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Ten mode shapes, natural frequencies, and modal damping values have been measured for a steel-joist concrete-slab floor spanning 32.1 m. From ambient vibrations and steady-state shaker tests the frequency of the fundamental mode was determined to be 3.5 Hz, and the modal damping ratio to be approximately 1% of critical. A comparison of vibration criteria in Appendix G of CAN3-S16.1-M84 confirms satisfactory performance for walking, but for other rhythmic exercises disturbing vibrations developed. These occurred primarily at the forcing frequency of the exercises and not at floor resonance frequencies. Values of dynamic load factors, \( \alpha \), for rhythmic loadings of this floor were evaluated in accordance with the guidelines on floor vibrations in the Commentary to the National Building Code of Canada 1985.
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Dix modes de vibration, les fréquences naturelles et les valeurs des amortissements correspondants ont été mesurés dans le cas d’une dalle de béton reposant sur des poutres à âme ajourée de 32,1 m de portée. À partir de la vibration occasionnée par un usage normal et d’essais de vibration continue, la fréquence du premier mode fut trouvée égale à 3,5 Hz et le degré d’amortissement correspondant égal approximativement à 1% de l’amortissement critique. La comparaison au critère de vibration de l’appendice G de la norme CAN3-S16.1-M84 montre que ce critère est satisfaisant dans le cas de vibration occasionnée par la marche mais ne tient pas compte de vibrations additionnelles dans le cas d’exercices rythmiques. Ces vibrations additionnelles apparaissent principalement à la fréquence forcée des exercices et non à la fréquence de résonance du plancher. Pour le plancher analysé, les coefficients dynamiques, \( \alpha \), furent évalués pour une charge rythmée en accord avec les directives concernant les vibrations des planchers décrites dans les commentaires du Code National du Bâtiment du Canada de 1985.

Mots clés: planchers, gymnases, essais de vibration, fréquences de résonance, modes de vibration, charges dynamiques, amplification dynamique.

[Traduit par la revue]

Introduction

Strong materials and efficient structural configurations have resulted in wider use of long-span floor systems. Unfortunately, their low mass and generally low inherent damping have made them more prone to dynamic excitation than previous, heavier types of construction. For many floor systems it is therefore necessary to consider dynamic loadings as a design condition in order to derive satisfactory performance for an intended occupancy.

Design criteria for long-span floors are available for walking vibrations (Allen and Rainer 1976; Canadian Standards Association 1984) and for coordinated activities (Allen et al. 1985; Supplement to the National Building Code of Canada 1985). There is, however, an ongoing need for evaluation of these criteria and for possible improvements. As well, basic parameters required in the design and analysis of floors subjected to dynamic loads need to be defined and verified. These include values for natural frequencies, mode shapes, and damping characteristics, as well as properties of the loading functions.

This paper evaluates the results of field measurements of the dynamic behaviour of a suspended gymnasium floor according to two parts: (1) dynamic properties of the floor, and (2) behaviour of the floor under dynamic loads.

NOTE: Written discussion of this paper is welcomed and will be received by the Editor until September 30, 1986 (address inside front cover).

Description of floor

The suspended gymnasium floor consists of 2.08 m deep open-web joists spanning 32.10 m, centred at 2.44 m and carrying a double concrete slab. A 127 mm concrete slab on 38 mm steel decking is covered by a layer of 51 mm beadboard thermal insulation topped by another concrete slab 114 mm thick. A cross section is shown in Fig. 1a and a plan view of the layout, in Fig. 1b. Further structural details of the joist-and-slab construction are available (Swallow 1984). The floor
carries a portion of the running track, as shown in Fig. 1b, and two tennis courts located side by side. The tennis courts can be separated from each other and from the track by means of movable plastic curtains. The exercise area occupies roughly one-half the span in the centre area of the floor.

The floor was designed according to the criteria contained in Appendix C of CAN3-S16.1 (Canadian Standards Association 1984) for walking vibrations and performs well under this type of excitation. When coordinated activities were performed on the floor, however, the resulting vibrations were disturbing. Investigation of the nature and underlying causes of complaint revealed that the objectionable vibrations arose from a forced vibration resulting from rhythmic activities at a dominant frequency below the fundamental frequency of the floor.

Measurements of floor properties

Natural frequencies and mode shapes and modal damping were investigated. The test procedure included an evaluation of tuned mass dampers, but their detailed vibration behaviour is not reported here. Two methods were used: steady-state shaker excitation and an ambient vibration survey. The steady-state resonance search was conducted using two "Electroseis" electrodynamic shakers with maximum rated force output of 133 N and a maximum stroke of 16.5 cm. After a preliminary frequency sweep had located the modal frequencies, sinusoids separated in frequency by small increments were applied to the shaker and the detailed steady-state response curve was determined for each mode. At each resonance frequency the mode shape was mapped by moving an accelerometer to various grid points on the floor and recording the amplitude and phase of the response relative to a stationary accelerometer. The two shakers were positioned as shown in Fig. 1b, and were driven in-phase for modes 1 and 3 and 180° out-of-phase for modes 2 and 4. After each determination of mode shape the shaker was stopped abruptly and the vibration decay of the floor was recorded for calculation of the damping ratio.

For ambient vibrations five servo-accelerometers with a sensitivity of 5 V/g were employed. The signals were low pass-filtered at 25 Hz, amplified by 20 dB, and recorded on a 7-channel "Racal" FM tape recorder. The transducer stations are shown in Fig. 1b by solid circles. Five transducers were placed at adjacent positions, starting at number 7, and successive setups employed one overlapping station for continuity and scaling purposes. Each recording session lasted about an hour. Only locations 7–22 could be monitored because the track was in use; activities there and on the easterly tennis court provided much of the ambient excitation for the floor. One other setup was employed, shown by circles at quarter-span in line with locations 19 and 11, for purposes of identifying points of inflection that might occur at the centre line and for possible scaling of other transducer setups.

For analysis of vibration signals a Hewlett-Packard 5423A structural dynamic analyser was used to compute spectra and phase among the various stations.

Results

Mode shapes and natural frequencies

The first four mode shapes and frequencies of the floor obtained from the shaker tests are shown in Fig. 2. Comparable results for the first 10 modes determined from ambient vibrations are shown in Fig. 3, arranged in ascending order of frequency. The circled numbers represent data from recording sessions with the tuned mass dampers in place; the relevant measured frequencies are given under run No. 2. The dampers
FIG. 2. Measured mode shapes and natural frequencies for bare floor from steady-state shaker tests: (a) first mode, at 3.54 Hz; (b) second mode, at 3.65 Hz; (c) third mode, at 3.82 Hz; (d) fourth mode, at 4.19 Hz.

were difficult to tune, but because of time constraints they could not be removed for all tests. As a result there was some uncertainty as to which mode (if any) they would affect. To investigate this, measurements performed initially without the tuned mass dampers were analysed. They are indicated in Fig. 3 by squares, with the applicable natural frequencies given on the left side of the mode shapes under run No. 1.

The identified modes follow a regular pattern of increasing number of nodes with increasing frequency. Mode 2 could not be identified readily from the ambient vibration survey because it was weakly excited and its frequency is too close to that of adjacent modes. The agreement of frequency and mode shape for the data points before and after installation of the tuned mass damper is generally good. The largest deviation in frequency is for mode 10, amounting to approximately 2%. Small variations in natural frequency were observed for successive setups, as shown in Fig. 3, possibly caused by changes in loading. As data points towards the edges of the floor were not available, they were extrapolated on the basis of judgment and previous experience, as indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. 3. Agreement between the steady-state shaker method and the ambient vibration method was excellent, and the natural frequencies from the various methods (Table 1) compare favourably.

The results for the quarter-span monitoring stations (Fig. 1b) of the ambient vibration survey indicate no inflection points along the length of the joists up to a frequency of 9 Hz; thereafter, a succession of densely spaced modes with inflection points at the centre span commenced.

Modal damping

In the steady-state shaker tests the damping ratio, $\beta$, was evaluated by means of the half-power bandwidth method for the resonance curves shown in Fig. 4:

$$\beta_n = \frac{f_2 - f_1}{f_2 + f_1} = \frac{f_2 - f_1}{2f_n}$$

where $f_1$ and $f_2$ are upper and lower frequencies corresponding to half-peak power and $f_n$ is the resonance frequency of mode $n$.

In the other method of evaluating damping from the shaker tests the rate of decay from the steady-state resonance test was monitored in each mode. Here,

$$\beta_s = \frac{1}{2\pi m} \log_{10} \frac{y_0}{y_m}$$

where $y_0$ and $y_m$ are the peak amplitudes separated by $m$ cycles of vibration decay. A sample decay curve for both linear and logarithmic scales is shown in Fig. 5. The logarithmic plot is useful for obtaining a straight-line fit and thereby for determining whether any deviation occurs from the commonly assumed velocity-dependent damping model for the structure being tested. All results for this floor showed a good straight-line fit.

With ambient vibrations, damping can be evaluated from the half-power bandwidth of the power spectrum (or the width at 0.707 times the peak amplitude of the Fourier amplitude spectrum) according to [1], or from a single-degree-of-freedom curve fitting procedure that is part of the spectrum analyser.
The results obtained by means of the various methods are presented in Table 1.

It may be observed that for modes 1, 3, and 4 the damping ratios from the steady-state shaker tests are somewhat smaller than for those from either the rundown curves or the ambient vibrations. The latter gives the highest value of damping for mode 1, possibly because people act as dampers at various times or because there are small variations in natural frequency owing to the added mass of the people.

Response to heel impact
Calculations of floor response to simulated heel impact, as presented in CSA S16.1-1984 as part of the criterion for walking vibrations, give the following:

\[ f_0 = 156 \sqrt{E I_w / L^2} = 3.33 \text{ Hz} \]

where \( E \) = modulus of elasticity of steel = 200 000 MPa, \( I_w \) = moment of inertia of transformed section = 3.99 \( \times 10^{10} \) mm⁴, and \( w \) = dead weight per unit length of joist = 14.9 N/mm.

Initial peak acceleration
\[ a_0 = \frac{70 000 f_0}{L (t_c) (t_c + 25)} = 0.00128 \text{ g} \]

where \( L \) = span length in m = 32.10 m, \( t_c \) = effective concrete thickness in mm = 222 mm, and \( g \) = acceleration due to gravity. Thus, the calculated initial peak acceleration, \( a_0 \), is 0.128% g. A comparison with the criterion for walking vibrations in CSA S16.1-1984 shows that this floor is well within the satisfactory range.

The measured value of the fundamental natural frequency was 3.5 Hz, which is in good agreement with the calculated value of 3.33 Hz. For the measured initial acceleration, \( a_0 \), of the heel impact, a sharp peak was present in the unfiltered signal. This is thought to originate with the localized response of the top layer of concrete. The displacement signal, however, gave a reasonably regular decay curve, which was used to obtain initial peak displacement. Multiplication by \((2\pi f_0)^2\) then gave an approximation of the initial acceleration corresponding to the fundamental mode, \( f_0 \).

From an average of five tests the measured values of \( a_0 \) were 0.144% g for a person weighing 75 kg and 0.197% g for one
Table 1. Comparison of frequencies and damping ratios obtained by various methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Peak steady-state frequency of response curves (Hz)</th>
<th>Spectrum peaks of ambient survey (Hz)</th>
<th>Half-power bandwidth of frequency response curves (Hz)</th>
<th>SDF fit of ambient survey (Hewlett Packard Company 1979)</th>
<th>Vibration decay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(4.62)</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(5.15)</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(5.75)</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(6.43)</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (1) All ambient results were determined by the “cursor band method” of the H.P. 5423A analyser. Multiple entries for some modes denote independent determinations. (2) Ambient resonance frequencies differ slightly from those presented in Fig. 3 since there the resonance frequencies were determined from the “spectrum peak cursor method.” (3) Natural frequencies in parentheses were identified by matching peaks of frequency response curves with spectrum peaks of ambient vibrations, but mode shapes were not determined.

Table 2. Response of floor to exercise class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Lowest dominant response frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Other major response frequencies (Hz)</th>
<th>Peak acceleration at location 16 (% g)</th>
<th>Peak dynamic displacement at location 16 (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Skipping on spot</td>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>4.250, 6.375</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jumping on alternate feet</td>
<td>2.156</td>
<td>4.312</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jumping with arm movement</td>
<td>2.141</td>
<td>4.281, 6.439</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Jumping with raising of alternate knees</td>
<td>2.656</td>
<td>3.987</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rushing from edge to centre</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Jumping and raising of alternate feet</td>
<td>2.283</td>
<td>3.438, 4.563, (3.038, 3.813, 6.854)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Running counterclockwise round tennis court</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>5.043</td>
<td>(7.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>On-spot jumping, both feet, hands up and down</td>
<td>2.315</td>
<td>3.473, 4.636</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Jumping and twisting of body and stretching of arms</td>
<td>2.325</td>
<td>3.50, 4.656</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Quick step</td>
<td>3.031</td>
<td>(6.05)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Concentric inward and outward movement of participants</td>
<td>3.043</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>“Twist” dance step</td>
<td>2.870</td>
<td>(5.740)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (1) Dominant peaks in the Fourier amplitude spectrum of the acceleration signal are underlined. Feeble peaks are presented in parentheses. (2) An average of 64 persons participated, about evenly distributed over the tennis court within the exercise area indicated in Fig. 1b.

Response of floor to coordinated activity

When a structure is subjected to dynamic loading, it responds according to (1) the frequency content and amplitude of the load and (2) the dynamic properties of the structure, i.e., natural frequencies and mode shapes plus damping properties (Clough and Penzien 1975). While the dynamic properties of structures are generally available from either measurements or calculations, the characteristics of the loading function are relatively poorly defined.

To obtain a better understanding of the response of a floor to dynamic loadings, two exercise (or dancercise) classes were scheduled and the resulting response was measured. Only the portion designated “exercise area” in Fig. 1b was occupied and the vibrations were measured at two points on the centre line of the joist span. Representative samples of Fourier amplitude

weighing 87 kg, both values being larger than the calculated one of 0.128% g. One reason for this discrepancy could be that the displacement record still contains some high-frequency component in the initial peak response and therefore gives higher values of $a_0$ than would be obtained if only the response of the fundamental mode were present.
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When a structure is subjected to dynamic loading, it responds according to (1) the frequency content and amplitude of the load and (2) the dynamic properties of the structure, i.e., natural frequencies and mode shapes plus damping properties (Clough and Penzien 1975). While the dynamic properties of structures are generally available from either measurements or calculations, the characteristics of the loading function are relatively poorly defined.

To obtain a better understanding of the response of a floor to dynamic loadings, two exercise (or dancercise) classes were scheduled and the resulting response was measured. Only the portion designated “exercise area” in Fig. 1b was occupied and the vibrations were measured at two points on the centre line of the joist span. Representative samples of Fourier amplitude

weighing 87 kg, both values being larger than the calculated one of 0.128% g. One reason for this discrepancy could be that the displacement record still contains some high-frequency component in the initial peak response and therefore gives higher values of $a_0$ than would be obtained if only the response of the fundamental mode were present.
spectra and acceleration time records of the floor vibrations are presented in Figs. 6–8. Additional results are available (Swallow 1984; Rainer 1984). Table 2 is a summary of the values for one exercise class; the lowest significant spectrum peak is noted and the largest frequency component underlined. Also shown are maximum accelerations and displacements for each record analysed. Table 3 shows corresponding average maximum accelerations at the forcing frequency, \( f \), for two floor locations.

It may be seen by comparing the columns for peak acceleration at location 16 in Tables 2 and 3 that the acceleration response amplitudes at the lowest dominant forcing frequency are smaller than the response amplitude of the total signal in Table 2 and Fig. 6 by factors varying from 1.4 to 4.2. This is because a major portion of the acceleration signal consists of higher harmonics of the lowest dominant excitation frequency, as illustrated in the spectrum in Fig. 7. For displacements at location 16, presented in Table 2 but not explicitly in Table 3, the components that correspond to the lowest dominant forcing frequency are smaller than the total displacement signal by factors of 1.05–1.6. These increases are smaller than those for acceleration because the displacement for a sinusoidal response is proportional to the inverse of the frequency squared.

A significant acceleration response also occurs at 1.5 times the lowest dominant excitation frequency, \( f \), as shown in the spectra in Figs. 7 and 8. This points to the presence of a subharmonic forcing frequency at one-half the lowest dominant frequency, \( f \). Indeed, a careful examination of the Fourier spectra in Fig. 8 and similar spectra for other exercises shows a very small component at frequency \( f/2 \). The major responses are seen to be at harmonics of 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 times the subharmonic frequency \( f/2 \).

The explanation for the presence of this subharmonic frequency component is as follows. The dominant lowest-
frequency component corresponds to the “beat of the music,” and derives from an impact produced by alternate feet; each foot strikes the floor “on the music beat” with frequency $f$. Thus, if one or the other foot is emphasized consistently in every second forcing pulse differs naturally from the other, for example, skipping or jumping with feet placed alternately apart and together.

The largest response in acceleration and displacement was obtained from exercise 11, where two components at closely spaced frequencies formed a beat. The nonresonant component of 3.04 Hz and the near-resonant portion of mode 1 at 3.44 Hz each contributed about half the total response. The Fourier amplitude spectrum peaks for the exercise class, locations 12 and 16 in Table 3, show only slightly different amplitudes for the lowest excitation frequency, but often give significant amplitude variations for frequency peaks of higher harmonics. This could be due to spatial variations in the excitation characteristics or to a difference in the modal response of the floor.

### Evaluation of dynamic load factors

A method of determining the dynamic response of floors to coordinated dynamic loading has been presented (Allen et al. 1985; Supplement to the National Building Code of Canada 1985) in which the dynamic loading function is described as a summation of the Fourier components of various harmonics:

$$ F(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n w_p \sin (2\pi nf_t + \phi_n) $$

where $n$ refers to the $n$th harmonic, $\phi$ is the phase angle, and other terms are defined below. For the fundamental component, i.e., $n = 1$,

$$ F(t) = \alpha_1 w_p \sin 2\pi ft $$

where $f$ is the frequency of excitation, $w_p$ = weight of people per unit area, and $\alpha_i$ is the dynamic load factor for the lowest dominant harmonic of the loading pulse. Knowing the dynamic properties of the floor and the loading conditions permits an evaluation of the dynamic load factor $\alpha_i$ (Allen et al. 1985),

$$ \alpha_i = 0.77 \frac{w_p f_i^2}{\frac{g}{a_i} \left(1 - \left(\frac{f_i}{f_0}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2Bf_0}{f}\right)^2 \right) \frac{w_p f_0^2}{g}} $$

where $a_i$ = peak acceleration, $g$ = acceleration due to gravity, $w_i$ = total weight of floor per unit area = dead load + $w_p$, $f_0$ = fundamental natural frequency of floor, $f$ = dominant lowest-frequency component of dynamic loading, and $\beta$ = damping ratio, fraction of critical.

An adjustment in the weight of people per unit area, $w_p$, had to be made to account for partial loading of the floor span. The peak acceleration, $a_{\text{m}}$, was obtained from the amplitude of spectrum peaks and therefore represents an average value over a 32 s duration. Values of the dynamic load factor, $\alpha_i$, thus obtained are given in Table 3. The results show that $\alpha_i$ varies from about 0.7 to 1.6 for the fundamental component. Higher-order components of the dynamic load factor cannot be evaluated reliably from this example because their frequencies generally coincide with or are close to those of the higher modes of the floor. The calculations from [7] then become very sensitive to small variations in the relevant parameters. This is illustrated by the second peak in the spectra in Fig. 7, where the floor responds significantly at the fundamental mode at $3f/2$ as a result of a higher harmonic of the jumping excitation.

### Discussion

The results obtained for the dynamic properties of a gymnasium floor demonstrate that mode shapes can be successfully determined from existing ambient vibrations. Although similar results can also be obtained from sinusoidal shaker tests, the latter require sufficient shaker force and low levels of background vibration. That is, all activities on and near the floor have to cease. For the ambient vibration method, however, these activities can continue provided they are not too dominant at any one frequency.

The response of the floor to the exercise classes has shown that disturbing vibrations are primarily the forced response at a frequency lower than the fundamental frequency of the floor. This means that remedial measures effective in reducing vibrations at natural frequencies would probably be ineffective in this case. For example, increased damping or a damper tuned to any of the natural frequencies would not effect any noticeable reduction in the amplitude of floor response that corresponds to the dominant excitation frequency.

The behaviour of this gymnasium floor also shows that although the criteria for walking vibrations are satisfied, excessive vibration response can still occur under coordinated rhythmic activities such as physical exercise or jumping to music (Allen et al. 1985; Supplement to the National Building Code 1985).

### Summary and conclusions

Steady-state shaker tests to determine mode shapes of a floor have proved to be efficient in isolating the four lowest modes and their damping values. Sufficient shaker force is required, however, to excite the floor to a reasonable level, and the background vibrations must be kept to a minimum.

The ambient vibration method was successful in identifying 10 successive modes ranging in natural frequency from 3.5 to 4.1 Hz.
8.0 Hz, although mode 2 was too feeble for a satisfactory
definition. Where the common reference transducer locations
in successive setups coincide with small modal amplitudes poor
amplitude scaling was obtained. For this reason, an additional
transducer setup differing from those used previously is needed
to establish proper scaling. There is good agreement in natural
frequencies and mode shapes between steady-state shaker
results and those from ambient vibrations.

The vibration decay curves of single-frequency mode excita-
tion yielded damping values for modes 1, 3, and 4 of 1.35,
0.62, and 0.88% of critical, respectively. For modes 3 and 4,
damping values calculated from ambient vibrations agreed well
with those obtained from vibration decay curves, while for
mode 1 somewhat larger damping values of 2.0–2.4% were
obtained. The modal damping ratios from steady-state shaker
tests were in all cases smaller than those from ambient vibra-
tions or the decay method.

The calculated and measured fundamental frequencies show
good agreement at 3.33 and 3.5 Hz, respectively. The mea-
sured initial peak accelerations of 0.144 and 0.197% g due to
heel impact are higher than that calculated as 0.128% g; this
is probably due to high-frequency components in the record.
For walking vibrations the floor falls well within the satis-
factory range.

The results for the exercise class showed that the maximum
response often occurred at the driving frequency, not at the
resonant frequency of the floor. This is in contrast with many
observations of floor vibrations in which modal response
governed. It is clear, therefore, that simple avoidance of reso-
nance does not preclude problems of perceptible or annoying
vibration.

The lowest dominant excitation frequencies varied between
2 and 3.2 Hz. The floor acceleration response occurred not only
at that frequency but also at higher harmonics, with resulting
acceleration amplitudes up to 4.2 times larger than those for the
lowest dominant excitation frequency. On the other hand, and
as would be expected, displacements were less affected by
these higher harmonics, contributing from 5 to 60% more than
the displacements at the lowest forcing frequency.

An examination of the Fourier spectra of floor response
shows that the lowest-frequency component of the forcing
function is generally at one-half the frequency of the "music
beat." Although the amplitude of this component is very
small, the response produced by the harmonics can be com-
parable to the response at the music beat when the harmonic
coincides with or is close to the frequency of the lowest mode
of the floor. The dynamic load factor, $a_d$, for the lowest dom-
inant frequency component of the rhythmic exercise activities
varied from 0.7 to 1.6.
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