.02
Jan.18/57	179.8	0.32	1.38	0.089	0.08
	179.8	0.32	1.38	0.089	0.10
Jan.24/57	460.3	0.57	1.36	0.392	0.45
	460.3	0.57	1.36	0.392	0.46
Jan.28/57	268.2	1.36	1.33	0.540	0.45
Feb.11/57	292.6	0.95	1.35	0.415	0.53
Feb.12/57	499.9	0.57	1.36	0.43	0.56
Feb.19/57	524.3	1.50	1.32	1.14	0.95
Feb.20/57	597.4	1.18	1.33	1.03	1.14
Feb.22/57	170.7	1.61	1.32	0.40	0.47

* Average of 3 pans - 450 sq cm in area

TABLE II

Evaporation Rates from Snow during the Spring Melt
Period

Investigator	Maximum Daily (in. of water/day)
de Quervain (10)	0.032
Ångström (12)	0.051
Kehrlein (13)	0.050
Shults (14)	0.020
Rudovits (15)	0.019
	Mean Monthly (in. of water/month)
Guy (16)	0.31
Poliakov (17)	1.18
Chomicz (18)	1.15
Kaitera (19)	0.48
Fitzgerald (20)	0.60

Any formula used to calculate snow evaporation is limited by the basic accuracy with which the variables of wind speed and vapour pressure can be measured. The measurement of accurate vapour pressure differences by standard meteorological instruments leaves much to be desired, particularly at low temperatures. The measurement of wind speeds is not too difficult for one site, but it is questionable how representative such observations are of the average conditions required for the calculation of evaporation losses over a large area. Attempts to estimate evaporation rates from a large area must take into account these factors.

One condition not covered by any evaporation formula is the effect on evaporation when the wind is strong enough to disturb the snow surface. In exposed areas where the wind can move large quantities of snow, this factor might result in evaporation rates considerably higher than a general evaporation equation would indicate. This factor usually is not important when the snow cover is melting as wet snow is cohesive and is not generally moved even by strong winds.

The only time the order-of-magnitude of snow evaporation amounts might be significant compared to snow melt is when there is a shallow snow cover and the snow-melt period is extended over several weeks. In this case, the evaporation from small pools of water and from exposed saturated soil near the snow cover might be of importance. When the snow-melt period is short, such as during the Chinook conditions reported by Hoover (21), the evaporation from the snow should be much less than the snow melt. As Diamond (1) points out, the theory of evaporation indicates that in these cases a much larger amount of snow must be melted than evaporated.

The order-of-magnitude of snow evaporation amounts might be of significance from a heat balance point-of-view for certain special investigations. From a hydrological viewpoint, evaporation losses from a large watershed area are likely to be less than the errors in obtaining samples of the total water content of the snow cover. For this reason, and because of the great difficulties in obtaining representative measurements of snow evaporation rates, it appears that hydrologists will have to be satisfied with calculated evaporation rates which can give an indication of the order-of-magnitude of snow evaporation losses.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Diamond, M. Evaporation or melt of snow cover. U.S. Snow, Ice and Permafrost Research Establishment, Research Paper No. 6, November 1953.

2. Sverdrup, H.U. The eddy conductivity of the air over a smooth snow field. Geofysiske Publikasjoner, Vol. 11, No. 7, 1936, 69p.

3. Light, P. Analysis of high rates of snow melting. Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union, Pt. I, 1941, pp. 195-205.

4. Thornthwaite, C.W. and B. Holzman. Measurement of evaporation from land and water surfaces. U.S. Dept. Agric., Tech. Bulletin No. 817, May 1942, 143p.

5. Anderson, E.R. and others. A review of evaporation theory and development of instrumentation. U.S. Navy Electronic Lab., San Diego, California, Aero U.S. Navy N.E.L. Report, 1950.

6. Kohler, M., J.J. Nordenson and W.E. Fox. Evaporation from pans and lakes. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Research Paper No. 38, May 1955.

7. Young, Arthur A. Evaporation investigations in Southern California. Soil Conservation Service, Div. of Irrigation, Pomona, Calif. April 1945.

8. de Quervain, M. Evaporation from the snowpack. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, South Pacific Division, Research Note No. 8, Translation. October 16, 1952. 22p.

9. Report of the Committee on Evaporation and Transpiration, 1945-46. American Geophysical Union. Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union, Vol. 27, October 1946, pp.720-725.

10. Croft, A.R. Evaporation from snow. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, Vol. 25, 1944, pp. 334-337.

11. Snow hydrology. Summary report of the snow investigations. North Pacific Division, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Portland, Oregon, June 30, 1956. 437p.

12. Ångström, Anders. Measurements of evaporation in Sweden. Union Géodésique Géophys. Intern. Assoc. Hydrologie Sci. Commission de Potamologie, 1, Quest. 1, Rapport 6, Washington D.C. September 1939, 5p.

13. Kehrlein, Oliver and others. High Sierra snow ablation observations. Proc. 21st Western Snow Conference 1953, September 1953, pp. 47-50.

14. Shults, V.L. Study of the heat balance of a snow field. (Opyt izucheniia teplovogo balansa snezhnika; Text in Russian). Biulleten' Akademii Nauk SSSR (Tashkent). No. 11, 1946, pp. 13-16.

15. Rudovits, L. Snow evaporation. (Isparenie snega; Text in Russian). Meteorologicheskii Vestnik, 34, 1924, pp.50-52.

16. Guy, L.T. Snow studies on Bogong High Plains by the State Electricity Commission of Victoria, Australia, International Union Geodesy and Geophysics, International Association of Scientific Hydrology, Edinburgh, September 1936.

17. Poliakov, B.V. Total evaporation. (Summarnoe isparenie; Text in Russian). Gidrologicheskii Analiz i Raschety, by B.V. Poliakov, Leningrad, Meteoizdat, 1946, pp.126-145.

18. Chomicz, K. The importance of snow-cover studies for water management. (O przystosowanie badań nad pokrywa śnieżna do potrzeb gospodarki wodnej; Text in Polish). Gospodarka Wodna, 13, March 1953, pp.108-111.

19. Kaitera, P. The evaporation from snow. International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics, International Association of Scientific Hydrology, Washington, D.C. September 1939.

20. Fitzgerald, D. Evaporation. Trans. Am. Soc. Civil Engrs. 15, 1886, pp. 581-646.

21. Hoover, O.H. Effects of Chinook (Foehn) winds on snow cover and runoff. Int. Union Géodésique Géophys., Intern. Assoc. Hydrologie Sci. Assemblée Générale d'Oslo 19-28 août 1948, v. 2, pp. 86-100

FIGURE I

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CALCULATED
EVAPORATION RATE AND MEASURED
EVAPORATION RATE. OTTAWA 1956-57.

FIGURE 2

CALCULATED EVAPORATION RATES FROM
SNOW - COVER. OTTAWA.