



NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

In vitro prediction of digestible protein content of marine microalgae (*Nannochloropsis granulata*) meals for Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Tibbetts, Sean M.; Yasumaru, Fanny; Lemos, Daniel

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. / La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

For the publisher's version, please access the DOI link below. / Pour consulter la version de l'éditeur, utilisez le lien DOI ci-dessous.

Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.11.010>

Algal Research, 21, January, 2016-11-17

NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=c3499227-7e3f-488f-8aeb-08e1c8bd6136>

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=c3499227-7e3f-488f-8aeb-08e1c8bd6136>

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright>

READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits>

LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

Questions? Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at

PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

Vous avez des questions? Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.



Manuscript Number: ALGAL-D-16-00503

Title: Prediction of digestible protein contents of marine microalgae (*Nannochloropsis granulata*) meals for Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) using 'species-specific' in vitro pH-Stat protein hydrolysis.

Article Type: Full Length Article

Section/Category: Algal Coproducts and Applications

Keywords: Microalgae; *Nannochloropsis*; Protein digestibility, Degree of hydrolysis, Fish, Shrimp

Corresponding Author: Dr. Sean M. Tibbetts, PhD

Corresponding Author's Institution: National Research Council of Canada

First Author: Sean M. Tibbetts, PhD

Order of Authors: Sean M. Tibbetts, PhD; Fanny Yasumaru, PhD; Daniel Lemos, PhD

Abstract: Digestible protein (DP) contents of novel feed ingredients are required for test diet formulation and commercial feed production. Species-specific in vitro pH-Stat protein hydrolysis was used to predict the DP contents of three algal meals produced from a common lot of the marine eustigmatophyte microalga, *Nannochloropsis granulata*, for juvenile Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Base freeze-dried *N. granulata* meal and meals processed using supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) with CO₂ at 70 and 90°C had highly similar nutritional compositions with regard to their contents of moisture (3-4%), ash (7-8%), crude protein (N×4.78; 33-34%), crude lipid (25-28%), total carbohydrate (14-16%) and gross energy (22-23 MJ kg⁻¹). Predominant essential amino acids included leucine (3.1-3.2%), arginine (2.5%), lysine (2.0-2.3%) and valine (2.1-2.2%). Protein degree of hydrolysis (DH) and predicted protein apparent digestibility coefficients (ADCs) for Pacific white shrimp were statistically similar for all meals with average DH of 3.56% (P=0.351) and predicted ADC of 83.4% (P=0.366). Alternatively, meals processed at 70 and 90°C showed significantly (P<0.001) higher DH and predicted ADC than the untreated base material for rainbow trout with average DH of 5.05% and predicted ADC of 88.0%, compared to 3.23% and 81.6%, respectively. Predicted protein ADC was high (>82%) for all *N. granulata* meals for both Pacific white shrimp (83-84%) and rainbow trout (82-88%) and therefore indicates very good potential for use in fish and shrimp diets. Based on our results, we suggest DP values for similar *N. granulata* meals of 28% (as-fed basis) or 30% (dry matter basis) for juvenile Pacific white shrimp and rainbow trout.

Suggested Reviewers: Fernando García-Carreño PhD
Center for Biological Research of the Northwest
fgarcia@cibnor.mx

Dr. García-Carreño is an expert in the digestive physiology of farmed crustaceans and has published on the topic of in vitro protein digestibility of novel dietary ingredients for shrimp feeds.

Ranilson Bezerra PhD
Federal University of Pernambuco
ransoub@uil.com.br

Dr. Ranilson is an expert in the digestive physiology of farmed finfish and the use of extracted gastric and pancreatic digestive enzymes to predict the nutritional value of conventional and novel ingredients for aquaculture.

Pallab Sarker PhD
Dartmouth College
pallab.k.sarker@dartmouth.edu

Dr. Sarker is an applied fish nutritionist with an extensive background in the area of improving the environmental sustainability of fish and crustacean aquaculture through the development and evaluation of novel dietary ingredients (such as algae) from more sustainable sources than conventional ingredients such as fish meal.

Opposed Reviewers:

J.A. Olivares, PhD
Editor-in-Chief, Algal Research

Dear Dr. Olivares,

On behalf of my co-authors, please find enclosed our manuscript entitled "Prediction of digestible protein contents of marine microalgae (*Nannochloropsis granulata*) meals for Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) using 'species-specific' *in vitro* pH-Stat protein hydrolysis". We confirm that this work has not been nor will be submitted elsewhere during its review process and we thank-you for your consideration of its publication in Algal Research.

All the best,

Sean M. Tibbetts, PhD

1 Prediction of digestible protein contents of marine microalgae (*Nannochloropsis granulata*) meals for
2 Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) using ‘species-
3 specific’ *in vitro* pH-Stat protein hydrolysis.

4

5 Sean M. Tibbetts^a, Fanny Yasumaru^b, Daniel Lemos^b

6

7 ^aNational Research Council of Canada, Aquatic and Crop Resource Development, 1411 Oxford Street,
8 Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 3Z1, Canada

9

10 ^bAquaculture Laboratory (LAM), Instituto Oceanográfico, University of São Paulo, Praça do
11 Oceanográfico, 191, Butantã, São Paulo, SP 05508-120, Brazil

12

13

14

15

16 Correspondence: Sean M. Tibbetts
17 National Research Council of Canada
18 Aquatic and Crop Resource Development
19 1411 Oxford Street, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, B3H 3Z1
20 Phone: + 1 902 868 3005
21 Fax: + 1 902 868 2015
22 E-mail: Sean.Tibbetts@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca

23

24 **Abstract**

25 Digestible protein (DP) contents of novel feed ingredients are required for test diet formulation and
26 commercial feed production. Species-specific *in vitro* pH-Stat protein hydrolysis was used to predict the
27 DP contents of three algal meals produced from a common lot of the marine eustigmatophyte microalga,
28 *Nannochloropsis granulata*, for juvenile Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and rainbow trout
29 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Base freeze-dried *N. granulata* meal and meals processed using supercritical fluid
30 extraction (SFE) with CO₂ at 70 and 90°C had highly similar nutritional compositions with regard to their
31 contents of moisture (3-4%), ash (7-8%), crude protein (N×4.78; 33-34%), crude lipid (25-28%), total
32 carbohydrate (14-16%) and gross energy (22-23 MJ kg⁻¹). Predominant essential amino acids included
33 leucine (3.1-3.2%), arginine (2.5%), lysine (2.0-2.3%) and valine (2.1-2.2%). Protein degree of hydrolysis
34 (DH) and predicted protein apparent digestibility coefficients (ADCs) for Pacific white shrimp were
35 statistically similar for all meals with average DH of 3.56% (P=0.351) and predicted ADC of 83.4%
36 (P=0.366). Alternatively, meals processed at 70 and 90°C showed significantly (P<0.001) higher DH and
37 predicted ADC than the untreated base material for rainbow trout with average DH of 5.05% and predicted
38 ADC of 88.0%, compared to 3.23% and 81.6%, respectively. Predicted protein ADC was high (>82%) for
39 all *N. granulata* meals for both Pacific white shrimp (83-84%) and rainbow trout (82-88%) and therefore
40 indicates very good potential for use in fish and shrimp diets. Based on our results, we suggest DP values
41 for similar *N. granulata* meals of 28% (*as-fed* basis) or 30% (dry matter basis) for juvenile Pacific white
42 shrimp and rainbow trout.

43

44 **Keywords:** Microalgae; *Nannochloropsis*; Protein digestibility, Degree of hydrolysis, Fish, Shrimp

45 **1. Introduction**

46 Aquaculture is the fastest growing food production sector globally with a production of 101 million
47 tonnes annually worth \$166 billion USD. Farmed seafood currently provides more than 50% of all seafood
48 consumed globally today and this proportion is projected to rise to 62% by 2030 [1,2]. With a strong push
49 towards economic and ecological sustainability, this sector requires additional alternatives to conventional
50 feed inputs [3]. As such, aquaculture is seen as one of the most promising feed sectors for valorization of
51 algae-derived products, but studies that evaluate its inclusion in modern aquafeeds are just now beginning
52 to emerge. *Nannochloropsis granulata* is a marine eustigmatophyte microalga that is relatively new in
53 phytoplankton taxonomy; having been uniquely identified more recently than other more established
54 *Nannochloropsis* species (namely *N. oculata* and *N. gaditana*). While closely-related, *N. granulata* differs
55 from other species in this genus with respect to chloroplast structures and 18S rRNA gene sequence [4] and
56 far less is known about its potential for industrial applications. Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) is
57 widely used in bioprocessing of aquatic and crop based resources for the production of valuable consumer
58 products [5]. In particular, SFE employing CO₂ as a solvent is useful for extracting compounds from bulk
59 microalgae biomass (e.g., essential fatty acids, carotenoids, bioactive compounds, etc.) destined as food or
60 feed due to the relatively benign extraction conditions and resultant solvent-free products [6-9]. In most
61 cases, the targeted extraction products have a high economic value to justify the relatively high costs
62 associated with SFE technologies. However, since the primary target product is generally found at trace
63 concentrations, the residual algal biomass remaining in the vessel post-extraction represents a relatively
64 unexplored and important secondary product potentially suitable as a highly marketable, protein-rich feed
65 ingredient. As such, we investigated the potential nutritional value of whole *N. granulata* algal biomass and
66 residual biomass after SFE processing at 70 and 90°C in an initial exploratory study [10]. Based solely on
67 proximate, fatty acid and amino acid composition, these solvent-free *N. granulata* algal meals showed good
68 potential for use in animal and fish feeds [10,11]. This finding is in agreement with recent work focused on
69 the use of other *Nannochloropsis* species as feed inputs for aquaculture diets based on their attractive
70 protein and amino acid profile and their ability to produce *n*-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids [12]. However,
71 these studies did not report digestibility and further investigations with *Nannochloropsis* algal meals are
72 required because the simple presence of high quality nutrients in novel feed ingredients does not ensure

73 nutrient supply to target animal species. Specifically, the protein digestibility of *N. granulata* algal meals
74 has not been previously reported for any aquaculture species. Additionally, good nutritional value in one
75 target animal species does not necessarily guarantee the same in others due to differences in feeding habits
76 and digestive physiologies such as those found between fish and shrimp (e.g., slower gut transit time in
77 trout, lack of an acidic stomach in shrimp). While the evaluation of protein quality *in vivo* is time-
78 consuming and costly, *in vitro* assays that involve simulated digestion of test ingredient suspensions with
79 ‘species-specific’ digestive enzymes can be highly informative with a minimal use of animal subjects,
80 particularly once predictive regression equations have been developed. In addition, these methods are
81 logistically attractive as they can be used to complement biochemical composition data, they are relatively
82 inexpensive, results are rapidly obtained using small sample sizes, they side-step animal palatability issues
83 and they are generally regarded as effective tools for making predictions of potential protein quality for
84 research and industrial use prior to undertaking costly *in vivo* animal feeding trials. As such, the objective
85 of this study was to predict the DP contents of three meals produced from a common lot of *N. granulata*
86 biomass for Pacific white shrimp and rainbow trout using species-specific *in vitro* pH-Stat protein
87 hydrolysis.

88

89

90 **2. Materials and methods**

91 2.1. Algal meals

92 The microalga species used in this study was the marine eustigmatophyte *Nannochloropsis granulata*
93 Karlson and Potter (CCMP 535, Provasoli-Guillard National Center for Culture of Marine Phytoplankton,
94 East Boothbay, ME). This species was mass cultivated under continuous light in 1000 L Brite-Box™
95 photobioreactors [13]. Details of mass cultivation, harvesting, processing and biochemical characterization
96 of algal meals and lipid extracts have been previously described elsewhere [10,11]. To generate the meals
97 tested in this study, freeze-dried biomass (Base) was pulverized to a fine powder (to pass through a 500 µm
98 screen) using a laboratory ultra-centrifugal mill (Retsch model ZM200, Retsch GmbH., Haan, Germany).
99 Portions (350 g each) of the Base were then subjected to supercritical CO₂ fluid extraction (SFE) using a
100 pilot-scale SFE instrument (DynaSep LLC, Newark, DE, USA) at constant CO₂ pressure (35 MPa), flow

101 rate (100 g min^{-1}) and residence time (270 min.) at 70 or 90°C (C70 and C90). Proximate and caloric
102 contents and essential amino acid concentrations are presented in Table 1 for reference.

103

104 2.2. Shrimp and fish sampling

105 Hepatopancreas were sampled from six-hundred juvenile (7-10 g) Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus*
106 *vannamei*) reared in fertilized ponds on a commercial farm in the Northeast region of Brazil for crude
107 enzyme extract. Shrimp cephalothorax was removed and hepatopancreas immediately excised, pooled into
108 plastic vials on crushed ice (4°C), rapidly frozen on dry ice and transported to the laboratory. The stomach
109 and pyloric caeca of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) were sampled from ten healthy individuals
110 (mean body weight $393.1 \pm 35.8 \text{ g}$) farmed in freshwater raceways. Fish were killed by rapid cephalic
111 concussion; digestive tract was excised, cleaned of visceral fatty tissue, and thoroughly cleansed with
112 distilled water. The stomach and pyloric caeca were separated and pooled in plastic bags, frozen at -20°C
113 on site and transported to the laboratory frozen on dry ice.

114

115 2.3. Recovery of crude digestive enzyme extracts

116 Shrimp digestive enzyme extract was recovered after homogenization (T25 digital ultra-turrax[®], 18G
117 dispersing element, IKA WORKS, Inc., Wilmington, NC, USA) of pooled hepatopancreas with autoclaved
118 chilled seawater (35 ppt salinity) (1:3 w/v), followed by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 30 min at 4°C.
119 After elimination of the upper lipid layer, the supernatant was collected and pH of the enzyme extract was
120 adjusted to 8.0 with 0.1 N NaOH. Rainbow trout pyloric caeca sample was processed similarly but with
121 distilled water (1:1 w/v). The stomach sample was also processed in distilled water (1:3 w/v) but the
122 recovered enzyme extract pH was adjusted to 2.0 with 0.1 N HCl. Enzyme extracts were stored in 2.0 mL
123 labeled cryogenic vials and frozen (-20°C) until analysis.

124

125 2.4. Standardization of crude digestive enzyme extracts

126 Crude enzyme extracts were standardized according to their hydrolytic capacity using the *in vitro* pH-
127 Stat method of determination of degree of protein hydrolysis (DH). Briefly, in the pH-Stat concept, the
128 cleavage of peptide bonds by the enzyme extract results in a pH shift (increase or decrease depending on

129 acid or alkaline hydrolysis, respectively), which is automatically stabilized by the addition of a titrant. The
130 volume of titrant added is proportional to the DH by the digestive enzyme extract. For example, at a
131 constant pH of 8.0, the amount of titrant consumed is proportional to the amount of peptide bonds cleaved
132 [14,15]. Standard protein substrates for stomach (acid) and pyloric caeca or hepatopancreas (alkaline)
133 assays were analytical grade hemoglobin from bovine blood (95% crude protein, H2625, Sigma-Aldrich,
134 St. Louis, MO, USA) and casein from bovine milk (90% crude protein, C7078, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis,
135 MO, USA), respectively. Standardization consisted of determination of the hydrolytic capacity of different
136 enzyme extract volumes from stomach, pyloric caeca or hepatopancreas over the same substrate amount
137 (80 mg of protein). Assays were carried out simultaneously in two automated titrators with double
138 measuring interfaces with burettes (Titrand 836, Titrand 907 - Metrohm AG, Switzerland), connected to
139 a single controlling and data logging software (TiamoTM v. 2.2, Metrohm AG, Switzerland). Standard
140 substrate samples (80 mg of protein) were stirred in distilled water or seawater in the reaction vessel (8.0
141 mL total suspension volume) and the pH automatically adjusted to 2.0 for the acid or 8.0 for the alkaline
142 assays by the addition of 0.1 N HCl or 0.1 N NaOH, respectively, and kept stable for 30 (hemoglobin) and
143 60 (casein) minutes. The suspension final volume was adjusted to 10 mL (including the enzyme extract)
144 and protein hydrolysis assays were carried out for 60 minutes. The reaction temperature was maintained at
145 $25 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$ in jacketed reaction vessels connected to a heated/refrigerated constant-temperature water bath
146 (temperature uniformity $\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$, RSWB 3222A Lindberg/BlueM, Thermo Electron Corp., MA, USA).
147 During the assays, nitrogen gas was purged in the mixture and the vessel covered with plastic film to avoid
148 interference of atmospheric CO_2 in the reaction pH.

149 The DH was calculated according to Adler-Nissen [14] as:

$$150 \quad \text{DH} = B \times \text{Nb} \times (1/\alpha) \times (1/\text{MP}) \times (1/\text{H}_{\text{tot}}) \times 100\%$$

151 Where:

152 B = volume of titrant consumed (mL)

153 Nb = normality of the titrant

154 α = average degree of dissociation of the α -NH groups ($1/\alpha=1.50$ for pH 8.0 at 25°C)

155 MP = mass of substrate protein (g)

156 H_{tot} = total number of peptide bonds in the protein substrate (7.6-9.2 meqv g protein⁻¹, according to the
157 source of protein assayed) [14]

158

159 2.5. Species-specific *in vitro* pH-Stat determination of protein degree of hydrolysis (DH)

160 Following digestive enzyme extract standardization, the *in vitro* DH of the *N. granulata* algal meals
161 was determined. The protein hydrolysis assay with shrimp hepatopancreas enzyme extract was conducted
162 similarly to the alkaline enzyme extract standardization procedure. *N. granulata* algal meals (80 mg of
163 protein) were stirred in seawater and pH automatically adjusted to 8.0 with 0.1 N NaOH. Hydrolysis assay
164 started with the addition of the hepatopancreas enzyme extract and was carried out for 60 min at 25±0.2°C.
165 For rainbow trout assays, algal meal samples were pre-hydrolyzed with stomach crude enzyme extract (pH
166 2.0, 60 minutes at 25±0.2°C) before the hydrolysis with pyloric caeca enzyme extract (pH 8.0, 60 minutes
167 at 25±0.2°C). During the assays, nitrogen gas was purged into the reaction mixture. All DH assays were run
168 in triplicate and protein ADC was predicted using published species-specific prediction equations [16,17].

169

170 2.6. Statistical methods

171 Data is reported as the mean±standard deviation (n=3). Statistical analyses were performed using one-
172 way analysis of variance, ANOVA (SigmaStat® v.3.5) with a 5% level of probability (P<0.05) selected in
173 advance to sufficiently demonstrate a statistically significant difference. Where significant differences were
174 observed, treatment means were differentiated using pairwise multiple comparison procedures (Tukey
175 multiple range test). All raw data was confirmed to have a normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-
176 Smirnov test (SigmaStat® v.3.5).

177

178

179 3. Results

180 Proximate and caloric contents of *N. granulata* algal meals (*as-fed* basis) are shown in Table 1. All
181 meals had highly similar nutritional compositions with regard to their contents of moisture (3-4%), ash (7-
182 8%), crude protein (N×4.78; 33-34%), crude lipid (25-28%), total carbohydrate (14-16%) and gross energy
183 (22-23 MJ kg⁻¹). Although significant differences (P≤0.009) were observed, it is related to the low variance

184 between analytical replicates and likely of little biological significance. Essential amino acid compositions
185 of *N. granulata* algal meals (*as-fed* basis) are shown in Table 2. With the except of lysine, no significant
186 differences ($P \geq 0.308$) were found for any essential amino acids between the three algal meals with pooled
187 average contents of 2.5% (arginine), 0.7% (histidine), 1.7% (isoleucine), 3.1% (leucine), 0.8%
188 (methionine), 1.8% (phenylalanine), 1.7% (threonine), 0.03% (tryptophan) and 2.1% (valine). The content
189 of lysine for the temperature-treated meals (2.1%) was significantly lower ($P=0.019$), and statistically
190 similar to each other ($P=0.587$), than for the untreated base meal (2.3%). Species-specific *in vitro* pH-Stat
191 protein DH, predicted protein ADC and DP contents of *N. granulata* algal meals are shown in Table 3.
192 Protein DH and predicted ADC values of all *N. granulata* meals were statistically similar ($P \geq 0.351$) for
193 Pacific white shrimp with averages of 3.6% (range, 3.41-3.70%) and 83% (range, 82.9-83.9%),
194 respectively. The resultant DP content of *N. granulata* meals for Pacific white shrimp was 28% (*as-fed*
195 basis) or 29% (dry matter basis). For rainbow trout, the DH of temperature-treated meals (5.0%) were
196 significantly higher ($P < 0.001$), and statistically similar to each other ($P=0.191$), than for the untreated base
197 meal (3.2%). The same was true for predicted protein ADC ($P < 0.001$) with averages of 88 and 82%,
198 respectively. The resultant DP contents of *N. granulata* meals for rainbow trout were positively correlated
199 ($r=0.99$; $P < 0.001$) with increasing processing temperature as follows: 28% (Base) < 29% (C70) < 30%
200 (C90) on an *as-fed* basis or 29% (Base) < 32% (C70) < 33% (C90) on a dry matter basis.

201

202

203 4. Discussion

204 There has been growing interest in recent years in *Nannochloropsis* microalgae as potential feedstocks
205 for various industrial applications. The major focus has been on *N. oculata* and *N. gaditana* related to their
206 potential supply of fat-soluble vitamins and carotenoids [18,19], n-3 essential fatty acids [20-23], bioactive
207 compounds [24-26] and their suitability for biofuels production [27-29] with some limited focus on their
208 protein fraction [30-32]. By comparison, *N. granulata* has received far less attention; focused mainly on its
209 lipid accumulation potential for biodiesel production [11] and glycoproteins as bioactive compounds
210 [33,34]. With regard to the nutritional quality of the biomass that can be produced from *N. granulata* for
211 food/feed applications, only a scant amount of data is available. Initial work in our lab with whole-cell and

212 lipid-extracted biomass demonstrated that they may have some potential as a protein-rich animal and/or
213 aquafeed ingredient based on their relatively high crude protein contents of up to 44% (N×4.78) [35] or
214 54% (N×6.25), comparatively low ash content for a marine microalgae (<8%), richness in essential amino
215 acids like leucine (>9 g 100 g⁻¹ protein) and lysine (>6 g 100 g⁻¹ protein), high essential amino acid indices
216 (>0.9), low total phenolic content (<8 mg GAE g⁻¹) and moderately high (>84%) *in vitro* indirect pH-Drop
217 protein digestibility using a multi-enzyme cocktail consisting of porcine-derived pancreatic trypsin and
218 intestinal peptidase and bovine-derived pancreatic α -chymotrypsin [10,36]. However, much of this work
219 was preliminary and comparative in nature and further investigations involving species-specific *in vitro*
220 protein quality and, ultimately, *in vivo* biological performance of target animals fed diets supplemented
221 with these products are critical next steps.

222 Beyond general proximate composition, the essential amino acid profile and protein digestibility are
223 generally the most important criteria that define the nutritional value of feed ingredients. Regarding the
224 essential amino acid profiles of the *N. granulata* meals used in this study, the concentrations of most (9 of
225 10) amino acids were unaffected by temperature treatment relative to the freeze-dried base meal.
226 Temperature treatment did cause a modest, but significant, reduction in lysine content for the temperature-
227 treated meals relative to the untreated base meal and was linearly related to increasing processing
228 temperature in a previous study [10]. Lysine is typically the first essential amino acids affected by thermal
229 processing of feedstuffs [37] and is related to the free amino group on the epsilon carbon unit of lysine that
230 is highly susceptible to reactions with reducing sugars under exposure to elevated temperatures [38]. Given
231 that lysine was only reduced from 2.3 to 2.1% and all other essential amino acid levels were statistically
232 similar between *N. granulata* meals, it is likely that the protein quality will be largely dependent upon its
233 digestibility. As for protein digestibility of conventional feed ingredients, this is highly dependent on their
234 solubility and ultimate potential for chemical hydrolysis and enzymatic digestion in the digestive tract;
235 which is often influenced by post-harvest production conditions such as exposure to high temperatures
236 processing [39,40] and its effect on amino acid profile and protein quality as previously discussed.
237 However, for novel ingredients derived from single-cell proteins, like microalgae, the recalcitrant cell wall
238 is another major factor that can limit nutrient digestibility in the gut of monogastric animals, including fish
239 and shrimp [41]. As a general rule, it is felt that the upper dietary inclusion limit of algae co-products in

240 feeds for most commercially-relevant farmed terrestrial monogastric animals is about 15% and the cause
241 has been attributed to low digestibility associated with these rigid algal cell walls; but also because of
242 reduced feed intakes associated with poor palatability of algae-supplemented diets [42-45]. However, none
243 of the microalgae species involved were from the genus *Nannochloropsis* and, it is our opinion, that the
244 recommendations are largely based on studies that failed to actually measure the digestibility of the tested
245 algal products prior to animal feeding trials. While both of these limitations can be somewhat overcome
246 through advanced feed processing and rational diet formulation, difficult-to-rupture cellulosic algal cell
247 walls are a legitimate concern for most microalgae-based feed ingredients. Specifically, all species in the
248 genus *Nannochloropsis* are encased in a rigid cell wall made up primarily of an inner cellulose layer
249 surrounded by an outer layer of algaenan [46]. This outer algaenan coating may make the cells relatively
250 hydrophobic, which could reduce the immediate solubility upon entering the monogastric stomach.
251 Additionally, since the digestive tract of monogastric animals essentially lack any appreciable cellulase
252 enzyme activity, rupture of these cells walls either prior to inclusion in the feed or by the highly acidic
253 gastric juices in the monogastric stomach after consumption is requisite to efficient utilization of the
254 intracellular nutrients supplied by *Nannochloropsis*-based ingredients. As such, some workers have made
255 attempts to determine the nutritional value of *Nannochloropsis*-based ingredients for food/feed applications
256 with varying results. As for farmed marine aquaculture species, recent studies conducted with red drum,
257 *Sciaenops ocellatus*, gilthead seabream, *Sparus aurata* and European seabass, *D. labrax* have demonstrated
258 that dietary supplementation with various microalgae, including a related *Nannochloropsis* species (*N.*
259 *salina*), up to 25% is acceptable based on growth performance, nutrient utilization, carcass yields, organ
260 weights, sensory evaluation, digestive enzyme activities and intestinal histological parameters [47-49]
261 which 'suggests' good digestibility in these species. In stark contrast, Skrede et al. [50] used mink as a
262 'model' monogastric species for aquaculture and indirectly estimated the protein digestibility of a related
263 *Nannochloropsis* species (*N. oceanica*) to be very low (35%). To our knowledge, the only study to report
264 the *in vitro* protein digestibility of *N. granulata* algal biomass is that of Tibbetts et al. [36] who found it to
265 be relatively high for whole-cell (84-85%) and lipid-extracted (88-91%) meals. While this study used an
266 indirect *in vitro* pH-Drop method which makes the results preliminary, comparative in nature and, most
267 importantly, not species-specific, the moderately high predicted protein digestibilities (>84%) found were

268 encouraging and warranted a further examination of protein digestibility of similar *N. granulata* algal meals
269 using *in vitro* protein digestibility methods that are more representative of real-scenario digestibility using
270 species-specific digestive enzymes such as those used in the present study. In fact, the results obtained in
271 this study agree well with the previous preliminary results having found highly similar predicted protein
272 ADC values for Pacific white shrimp (83-84%) and rainbow trout (82-88%).

273 While the DH and predicted protein ADC values found in the present study are similar to important
274 practical aquafeed ingredients; such as fish meals [16], there may be room for further improvement of the
275 present algal meals for fish and shrimp nutrition. Although the temperature treatment of the algal meals
276 produced increased hydrolytic capacity of trout digestive enzymes, it was not enough to produce significant
277 differences, compared to the untreated sample, for shrimp. An improved treatment regime; possibly
278 combining higher processing temperature, pressure and residence time, may result in a more complete
279 liberation of intracellular constituents and ultimately higher algal protein digestion in shrimp as evidenced
280 for other terrestrial plant-based ingredients and aquatic single-cell proteins and seaweeds [51-54]; similar to
281 values observed for trout in this study. Relative to finfish such as trout, shrimp exhibit relatively rapid food
282 transit times through the gut [55] so a high reliability on digestive enzyme efficiency is paramount for
283 ingested nutrient recovery in their relatively simple digestive tract [56]. The observed protein DH values
284 with shrimp enzymes suggest a reasonably high digestive capacity for the untreated base material (3.4%);
285 which even exceeded those with trout enzymes (3.2%). On the other hand, the mild heat treatment proved
286 highly successful for improving protein digestion with fish enzymes (4.9-5.2%) by pre-hydrolysis with a
287 gastric acidic phase followed by digestion with pyloric caeca alkali crude enzyme extracts. As such, it is
288 probable that the lack of a gastric phase in the shrimp DH assay is related to the marginal, but non-
289 significant, improvement in protein digestion of algal meals subjected to a mild heat treatment (3.6-3.7%).
290 The present DH, predicted protein ADC and DP values should provide useful species-specific data for use
291 and further improvement of *N. granulata* algal meals as potential sustainable ingredients for aquafeeds.

292

293

294

295

296 **Acknowledgements**

297 The authors wish to thank William Bjornsson, Scott MacQuarrie and Margaret MacPherson for valuable
298 technical assistance during this study. We gratefully acknowledge Drs. Patrick McGinn and Santosh Lall
299 for logistical support and Dr. Stephen O'Leary for reviewing a draft of this manuscript. Funding for this
300 work was provided by the National Bioproducts Program (NBP); a collaborative agreement between
301 Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC), Natural Resources Canada (NRCan) and the National
302 Research Council of Canada (NRCC) and through a Materials Transfer Agreement between NRC and
303 LAM. This is NRCC publication no. 56232.

304

305

306 **Conflict of interest**

307 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

308

309

310 **References**

311 [1] Food and Agriculture Organization (2014) The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2014, Rome,
312 Italy, 223 p.

313

314 [2] Food and Agriculture Organization (2016) FishStat J database on aquaculture production. Rome, Italy,
315 www.fao.org. Accessed on April 19, 2016.

316

317 [3] Naylor, R.L., Hardy, R.W., Bureau, D.P., Chiu, A., Elliot, M., Farrell, A.P., Forster, I., Gatlin, D.M.,
318 Goldberg, R.J., Hua, K., Nichols, P.D. (2009) Feeding aquaculture in an era of finite resources. Proc. Nat.
319 Acad. Sci. 106, 15103-15110.

320

321 [4] Karlson, B., Potter, D., Kuylentierna, M., Andersen, R.A. (1996) Ultrastructure, pigment composition,
322 and 18S rRNA gene sequence for *Nannochloropsis granulata* sp. nov. (Monodopsidaceae,

323 Eustigmatophyceae), a marine ultraplankter isolated from the Skagerrak, northeast Atlantic Ocean.
324 *Phycologia* 35, 253-260.

325

326 [5] Martínez, J.L. (2008) *Supercritical Fluid Extraction of Nutraceuticals and Bioactive Compounds*. CRC
327 Press, Taylor and Francis Group, 402 p.

328

329 [6] Taylor, L.T. (1996) Applications of analytical supercritical fluid extraction. In: Taylor, L.T. (Ed.),
330 *Supercritical Fluid Extraction, Techniques in Analytical Chemistry*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., pp. 127-
331 166.

332

333 [7] Mendes, R.L. (2008) Supercritical fluid extraction of active compounds from algae. In: Martínez, J.L.
334 (Ed.), *Supercritical Fluid Extraction of Nutraceuticals and Bioactive Compounds*. CRC Press, Taylor and
335 Francis Group, pp. 189-213.

336

337 [8] Yen, H.W., Yang, S.C., Chen, C.H., Chang, J.S. (2015) Supercritical fluid extraction of valuable
338 compounds from microalgal biomass. *Biores. Technol.* 184, 291-296.

339

340 [9] Qiuhui, H. (1999) Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of *Spirulina platensis* component and
341 removing the stench. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 47, 2705-2706.

342

343 [10] Tibbetts, S.M., Bjornsson, W.J., McGinn, P.J. (2015b) Biochemical composition and amino acid
344 profiles of *Nannochloropsis granulata* algal biomass before and after supercritical fluid CO₂ extraction at
345 two processing temperatures. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 204, 62-71.

346

347 [11] Bjornsson, W.J., MacDougall, K.M., Melanson, J.E., O'Leary, S.J.B., McGinn, P.J. (2012) Pilot-scale
348 supercritical carbon dioxide extractions for the recovery of triacylglycerols from microalgae: a practical
349 tool for algal biofuels research. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 24, 547-555.

350

- 351 [12] Haas, S., Bauer, J.L., Adakli, A., Meyer, S., Lippemeier, S., Schwarz, K., Schulz, C. (2016) Marine
352 microalgae *Pavlova viridis* and *Nannochloropsis* sp. as n-3 PUFA source in diets for juvenile European sea
353 bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). J. Appl. Phycol. 28, 1011-1021.
- 354
- 355 [13] Craigie, J.S., Armstrong, S.M., Staples, L.S., Bauder, A.G. (2003) Photobioreactor, National Research
356 Council of Canada. United States patent application publication no. US 2003/0059932 A1.
- 357
- 358 [14] Adler-Nissen, J. (1986) Enzymatic hydrolysis of food proteins. Elsevier, New York, NY. 427 p.
- 359
- 360 [15] Diermayr, P., Dehne, L. (1990) Controlled enzymatic hydrolysis of proteins at low pH values: 1.
361 Experiments with BSA. Zeitschrift für Lebensmittel-Untersuchung und Forschung v. 190, p. 516-520.
- 362
- 363 [16] Lemos, D., Lawrence, A.L., Siccardi III, A.J. (2009) Prediction of apparent protein digestibility of
364 ingredients and diets by *in vitro* pH-Stat degree of protein hydrolysis with species-specific enzymes for
365 juvenile Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture 295, 89-98.
- 366
- 367 [17] Yasumaru, F., Lemos, D. (2014) Species-specific *in vitro* digestion (pH-Stat) for fish: method
368 development and application for juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), cobia (*Rachycentron*
369 *canadum*), and Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Aquaculture 426/427, 74-84.
- 370
- 371 [18] Durmaz, Y. (2007) Vitamin E (α -tocopherol) production by the marine microalgae *Nannochloropsis*
372 *oculata* (Eustigmatophyceae) in nitrogen limitation. Aquaculture 272, 717-722.
- 373
- 374 [19] Goh, L.P., Loh, S.P., Fatimah, M.Y., Perumal, K. (2009) Bioaccessibility of carotenoids and
375 tocopherols in marine microalgae, *Nannochloropsis* sp. and *Chaetoceros* sp. Malays. J. Nutr. 15, 77-86.
- 376
- 377 [20] Sukenik, A., Zmora, O., Carmeli, Y. (1993) Biochemical quality of marine unicellular algae with
378 special emphasis on lipid composition. II. *Nannochloropsis* sp. Aquaculture 117, 313-326.

- 379 [21] Pieber, S., Schober, S., Mittelbach, M. (2012) Pressurized fluid extraction of polyunsaturated fatty
380 acids from the microalgae *Nannochloropsis oculata*. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 47, 474-482.
381
- 382 [22] Kagan, M.L., West, A.L., Zante, C., Calder, P.C. (2013) Acute appearance of fatty acids in human
383 plasma -a comparative study between polar-lipid rich oil from the microalgae *Nannochloropsis oculata* and
384 krill oil in healthy young males. *Lipids Health Dis.* 12, 1-10.
385
- 386 [23] Babuskin, S., Krishnan, K.R., Babu, P.A.S., Sivarajan, M., Sukumar, M. (2014) Functional foods
387 enriched with marine microalga *Nannochloropsis oculata* as a source of ω -3 fatty acids. *Food Technol.*
388 *Biotechnol.* 52, 292-299.
389
- 390 [24] Goh, S.H., Yusoff, F.M., Loh, S.P. (2010) A comparison of the antioxidant properties and total
391 phenolic content in a diatom, *Chaetoceros* sp. and a green microalga, *Nannochloropsis* sp. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2,
392 123-130.
393
- 394 [25] Nuño, K., Villarruel-López, A., Puebla-Pérez, A.M., Romero-Velarde, E., Puebla-Mora, A.G.,
395 Ascencio, F. (2013) Effects of the marine microalgae *Isochrysis galbana* and *Nannochloropsis oculata* in
396 diabetic rats. *J. Functional Foods* 5, 106-115.
397
- 398 [26] Medina, C., Rubilar, M., Shene, C., Torres, S., Verdugo, M. (2015) Protein fractions with techno-
399 functional and antioxidant properties from *Nannochloropsis gaditana* microalgal biomass. *J. Biobased*
400 *Mater. Bioenergy* 9, 417-425.
401
- 402 [27] MacDougall, K.M., McNichol, J., McGinn, P.J., O'Leary, S.J.B., Melanson, J.E. (2011)
403 Triacylglycerol profiling of microalgae strains for biofuel feedstock by liquid chromatography-high-
404 resolution mass spectrometry. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 401, 2609-2616.
405

- 406 [28] McGinn, P.J., Dickinson, K.E., Bhatti, S., Frigon, J.C., Guiot, S.R., O’Leary, S.J.B. (2011) Integration
407 of microalgae cultivation with industrial waste remediation for biofuel and bioenergy production:
408 opportunities and limitations. *Photosynth. Res.* 109, 231-247.
409
- 410 [29] Frigon, J.C., Matteau-Lebrun, F., Abdou, R.H., McGinn, P.J., O’Leary, S.J.B., Guiot, S.R. (2013)
411 Screening microalgae strains for their productivity in methane following anaerobic digestion. *Appl. Energy*
412 108, 100-107.
413
- 414 [30] Brown, M.R., Garland, C.D., Jeffrey, S.W., Jameson, I.D., Leroi, J.M. (1993) The gross and amino
415 acid compositions of batch and semi-continuous cultures of *Isochrysis* sp. (clone T.ISO), *Pavlova lutheri*
416 and *Nannochloropsis oculata*. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 5, 285-296.
417
- 418 [31] Gerde, J.A., Wang, T., Yao, L., Jung, S., Johnson, L.A., Lamsal, B. (2013) Optimizing protein
419 isolation from defatted and non-defatted *Nannochloropsis* microalgae biomass. *Algal Res.* 2, 145-153.
420
- 421 [32] Cavonius, L.R. (2016) Fractionation of lipids and proteins from the microalga *Nannochloropsis*
422 *oculata*. pH-shift process characterization and *in vitro* accessibility. PhD Thesis, Chalmers University of
423 Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden, 67 p.
424
- 425 [33] Banskota, A.H., Stefanova, R., Gallant, P., McGinn, P.J. (2013a) Mono- and
426 digalactosyldiacylglycerols: potent nitric oxide inhibitors from the marine microalga *Nannochloropsis*
427 *granulata*. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 25, 349-357.
428
- 429 [34] Banskota, A.H., Stefanova, R., Sperker, S., McGinn, P.J. (2013b) New
430 diacylglyceryltrimethylhomoserines from the marine microalga *Nannochloropsis granulata* and their nitric
431 oxide inhibitory activity. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 25, 1513-1521.
432

- 433 [35] Lourenço, S.O., Barbarino, E., Lavín, P.L., Marquez, U.M.L., Aidar, E. (2004) Distribution of
434 intracellular nitrogen in marine microalgae: calculation of new nitrogen-to-protein conversion factors. Eur.
435 J. Phycol. 39, 17-32.
- 436
- 437 [36] Tibbetts, S.M., Milley, J.E., Lall, S.P. (2015a) Chemical composition and nutritional properties of
438 freshwater and marine microalgal biomass cultured in photobioreactors. J. Appl. Phycol. 27, 1109-1119.
- 439
- 440 [37] Bastos, D.M., Monaro, E., Siguemoto, E., Séfora, M. (2012) Maillard reaction products in processed
441 food: pros and cons. In: Valdez, B. (Ed.), Food Industrial Processes - Methods and Equipment. InTech
442 Open Science, Rijeka, Croatia, pp. 281-300.
- 443
- 444 [38] Mavromichalis, I. (2001) The Maillard reaction in feed manufacturing. Feed Technol. 5, 13-14.
- 445
- 446 [39] McDonald, P., Edwards, R.A., Greenhalgh, J.F.D., Morgan, C.A., Sinclair, L.A. (2010) Animal
447 Nutrition, seventh edition. Prentice Hall, New York, 692 p.
- 448
- 449 [40] National Research Council (2011) Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp, National Academy
450 Press, Washington, 376 p.
- 451
- 452 [41] Safi, C., Charton, M., Pignolet, O., Silvestre, F., Vaca-Garcia, C., Pontalier, P.Y. (2013) Influence of
453 microalgae cell wall characteristics on protein extractability and determination of nitrogen-to-protein
454 conversion factors. J. Appl. Phycol. 25, 523-529.
- 455
- 456 [42] Grigorova, S. (2005) Dry biomass of fresh water algae of *Chlorella* genus in the combined forages for
457 laying hens. J. Cent. Eur. Agric. 6, 625-630.
- 458

- 459 [43] Austic, R.E., Mustafa, A., Jung, B., Gatrell, S., Lei, X.G. (2013) Potential and limitation of a new
460 defatted diatom microalgal biomass in replacing soybean meal and corn in diets for broiler chickens. J.
461 Agric. Food Chem. 61, 7341-7348.
- 462
- 463 [44] Gatrell, S., Lum, K., Kim, J., Lei, X.G. (2014) Potential of defatted microalgae from the biofuel
464 industry as an ingredient to replace corn and soybean meal in swine and poultry diets. J. Anim. Sci. 92,
465 1306-1314.
- 466
- 467 [45] Park, J.H., Upadhaya, S.D., Kim, I.H. (2015) Effect of dietary marine microalgae (*Schizochytrium*)
468 powder on egg production, blood lipid profiles, egg quality, and fatty acid composition of egg yolk in
469 layers. Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 28, 391-397.
- 470
- 471 [46] Scholz, M.J., Weiss, T.L., Jinkerson, R.E., Jing, J., Roth, R., Goodenough, U., Posewitz, M.C.,
472 Gerken, H.G. (2013) Ultrastructure and composition of the *Nannochloropsis gaditana* cell wall. Eukaryot.
473 Cell 13, 1450-1464.
- 474
- 475 [47] Patterson, D., Gatlin, D.M. (2013) Evaluation of whole and lipid-extracted algae meals in the diets of
476 juvenile red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*). Aquaculture 416/417, 92-98.
- 477
- 478 [48] Vizcaíno, A.J., López, G., Sáez, M.I., Jiménez, J.A., Barros, A., Hidalgo, L., Camacho-Rodríguez, J.,
479 Martínez, T.F., Cerón-García, M.C., Alarcón, F.J. (2014) Effects of the microalga *Scenedesmus almeriensis*
480 as fishmeal alternative in diets for gilthead sea bream, *Sparus aurata*, juveniles. Aquaculture 431, 34-43.
- 481
- 482 [49] Tibaldi, E., Chini Zittelli, G., Parisi, G., Bruno, M., Giorgi, G., Tulli, F., Venturini, S., Tredici, M.R.,
483 Poli, B.M. (2015) Growth performance and quality traits of European sea bass (*D. labrax*) fed diets
484 including increasing levels of freeze-dried *Isochrysis* sp. (T-ISO) biomass as a source of protein and n-3
485 long chain PUFA in partial substitution of fish derivatives. Aquaculture 440, 60-68.
- 486

- 487 [50] Skrede, A., Mydland, L.T., Ahlstrøm, Ø, Reitan, K.I., Gislerød, H.R., Øverland, M. (2011) Evaluation
488 of microalgae as sources of digestible nutrients for monogastric animals. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.* 20, 131-142.
489
- 490 [51] Hedenskog, G., Morgen, H. (1973) Some methods for processing of single cell protein. *Biotechnol.*
491 *Bioeng.* 15, 129-142.
492
- 493 [52] Marambe, H.K., Shand, P.J., Wanasundara, J.P.D. (2013) *In vitro* digestibility of flaxseed (*Linum*
494 *usitatissimum* L.) protein: effect of seed mucilage, oil and thermal processing. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 48,
495 628-635.
496
- 497 [53] Maehre, H.K., Edvinsen, G.K., Eilertsen, K.E., Elvevoll, E.O. (2016) Heat treatment increases the
498 protein bioaccessibility in the red seaweed dulse (*Palmaria palmata*), but not in the brown seaweed winged
499 kelp (*Alaria esculenta*). *J. Appl. Phycol.* 28, 581-590.
500
- 501 [54] Shene, C., Monsalve, M.T., Vergara, D., Lienqueo, M.E., Rubilar, M. (2016) High pressure
502 homogenization of *Nannochloropsis oculata* for the extraction of intracellular components: Effect of
503 process conditions and culture age. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 118, 631-639.
504
- 505 [55] Beseres, J.J., Lawrence, A.L., Feller, R.J. (2006) Practical equivalence of laboratory and field
506 measurements of gut passage time in two penaeid shrimp species. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 309, 221-231.
507
- 508 [56] Martin, G.G., Hose, J.E. (2010) Functional anatomy of penaeid shrimp. In: Alday-Sanz, V. (ed.) *The*
509 *Shrimp Book*, Nottingham University Press, pp. 47-72.
510
511
512
513
514

515

516

517

518

519 Table 1

520 Proximate and caloric composition of *Nannochloropsis granulata* algal meals used for species-specific *in*
 521 *vitro* pH-Stat protein digestibility studies^a (*as-fed* basis).

522		Base ^b	C70 ^c	C90 ^c	<i>P</i> -value
523	Moisture (%)	3.4±0.2 ^{ns}	4.0±0.4	3.6±0.5	0.058
524	Ash (%)	7.5±0.0 ^a	8.3±0.2 ^c	8.1±0.1 ^b	<0.001
525	Crude protein (%N × 4.78)	33.9±0.2 ^b	32.7±0.6 ^a	33.7±0.2 ^b	<0.001
526	Crude lipid (%)	27.6±0.01 ^b	24.6±1.2 ^a	24.7±0.9 ^a	0.009
527	Carbohydrate (%)	14.4±0.2 ^a	15.9±0.6 ^b	15.0±0.5 ^a	0.006
528	Gross energy (MJ kg ⁻¹)	22.6±0.0 ^b	22.0±0.2 ^a	22.2±0.1 ^a	0.002

529 ^a Values within the same row having different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

530 ^b Freeze-dried whole un-extracted *N. granulata* algal meal.

531 ^c Residual *N. granulata* algal meals after SFE processing at 35 MPa pressure for 270 min. at 70 or 90°C.

532

533 **Table 2**

534 Essential amino acid composition (%) of *Nannochloropsis granulata* algal meals used for species-specific
 535 *in vitro* pH-Stat protein digestibility studies^a (*as-fed* basis).

536		Base ^b	C70 ^c	C90 ^c	<i>P</i> -value
537	Arginine	2.46±0.02 ^{ns}	2.50±0.12	2.54±0.12	0.740
538	Histidine	0.72±0.00 ^{ns}	0.75±0.05	0.74±0.04	0.814
539	Isoleucine	1.68±0.01 ^{ns}	1.69±0.02	1.73±0.04	0.308
540	Leucine	3.13±0.01 ^{ns}	3.12±0.01	3.19±0.08	0.409
541	Lysine	2.33±0.01 ^b	2.10±0.02 ^a	2.05±0.08 ^a	0.019
542	Methionine	0.84±0.01 ^{ns}	0.84±0.02	0.87±0.04	0.542
543	Phenylalanine	1.85±0.00 ^{ns}	1.85±0.03	1.86±0.02	0.777
544	Threonine	1.59±0.00 ^{ns}	1.72±0.14	1.74±0.08	0.353
545	Tryptophan	0.03±0.00 ^{ns}	0.03±0.00	0.04±0.01	0.480
546	Valine	2.08±0.02 ^{ns}	2.13±0.05	2.19±0.11	0.422

547 ^a Values within the same row having different superscript letters are significantly different (*P*<0.05).

548 ^b Freeze-dried whole un-extracted *N. granulata* algal meal.

549 ^c Residual *N. granulata* algal meals after SFE processing at 35 MPa pressure for 270 min. at 70 or 90°C.

550

551 **Table 3**

552 Species-specific *in vitro* pH-Stat degree of hydrolysis (DH), predicted apparent digestibility coefficients
 553 (ADC) for protein and digestible protein (DP) content of *Nannochloropsis granulata* algal meals^a (n=3).

554		Base ^b	C70 ^c	C90 ^c	<i>P</i> -value
555	Pacific white shrimp				
556	DH (%)	3.41±0.13 ^{ns}	3.70±0.30	3.58±0.21	0.351
557	Predicted ADC ^d (%)	82.9±0.5 ^{ns}	83.9±1.0	83.5±0.7	0.366
558	DP (% , as-fed basis)	28.1±0.2 ^{ab}	27.5±0.3 ^a	28.2±0.2 ^b	0.025
559	DP (% , dry matter basis)	29.1±0.2 ^{ns}	28.6±0.3	29.2±0.2	0.055
560					
561	Rainbow trout				
562	DH (%)	3.23±0.12 ^a	4.93±0.09 ^b	5.17±0.20 ^b	<0.001
563	Predicted ADC ^e (%)	81.6±0.4 ^a	87.6±0.3 ^b	88.4±0.7 ^b	<0.001
564	DP (% , as-fed basis)	27.6±0.1 ^a	28.7±0.1 ^b	29.8±0.2 ^c	<0.001
565	DP (% , dry matter basis)	28.8±0.1 ^a	31.9±0.1 ^b	32.6±0.3 ^c	<0.001

566 ^a Values within the same row having different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

567 ^b Freeze-dried whole un-extracted *N. granulata* algal meal.

568 ^c Residual *N. granulata* algal meals after SFE processing at 35 MPa pressure for 270 min. at 70 or 90°C.

569 ^d Prediction equation: $ADC = \frac{[-0.1033 + 139.88DH]}{[1 + 1.355DH + 0.011DH^2]}$ according to Lemos et
 570 al. [16].

571 ^e Prediction equation: $ADC = (3.5093DH + 70.248)$ according to Yasumaru and Lemos [17].

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank William Bjornsson, Scott MacQuarrie and Margaret MacPherson for valuable technical assistance during this study. We gratefully acknowledge Drs. Patrick McGinn and Santosh Lall for logistical support and Dr. Stephen O'Leary for reviewing a draft of this manuscript. Funding for this work was provided by the National Bioproducts Program (NBP); a collaborative agreement between Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC), Natural Resources Canada (NRCan) and the National Research Council of Canada (NRCC) and through a Materials Transfer Agreement between NRC and LAM. This is NRCC publication no. 56232.