

# NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

### Psychological burden during the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany

Schelhorn, Iris; Ecker, Angelika; Lüdtke, Michael Noah; Rehm, Stefan; Tran, Thomy; Bereznai, Judith Lena; Meyer, Marie Lisa; Sütterlin, Stefan; Kinateder, Max; Lugo, Ricardo Gregorio; Shiban, Youssef

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. / La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

For the publisher's version, please access the DOI link below./ Pour consulter la version de l'éditeur, utilisez le lien DOI ci-dessous.

#### Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.640518 Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 2021-09-07

NRC Publications Archive Record / Notice des Archives des publications du CNRC : https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=e6d5ea99-ae7b-40c7-a3a6-db72a903ba93

https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=e6d5ea99-ae7b-40c7-a3a6-db72a903ba93

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at <a href="https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright">https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright</a> READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site <u>https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits</u> LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

Questions? Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at

PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

**Vous avez des questions?** Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.







Psychological burden during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany

3
4 Iris Schelhorn<sup>†\*1</sup>, Angelika Ecker<sup>†2</sup>, Michael Lüdtke<sup>3</sup>, Stefan Rehm<sup>3</sup>, Thomy Tran<sup>3</sup>,
5 Judith Bereznai<sup>3</sup>, Marie Meyer<sup>3</sup>, Stefan Sütterlin<sup>4</sup>, Max Kinateder<sup>5</sup>, Ricardo Lugo<sup>4,6</sup>,
6 Youssef Shiban<sup>3</sup>

7

1

2

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg,
   Germany.
- <sup>2</sup>Clinic and Polyclinic for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and
- 11 Psychotherapy, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany.
- 12 <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Psychology; PFH Private University of Applied Sciences, Weender
- 13 Landstraße 3-7, 37073 Göttingen, Germany.
- <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Health and Welfare Sciences, Østfold University College, Halden, Norway & 2)
- 15 Division of Clinical Neuroscience, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway.
- <sup>5</sup>National Research Council Canada, 1200 Montreal Road, K1M 1W2, Ottawa, Canada.
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Information Security and Communication Technology, Norwegian
- 18 University of Science and Technology, Gjøvik, Norway.
- 19 20

**†Shared authorship.** These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first
 authorship.

23

#### 24 \*Correspondence:

- 25 Iris Schelhorn
- 26 iris.schelhorn@ur.de
- 27

#### 28 Key Words: COVID-19, psychological burden, Germany

- 30
- 31

#### 32 Abstract

- After the first COVID-19 case was diagnosed in Germany, various measures limiting contact 33
- 34 between people were introduced across the country. The implementation of these measures
- 35 varied between jurisdictions and potentially had a negative impact on the psychological well-
- being of many people. However, the prevalence, severity, and type of symptoms of 36
- 37 psychological burden has not been documented in detail. In the current study, we analyse 38
- various self-reported symptoms of psychological burden in a German sample.
- 39 The dataset was collected between April 8 and June 1, 2020 through an online survey
- 40 distributed in two German states. More than 2,000 individuals responded to the survey, with a
- 41 total of 1.459 complete datasets measuring psychological burden. The survey measured
- 42 psychological burden using the ICD-10-symptom rating scale. Data was then sampled to
- 43 compare (1) the new data to an existing demographically comparable reference dataset
- 44 including a total of 2,512 participants who did not undergo any kind of contact restrictions or
- 45 other pandemic measurements, and (2) psychological burden in two different German states.
- 46 In line with recent observations from Germany, Italy, China, Austria and Turkey, we found a
- 47 high prevalence of depressive symptoms in comparison to the reference sample. Furthermore,
- we found a high prevalence of eating disorder and compulsion symptoms. Especially younger 48
- adults and women reported a higher symptom severity compared to other groups during our 49
- 50 measurement period. However, no difference between the two states in psychological burden
- 51 was found.

#### 52 1 Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) declared a public health emergency of international 53 concern on 30 January 2020 due to the COronaVIrus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Such a 54 55 declaration implies that a disease can potentially have a serious impact on public health, including mental health (World Health Organization, 2020). Because of this declaration, 56 many governments enacted public health interventions such as physical distancing, cancelling 57 58 leisure time activities, mandatory breaks for schools and universities, travel restrictions and 59 obligatory quarantine for anyone tested positive for the disease. Some of these measures 60 restricted personal movement and may therefore have led to social isolation. Both have been 61 linked to an increase in stress-responses and even mortality rates (Cacioppo, Cacioppo, Capitanio, & Cole, 2015; Conradi, Noordhof, & Arntz, 2020; Grewen, Anderson, Girdler, & 62 Light, 2003; Holt-Lunstad, Smith, Baker, Harris, & Stephenson, 2015). The meta-analytic 63 64 study of Holt-Lunstad et al. (2015) found increases in mortality rates of 32% for living alone, 26% for loneliness and 29% for social isolation. In addition, the economic consequences of 65 the restrictions have begun taking their toll, e.g., through a sharp increase in unemployment 66 and decreased employment security (e.g., in Germany). The economic consequences are 67 68 likely to further amplify the psychosocial burden, given that loss of employment, for 69 example, is a highly stressful life event (Slavich & Shields, 2018). Therefore, it is not 70 surprising that the prevalence of depression, but also of other mental disorders, has increased 71 since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic and the implementation of measures to

- restrict social contact (e.g., Tang et al., 2020).
- 73 Even more troubling, the burden from psychological disorders has become an increasing
- health risk even before the start of the COVID-19 outbreak. According to the Global Burden
- of Disease study of 2019, for example depression has been the 13th highest reason for
- 76 disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), and even ranking 4th in the age group of 10-24. This
- 77 marks a sharp rise in contribution percentage to DALYs compared to earlier samples (Vos et
- al., 2020) where the average global prevalence of depression before the COVID-19 pandemic
- 79 was 4.4% (Friedrich, 2017). This is especially problematic since depressive disorders are
- 80 associated with suicidal behaviour (Bolton, Gunnell, & Turecki, 2015; Chai et al., 2020) and
- 81 often become chronic (Monroe, Anderson, & Harkness, 2019).
- 82 Recent studies concerning the psychological effects of the COVID-19 pandemic worldwide have shown an increased risk in mental disorders in different countries. Pieh, Budimir, and 83 84 Probst, 2020 observed a prevalence of 21% for depression symptoms and 19% for anxiety symptoms in Austria over a 2-week period (until April 30th, 2020) following a 4-week 85 86 lockdown due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Comparable results were demonstrated in Italy 87 (between March 27th and April 06th 2020) with the prevalence of disorder symptoms being 17.3% for depression and 20.8% for anxiety symptoms (Rossi et al., 2020). Wang et al. 88 (2021) reported a prevalence of 17% for depression as well as a prevalence of 6% for anxiety 89 90 symptomatology (from the 6th-9th of February 2020) in a Chinese sample. In Turkey 91 (between 14th-16th of April 2020) results show that 23% of the participants scored above the depression cut-off and 45% scored above the anxiety cut-off of the Hospital Anxiety and 92 93 Depression Scale (Özdin & Bayrak Özdin, 2020). Similar results were found in Switzerland 94 where de Quervain et al. (2020) investigated the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on 95 mental health where over half of the participants reported an increase in depressive symptoms 96 during confinement compared to before the virus outbreak. In this study, those with a history 97 of psychiatric disorders were more at risk than participants with no prior psychiatric issues 98 whereas older people and men were more resilient. Other studies confirm these findings and 99 also show that female participants had higher depression scores than men, were lonelier and

- 100 suffered more from daily life fatigue (Bartoszek, Walkowiak, Bartoszek, & Kardas, 2020).
- 101 Specifically for the German population, studies indicate comparable effects of the COVID-19
- situation and the accompanying restrictions on mental health. A large study showed high
- prevalence for depressive symptoms, generalized anxiety disorder and general distress
   (Bäuerle, Teufel et al., 2020) and several studies indicate that the psychological burden is
- 104 (Bäuerle, Teufel et al., 2020) and several studies indicate that the psychological burgespecially high for women (Bäuerle, Graf et al., 2020; Peters, Rospleszcz, Greiser,
- 106 Dallavalle, & Berger, 2020; Petzold et al., 2020). Furthermore, the pandemic and the
- 107 restrictions it entailed seemed to represent a greater psychological burden for younger
- 108 compared to older people (Bäuerle, Teufel et al., 2020; Peters et al., 2020). Specifically, a
- 109 study of Röhr, Reininghaus and Riedel-Heller (2020) showed older people (> 65 years) to be
- 110 more resilient regarding depression, anxiety and somatization symptoms. Another recent
- 111 study focusing on health care staff in Germany showed that health care workers were less
- 112 psychologically burdened than the comparison group regarding symptoms of depression,
- anxiety and fear of COVID in the first weeks of restrictions (Skoda et al., 2020).
- 114 In the present study, psychological well-being during the time of restrictions following the 115 COVID-19 outbreak was explored in different regions of Germany, mainly Bavaria and 116 Lower Saxony. This could be of interest, as due to the federal state structure of Germany and 117 differences in impact of COVID-19, German states imposed different levels of curfew, while 118 other factors influencing stress and well-being under a pandemic such as healthcare system 119 infrastructure, availability and accessibility of healthcare systems (Brooks et al., 2020), are 120 comparable across Germany. Furthermore, sociodemographic structures, economic situations, 121 and trust in public authorities are equally comparable in all states. We explored psychological 122 burden shortly after restrictions were introduced and compared them to a reference sample from 2010 (Tritt et al., 2010) containing non-clinical participants who did not undergo any 123 124 kind of contact restrictions or other pandemic related measurements. We were interested in 125 different mental health syndromes: depression, anxiety, somatization, eating disorder symptoms, and compulsion. We hypothesized that there would be a high prevalence of 126 127 depressive symptoms due to an increase in stress level. Furthermore, we hypothesized that 128 the measures resulted in different levels of psychological stress and therefore reported 129 symptoms in two different regions expecting higher levels in Bavaria than in Lower Saxony 130 as the restrictions were more intense in the Bavarian region.

### 131 2. Materials and Method

### 132 2.1 Study Design

- 133 A cross-sectional design was used to collect survey data in a web-based questionnaire. The
- 134 effects of age, gender, and region of residence on severity of symptoms were further
- explored. The 15-minute survey included additional questionnaires; however, those
- 136 characteristics were outside the scope of the present analysis. The data was collected between
- 137 April 8 and June 1 2020. The timeline of this study within the context of COVID-19
- development is depicted in Figure 1.

### 139 2.2 Sample

140 Participants were recruited via flyers, social online platforms, mailing lists and notices in in-

141 patient clinics and supermarkets. Out of 2,506 participants who had started the questionnaire,

- 142 1,739 datasets (69.4% valid sets; 72.6% female) contained data that was usable for statistical
- 143 analysis for this manuscript. We excluded 280 participants who reported to have been or were
- 144 in psychotherapy, as the sample we used for comparison (reference sample) was a mentally

- healthy group of individuals. The resulting sample size was N=1,459 (71.4% females), the
- 146 age is ranged between 18 and 88 years, M = 34.35, SD = 14.04. Exclusion criteria were an
- 147 age younger than 18 years, unrealistic or missing values as well as not having completed the 148 sections needed for this analysis. Demographic data are depicted in table 1. An indication of
- 148 sections needed for this analysis. Demographic data are depicted in table 1. An indication of 149 the e-mail address for future investigations was voluntary, otherwise no further personally
- 150 identifiable information was collected. All participants gave their informed consent for
- 151 participation and completed the questionnaires electronically. Data was collected
- anonymously without IP addresses or GPS tracking. Email address, when provided, was
- separated from the rest of the dataset. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of
- the Department of Psychology at the PFH Private Hochschule Göttingen (Ethics application
- 155 number: 251982).

### 156 2.2.1 Reference Sample

157 For standardization of the ISR questionnaire, which is described in the measures section, Tritt

- the et al. (2010) used a sample of n = 8,892 in-patients and of n = 2,512 non-clinical subsample
- 159 from all over Germany. Therefore, the non-clinical subsample is comparable to our sample as
- 160 it has also been recruited throughout Germany. For this reason, we used it in the subsequent
- analysis and will refer to it as the "reference sample ". In contrast to our sample, the
- 162 participants of Tritt et al. (2010) did not undergo any kind of contact restrictions or other
- 163 pandemic measurements.

## 164 2.2.2 Data reduction: Subsamples

165 To answer distinct research questions, two subsamples were used: The full sample consisted

- 166 of 417 male and 1,042 female participants. We first matched our data to the existing
- reference sample (Tritt et al., 2010) in order to understand the magnitude of psychological
- burden in our data as a whole. In order to compare our data to a reference sample, 458 female
- 169 participants were randomly selected to adapt the gender distribution of our sample to the
- 170 reference sample, resulting in a subsample of n=875 participants (52.3% female). For
- 171 comparing states with different lockdown measures, we only analysed data from participants172 living in Bavaria and Lower Saxony and excluded participants who lived outside the two
- living in Bavaria and Lower Saxony and excluded participants who lived outside the twotarget states, vielding a total of 777 complete data sets. The sampling procedure is depicted in
- 174 Figure 2.

# 175 2.3 Measures

# 176 2.3.1 Depressive Symptoms and mental health

177 ISR. Depression and other mental health symptoms were both measured with the concerning subscale of the self-report questionnaire ICD-10-Symptom-Rating (ISR, Tritt et al. (2008)) 178 179 that can be used for clinical diagnostics in the German-speaking area. The ISR was designed 180 and validated for the rapid assessment of depression, anxiety, eating disorder, obsessive 181 compulsive disorder, and somatoform disorder symptoms. In total, the ISR contains 29 items to be rated on a 5-point-Likert-scale from 0 to 4, with 0 indicating "does not apply" and 4 182 183 indicating "extremely applies". The period under consideration is the last 2 weeks. Subscales consist of either three (eating disorder subscale: e.g., "I spend a lot of time thinking of ways 184 185 to lose weight") or four items (e.g. depression subscale: e.g., "I feel down and depressed"). There are also supplementary items (12 items) focusing on other ICD classifications, that are 186 not included in the above categories. Furthermore, we added three items measuring reported 187 188 differences in substance consumption. The ISR item scores are averaged for each subscale

- 189 and the subscales can be taken together in a total score. The average of the response values of
- a category provides the score for each individual subscale, which is categorized according to
- severity. For example, symptomatology of depression was considered suspicious, mild, moderate or severe if the respective average score was  $\ge 0.75 \ge 1.0 \ge 2.0$  or  $\ge 3.0$
- 192 moderate or severe if the respective average score was  $\ge 0.75$ ,  $\ge 1.0$ ,  $\ge 2.0$  or  $\ge 3.0$
- respectively. The reported internal consistency for the total score is very good (Cronbach's  $\alpha$ =.92) with slightly lower scores for the subscales (Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = .78 - .86). The strengths of
- (194 .92) with sightly lower scores for the subscares (Cronoach s u = .78 .80). The strengths of the ISR is its brevity and its pragmatic approach to good quality criteria, with validated
- scales: The ISR has shown good associations to other validated diagnostic tools such as the
- 197 PHQ-D (German version of "PRIME MD Brief Patient Health Questionnaire", Löwe, Zipfel,
- and Herzog (2002)) and with the widely used symptom Checklist-90 R (SCL-90; Franke and
- 199 Derogatis (2002)) and has been validated in clinical samples (e.g., Brandt et al., 2015). In our
- sample, we calculated Cronbach's  $\alpha$  for the depression subscale ( $\alpha = .81$ ), the anxiety subscale
- 201 ( $\alpha = .85$ ), the compulsion subscale ( $\alpha = .83$ ), the somatization subscale ( $\alpha = .83$ ) and the eating 202 disorder subscale ( $\alpha = .81$ ).
- 203 2.3.2 Lockdown severity

To compare different degrees of severity of the measures taken, we compared the data gained
 from the federal German states of Lower Saxony and Bavaria, as both states had enforced

lockdown measures differing in severity (see figure 1), based on the lockdown measures

dataset from Steinmetz, Batzdorfer and Bosnjak (2020). In Bavaria, obligatory restrictive
 curfew was imposed starting from 21th of March until the beginning of May: People were

209 only allowed to leave their houses to take care of the absolutely necessary (e.g. in certain

cases going to work, doing sports on their own, grocery shopping and attending to home care

- services). In Lower Saxony, starting from 23rd of March, social distancing was
- recommended and enforced, however citizens were only advised to voluntarily stay at homeif possible.

### 214 2.4 Statistical Analysis

The subsequent analysis focused on comparing our sample and the reference sample. When 215 comparing means between independent groups we calculated Welch's t-tests, due to 216 217 inhomogeneity of variance between samples. As a measure for effect size, we used Hedge's g 218 due to a large difference in sample size. Furthermore, for depressive symptoms, we explored differences between male and female participants, age groups and states. In a series of 219 220 hierarchical regression models, combinations of age, gender and state were used to predict 221 the average score of depression, compulsion, anxiety, eating disorder and somatization 222 symptoms. To correct for multiple comparisons where appropriate, the false discovery rate 223 (FDR, Benjamini and Hochberg (1995)) was applied. Reported p-values reflect the FDR 224 correction. The statistical analysis was carried out using R 3.6.2 (R Core Team, 2017).

### 225 **3 Results**

### 226 **3.1. Specific symptoms**

227 The items with the highest mean scores in the survey sample were "I feel down and

- 228 depressed" (M = 1.25, SD = 1.04) for the depression subscale, "I try to avoid these harmless
- frightening situations" (M = 0.76, SD = 1.09) for the anxiety subscale, "I try to resist
- recurring, seemingly senseless thoughts and actions, but often don't succeed" (M = 0.54, SD
- 231 =1.00) for the compulsion subscale, "I worry about having a serious physical illness" (M =
- 232 0.37, SD = 0.80) for the somatization subscale and "I spend a lot of time thinking of ways to 233 lose weight" (M = 0.90, SD = 1.21) for the eating disorder subscale.

### **3.2.** Comparison of the COVID-19 sample with the reference sample

We found significant differences between the reference and the COVID-19 sample in depressive symptoms, compulsive symptoms and symptoms of eating disorder, as displayed in Table 2, with higher burden in the COVID-19 sample. We found no different scores on the anxiety scale and a very small difference in somatization symptoms with higher prevalence in the reference sample (see Table 2). Significant medium effects for depressive disorder and significant but small effects for compulsive, eating disorder and somatization symptoms were found.

### 242 3.3 Gender, age and state of residence and ISR symptom severity

243 We calculated linear regression models for each of the ISR symptom scales (N = 1,459; see Table 3 and Figure 4). For each subscale, we compared models that only considered main 244 effects to models that included an interaction term. Except for the eating disorder subscale, we 245 246 found that the added interaction term was neither a significant predictor nor did it improve the 247 model fits. In all subscales except somatization, age was a significant predictor. For instance, 248 for every additional year in participants' age, the depression score decreased by 0.012 points. Similarly, male participants reported less severe symptoms than female participants (again, 249 250 except for somatization). We then correlated the subscales against each other (Figure 5). Except 251 for the eating disorder subscale, all subscales were significantly correlated to at least one other 252 subscale, with the compulsion and anxiety subscale showing the strongest correlation.

#### 253 **3.4** State of residence

254 There were no significant differences in average symptom severity for depression,

255 compulsion, anxiety, somatization or eating disorder symptoms between Lower Saxony and 256 Bavaria (all p > .155). For depression, average scores were at M = 0.94 (SD = 0.85) in Lower 257 Saxony and M = 0.89 (SD = 0.78) in Bavaria. Average male scores were at M = 0.79 (SD = 258 0.72) in Lower Saxony and M = 0.87 (SD = 0.80) in Bavaria, average female scores were M =259 1.01 (SD = 0.90) in Lower Saxony and M = 0.90 (SD = 0.78) in Bavaria. For eating disorders, 260 average scores were at M = 0.75 (SD = 1.00) in Lower Saxony and M = 0.76 (SD = 1.03) in 261 Bavaria. Average male scores were at M = 0.46 (SD = 0.70) in Lower Saxony and M = 0.53(SD = 0.86) in Bavaria, average female scores were M = 0.88 (SD = 1.08) in Lower Saxony 262 and M = 0.85 (SD = 1.08) in Bavaria. Means and standard deviations can be found in Table 4. 263

#### 264 **4. Discussion**

265 The aim of this study was to contribute to a deeper understanding of the psychological burden 266 associated with restrictions taken by German governments as a consequence of the COVID-267 19 pandemic with a specific focus on depressive symptoms. By providing information concerning psychological well-being during a period of unprecedented conditions (COVID-268 269 19, lockdown measures), we aimed to support an informational base for the development of prevention and recovery action. In comparison with reference data (Tritt et al., 2010) from 270 271 prior to the current outbreak, as expected, our results suggest a high prevalence of depressive 272 symptoms, but also of compulsory and eating disorder symptoms. It appears that the prevalence of anxiety symptoms was the same in both samples, while the prevalence of 273 274 somatization symptoms was higher in the reference sample.

#### 275 4.1 Findings on symptom prevalence

276 A general increase in the rates of depressive symptoms during a pandemic would be in line 277 with previous studies conducted before the coronavirus outbreak (Brooks et al., 2020; 278 Hawryluck et al., 2004; Yip, Cheung, Chau, & Law, 2010) and with other prevalence studies 279 conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic (Bäuerle, Teufel et al., 2020; Pieh et al., 2020; Rossi et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021) as well as longitudinal studies (Castellini et al., 2021). 280 281 With regard to our exploratory findings, starting with eating disorder symptoms, two studies 282 investigating reactions of formerly diagnosed patients also reported worsening of eating disorder symptoms during lockdown in European samples (Fernández-Aranda et al., 2020; 283 284 Robertson et al., 2021), studies targeting eating disorder symptoms in the general population 285 during the COVID-19 crisis are still scarce to our knowledge: One Australian study showed an increase in restricting and binge eating behaviors (Phillipou et al., 2020). Furthermore, 286 287 increases in unhealthy eating behavior became apparent: One study conducted in Italy found 288 a weight gain in 48.6% of the population (Di Renzo et al., 2020) and an international online survey found decreases in physical activity and more unhealthy food consumption patterns 289 290 (Ammar et al., 2020). There are not many studies with a focus on compulsive symptoms, 291 however in former patients (Jelinek, Moritz, Miegel, & Voderholzer, 2021) as well as 292 adolescents and children (Tanir et al., 2020), increases in symptomatology have been 293 documented. Interestingly, our study did not find elevated anxiety symptoms, which is contradictory to the results of e.g., Li et al. (2020) and Zhu et al. (2020). However, other 294 295 studies also showed no increase in anxiety symptoms in China (e.g., Wang et al., 2020) and 296 in a longitudinal study in the Netherlands (Pan et al., 2021). Higher anxiety levels have been 297 shown to be related to lower social capital (Xiao, Zhang, Kong, Li, & Yang, 2020), to poor 298 mental health (Wang et al., 2021) and to COVID-19-infections (Özdin & Bayrak Özdin, 299 2020) while living in urban areas and a steady family income served as protection from 300 elevated anxiety levels (Cao et al., 2020). As most people in Germany have comparably high 301 financial security, the degree of COVID-19-infections in our sample was low and only mentally healthy participants were included in this study, our sample might therefore not 302 303 have been very vulnerable to experience anxiety. Most surprising was the low prevalence of 304 somatization symptoms. When looking at the intercorrelations of the scales, it was interesting to note that this study showed low associations between the depressive subscale and the other 305 306 scales, where other studies show high associations (e.g., Olfson et al., 2017). However, one study recently demonstrated that there are specific risk factors, not only predicting elevated 307 308 psychopathological symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic, but also comorbidities (Palgi 309 et al., 2020). This study showed that loneliness predicted the comorbidity between anxiety 310 and depressive symptoms. Furthermore, one could assume, that the increase in depressive 311 symptoms was a normal rather than a psychopathological reaction to a loss of incentives, 312 social support, ease of life, and very specific to the restrictions following the COVID-19 313 pandemic and therefore not associated with other psychopathologies.

#### 314 4.1 Findings on symptom predictors

315 Regarding gender and age, some differences in the rates and severity of psychological burden 316 were found: Females showed higher rates of all scales except for somatization, which was not 317 surprising considering that the general risk of those disorders is higher for this group (Busch, 318 Maske, Ryl, Schlack, & Hapke, 2013; Grobe et al., 2018; Sepulveda, Carrobles, & Gandarillas, 2008). Females might also be especially vulnerable during the COVID-19 319 320 pandemic because they often tend to work in fields that were most affected by the pandemic. 321 as well as more likely to be responsible for childcare which might increase worrying 322 behaviours (Niedzwiedz et al., 2021; Alon, Doepke, Olmstead-Rumsey, & Tertilt, 2020). 323 Furthermore, lockdown increased risks of domestic violence, which usually affects women 324 more often (Alon et al., 2020).

- 325 Our results suggest, that younger people have higher symptoms in all symptom subscales 326 than older participants, except for the somatization scale. This supports previous findings (Bäuerle, Teufel et al., 2020; Pieh et al., 2020) that mental health of young adults and women 327 328 was significantly more burdened by the COVID-19 pandemic and the lockdown. One 329 explanation could be that older people often have better emotion regulation skills (Charles, 330 2010) that help coping with the psychological effects of the pandemic (Barber & Kim, 2021). 331 Results from this study show that older men exhibited less worrying related to the Corona pandemic (Barber & Kim, 2021). Pieh, Budimir & Probst (2020) assumed that younger 332 333 people are usually more likely to experience job insecurity and financial problems, the 334 lockdown and the resulting restrictions might therefore have a greater impact on the daily
- 335 lives of younger adults. It is important to note, that although significant, these were small affects and our model could only avalated only 4% of the variance
- effects and our model could only explained only 4% of the variance.
- Contrary to other studies (e.g., Benke, Autenrieth, Asselmann, & Pané-Farré, 2020), no
  difference in symptomatology was found between people living in Lower Saxony and
- 339 Bavaria even though Bavaria had a stay-at-home order and Lower Saxony did not. This result
- 340 however is consistent with data collected conducted prior to the pandemic, where no
- 341 significant difference in prevalence rates for depression in adults was found between these
- 342 two states regardless of gender (Bretschneider, Kuhnert, & Hapke, 2017). Therefore, it can be
- 343 concluded that a voluntary stay-at-home order in comparison with a forced stay-at-home
- order did not have an impact on differences in mental health symptoms in our sample.

## 345 4.3 Limitations

346 There are several limitations to this study. First, any observations made here are purely 347 correlational. There were neither baseline measures nor any follow-up measures conducted and therefore no statements about the development of symptoms or long-term effects can yet 348 349 be made. Second, the data presented here was collected from a convenience sample. 350 Therefore, even despite our resampling efforts, the sample reported on here, likely differs 351 from the reference sample (Tritt et al., 2010). As the study was mainly conducted with residents of Lower Saxony and Bavaria, it is unclear if the data can be generalized to 352 Germany's population as a whole. In addition, the reference sample used to establish 353 354 differences in symptom rates, is ten years old (Tritt et al., 2010). Since then, an increase in for 355 example depressive disorders is likely, as incidence rates for depressive disorders in Germany have increased (see Kaufmännische Krankenkasse, 2020, May 25). Our study therefore 356 357 cannot clearly state whether the high symptom prevalence is due to the restrictions or due to a 358 general increase in symptoms during the last decade. Second, despite the described 359 differences in lockdown measures between the two German states, in both states restrictions 360 were imposed. Therefore, the study can mainly make the specific point that a forced stay-at-361 home order did not have an impact on psychological burden compared to a voluntary stay-at-

home order.

### 363 4.4 Implications

364 Still, the results of this study complement the results of other research during the first weeks 365 after restrictions: It matches the results of comparable studies in other countries in terms of 366 depressive symptoms (e.g., Pieh et al., 2020) and provides interesting new results concerning 367 high prevalence of eating disorder and compulsive symptoms, especially for younger and 368 female adults. As the ISR has been validated with a large sample size and shows good quality 369 criteria, it serves as a good measure of psychological burden, so the data here can be 370 considered as a screening. The current study could therefore be interpreted as a warning sign.

371 The duration and causes of psychological burden should be further investigated, and 372 countermeasures should be taken. In a nationwide lockdown online mental health counselling 373 is a far-reaching method to help many people (Dan, 2020). One helpful prevention measure is 374 to educate the public on what mental health issues they may face during times of isolation. It 375 is useful to provide tips on how people can handle individual situations and suffering, such as 376 loneliness, in order to manage these situations and minimize suffering (Hiremath, Suhas 377 Kowshik, Manjunath, & Shettar, 2020). Tele-mental-health services, online platforms and social media are helpful for support and care during mental health crises in the Corona 378 379 pandemic, especially in rural areas (Zhou et al., 2020). For example, the e-mental health 380 intervention 'CoPE It' is a low-threshold approach to support people with mental distress during the Corona pandemic (Bäuerle, Graf et al., 2020). In Wuhan a free psychological 381 382 counselling service was offered online to reduce people's distress (Dan, 2020). Employers in 383 Canada support their employees by dropping mental health fees, providing support and educating them about mental health (Ho, Chee, & Ho, 2020). Furthermore, various online 384 385 mental health services can be taken as preventive measures to identify at-risk groups (Liu et 386 al., 2020). Still, for those developing mental disorders face-to-face therapies should be available. Sports (Pieh et al., 2020) as well as pursuing new projects or hobbies at home (de 387 388 Ouervain et al., 2020) seem to function as resilience factors.

#### 389 4.5 Conclusion

Even though this study provides merely a snapshot, due to a relatively large sample size, this dataset can contribute to a better overview of the psychological burden on mentally healthy participants during the COVID-19 pandemic in parts of Germany. We conclude that the prevalence of several symptoms, among them eating disorder and compulsion symptoms, but especially depressive symptoms in our sample was high during the first weeks after the restrictions in Germany. While the level of restrictiveness had no impact on mental health, young age and female gender seemed to slightly increase psychological burden.

#### **397 5 Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial orfinancial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### 400 6 Author Contributions

- 401 IS: concept, manuscript & data; AE: concept, manuscript; ML: data & manuscript; SR: data;
- 402 TT: data; JB: manuscript; MM: manuscript; SS: concept & manuscript; MK: concept,
- 403 manuscript & data; RL: concept & manuscript; YS: concept, manuscript & data

#### 404 7 Funding

This study was part of a larger project funded by Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences.

### 405 8 References

406 407 408	Alon, T., Doepke, M., Olmstead-Rumsey, J., & Tertilt, M. (2020). The Impact of COVID-19 on Gender Equality. <i>National Bureau of Economic Research</i> . Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.3386/w26947
409	Ammar, A., Brach, M., Trabelsi, K., Chtourou, H., Boukhris, O., Masmoudi, L., On
410	Behalf of the ECLB-COVID19 Consortium (2020). Effects of COVID-19 Home
411	Confinement on Eating Behaviour and Physical Activity: Results of the ECLB-
412	COVID19 International Online Survey. <i>Nutrients</i> , <i>12</i> (6), 1583.
413	https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12061583
414	Barber, S. J., & Kim, H. (2021). Covid-19 Worries and Behavior Changes in Older and
415	Younger Men and Women. <i>The Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological</i>
416	<i>Sciences and Social Sciences</i> , 76(2), e17-e23. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbaa068
417	Bartoszek, A., Walkowiak, D., Bartoszek, A., & Kardas, G. (2020). Mental Well-Being
418	(Depression, Loneliness, Insomnia, Daily Life Fatigue) during COVID-19 Related
419	Home-Confinement—A Study from Poland. <i>International Journal of Environmental</i>
420	<i>Research and Public Health</i> , <i>17</i> (20), 7417. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17207417
421	Bäuerle, A., Graf, J., Jansen, C., Musche, V., Schweda, A., Hetkamp, M., Skoda, E
422	M. (2020). E-mental health mindfulness-based and skills-based 'CoPE It' intervention to
423	reduce psychological distress in times of COVID-19: Study protocol for a bicentre
424	longitudinal study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , <i>10</i> (8), e039646. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-
425	039646
426	Bäuerle, A., Teufel, M., Musche, V., Weismüller, B., Kohler, H., Hetkamp, M.,
427	Skoda, EM. (2020). Increased generalized anxiety, depression and distress during the
428	COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study in Germany. <i>Journal of Public Health</i>
429	( <i>Oxford, England</i> ), 42(4), 672–678. https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdaa106
430 431 432 433	Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. <i>Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)</i> , <i>57</i> (1), 289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x
434 435 436 437	Benke, C., Autenrieth, L. K., Asselmann, E., & Pané-Farré, C. A. (2020). Lockdown, quarantine measures, and social distancing: Associations with depression, anxiety and distress at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic among adults from Germany. <i>Psychiatry Research</i> , <i>293</i> , 113462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113462
438 439 440	Bolton, J. M., Gunnell, D., & Turecki, G. (2015). Suicide risk assessment and intervention in people with mental illness. <i>BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)</i> , <i>351</i> , h4978. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h4978

441 Brandt, W. A., Loew, T., Heymann, F. von, Stadtmüller, G., Georgi, A., Tischinger, 442 M., ... Tritt, K. (2015). Das ICD-10 Symptomrating (ISR): Validierung der Depressionsskala an einer klinischen Stichprobe [The ICD-10 Symptom Rating (ISR): 443 validation of the depression scale in a clinical sample]. *Psychotherapie*. *Psychosomatik*. 444 445 medizinische Psychologie, 65(6), 234–237. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1398542 446 Bretschneider, J., Kuhnert, R., & Hapke, U. (2017). Depressive symptoms among adults 447 in Germany (No. 3): Robert Koch-Institut, Epidemiologie und 448 Gesundheitsberichterstattung, 2. 449 Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wesselv, S., Greenberg, N., & Rubin, G. J. (2020). The psychological impact of guarantine and how to reduce it: 450 rapid review of the evidence. The Lancet, 395(10227), 912-920. 451 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8 452 453 Busch, M., Maske, U., Ryl, L., Schlack, R., & Hapke, U. (2013). Prevalence of depressive symptoms and diagnosed depression among adults in Germany (No. 5/6): 454 455 Robert Koch-Institut, Epidemiologie und Gesundheitsberichterstattung, 56. 456 Cacioppo, J. T., Cacioppo, S., Capitanio, J. P., & Cole, S. W. (2015). The 457 neuroendocrinology of social isolation. Annual Review of Psychology, 66, 733-767. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010814-015240 458 459 Cao, W., Fang, Z., Hou, G., Han, M., Xu, X., Dong, J., & Zheng, J. (2020). The 460 psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. Psychiatry Research, 287, 112934. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112934 461 462 Castellini, G., Rossi, E., Cassioli, E., Sanfilippo, G., Innocenti, M., Gironi, V., ... Ricca, 463 V. (2021). A longitudinal observation of general psychopathology before the COVID-19 464 outbreak and during lockdown in Italy. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 141, 110328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2020.110328 465 466 Chai, Y., Luo, H., Wong, G. H. Y., Tang, J. Y. M., Lam, T.-C., Wong, I. C. K., & Yip, P. 467 S. F. (2020). Risk of self-harm after the diagnosis of psychiatric disorders in Hong Kong, 2000–10: a nested case-control study. The Lancet Psychiatry, 7(2), 135–147. 468 https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30004-3 469 470 Charles, S. T. (2010). Strength and vulnerability integration: A model of emotional well-471 being across adulthood. Psychological Bulletin, 136(6), 1068–1091. 472 https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021232 473 Conradi, H. J., Noordhof, A., & Arntz, A. (2020). Improvement of Conflict Handling: Hand-Holding During and After Conflict Discussions Affects Heart Rate, Mood, and 474 475 Observed Communication Behavior in Romantic Partners. Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, 46(5), 419–434. https://doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2020.1748778 476 477 Dan, Z. (2020). China adopts non-contact free consultation to help the public cope with 478 the psychological pressure caused by new coronavirus pneumonia. Asian Journal of 479 Psychiatry, 52, 102093. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102093

Di Renzo, L., Gualtieri, P., Pivari, F., Soldati, L., Attinà, A., Cinelli, G., ... Lorenzo, A. 480 481 de (2020). Eating habits and lifestyle changes during COVID-19 lockdown: An Italian survey. Journal of Translational Medicine, 18, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-482 020-02399-5 483 484 Fernández-Aranda, F., Casas, M., Claes, L., Brvan, D. C., Favaro, A., Granero, R., ... 485 Treasure, J. (2020). Covid-19 and implications for eating disorders. *European Eating* 486 Disorders Review : The Journal of the Eating Disorders Association, 28(3), 239–245. 487 https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2738 488 Franke, G. H., & Derogatis, L. R. (2002). Symptom-Checkliste von LR Derogatis: SCL-489 90-R; deutsche Version: Beltz Test. Friedrich, M. J. (2017). Depression Is the Leading Cause of Disability Around the 490 World. JAMA, 317(15), 1517. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.3826 491 Grewen, K. M., Anderson, B. J., Girdler, S. S., & Light, K. C. (2003). Warm partner 492 493 contact is related to lower cardiovascular reactivity. Behavioral Medicine (Washington, D.C.), 29(3), 123-130. https://doi.org/10.1080/08964280309596065 494 495 Grobe, T. G., Kleine-Budde, K., Bramesfeld, A., Thom, J., Bretschneider, J., & Hapke, U. (2018). Prevalences of Depression Among Adults: Comparative analysis of a 496 497 nationwide survey and routine data. Gesundheitswesen (Bundesverband Der Arzte Des Offentlichen Gesundheitsdienstes (Germany)), 81(12), 1011–1017. 498 499 Hawryluck, L., Gold, W. L., Robinson, S., Pogorski, S., Galea, S., & Styra, R. (2004). 500 SARS control and psychological effects of quarantine, Toronto, Canada. *Emerging* 501 Infectious Diseases, 10(7), 1206–1212. 502 Hiremath, P., Suhas Kowshik, C. S., Manjunath, M., & Shettar, M. (2020). Covid 19: 503 Impact of lock-down on mental health and tips to overcome. Asian Journal of 504 Psychiatry, 51, 102088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102088 505 Ho, C. S., Chee, C. Y., & Ho, R. C. (2020). Mental health strategies to combat the 506 psychological impact of COVID-19 beyond paranoia and panic. Ann Acad Med 507 Singapore, 49(1), 1–3. 508 Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., Baker, M., Harris, T., & Stephenson, D. (2015). 509 Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for mortality: A meta-analytic review. 510 Perspectives on Psychological Science : A Journal of the Association for Psychological Science, 10(2), 227–237. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691614568352 511 Jelinek, L., Moritz, S., Miegel, F., & Voderholzer, U. (2021). Obsessive-compulsive 512 513 disorder during COVID-19: Turning a problem into an opportunity? Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 77, 102329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102329 514 Kaufmännische Krankenkasse (2020, May 25). Stress, Angst, Trauer: Immer mehr 515 516 Menschen mit Depressionen. KKH. Retrieved from 517 https://www.kkh.de/presse/pressemeldungen/stress-angst-trauer-immer-mehr-menschenmit-depressionen 518

- Li, S., Wang, Y. [Yilin], Xue, J., Zhao, N., & Zhu, T. (2020). The Impact of COVID-19
  Epidemic Declaration on Psychological Consequences: A Study on Active Weibo Users. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(6).
  https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17062032
- Liu, S., Yang, L., Zhang, C., Xiang, Y.-T., Liu, Z., Hu, S., & Zhang, B. (2020). Online
  mental health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. *The Lancet Psychiatry*,
  7(4), e17-e18. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30077-8
- 526 Löwe, B., Zipfel, S., & Herzog, W. (2002). Deutsche Übersetzung und Validierung des
  527 "Patient Health Questionnaire "(PHQ) von Spitzer, Kroenke und Williams.
- 528 Medizinische Universitätsklinik Heidelberg, Heidelberg.
- Monroe, S. M., Anderson, S. F., & Harkness, K. L. (2019). Life stress and major
  depression: The mysteries of recurrences. *Psychological Review*, *126*(6), 791–816.
  https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000157
- Niedzwiedz, C. L., Green, M. J., Benzeval, M., Campbell, D., Craig, P., Demou, E., ...
  Katikireddi, S. V. (2021). Mental health and health behaviours before and during the
  initial phase of the COVID-19 lockdown: Longitudinal analyses of the UK Household
  Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 75(3), 224–231.
  https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2020-215060
- 537 Olfson, M., Mojtabai, R., Merikangas, K. R., Compton, W. M., Wang, S., Grant, B. F., &
  538 Blanco, C. (2017). Reexamining associations between mania, depression, anxiety and
  539 substance use disorders: Results from a prospective national cohort. *Molecular*540 *Psychiatry*, 22(2), 235–241. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.64
- Özdin, S., & Bayrak Özdin, Ş. (2020). Levels and predictors of anxiety, depression and
  health anxiety during COVID-19 pandemic in Turkish society: The importance of
  gender. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 66(5), 504–511.
- Palgi, Y., Shrira, A., Ring, L., Bodner, E., Avidor, S., Bergman, Y., ... Hoffman, Y.
  (2020). The loneliness pandemic: Loneliness and other concomitants of depression,
  anxiety and their comorbidity during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 275, 109–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.036
- Pan, K.-Y., Kok, A. A. L., Eikelenboom, M., Horsfall, M., Jörg, F., Luteijn, R. A., . . .
  Penninx, B. W. J. H. (2021). The mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on
  people with and without depressive, anxiety, or obsessive-compulsive disorders: a
  longitudinal study of three Dutch case-control cohorts. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 8(2), 121–
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30491-0
- Peters, A., Rospleszcz, S., Greiser, K. H., Dallavalle, M., & Berger, K. (2020). The
  Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Self-Reported Health. *Deutsches Arzteblatt International*, *117*(50), 861–867. https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2020.0861
- Petzold, M. B., Bendau, A., Plag, J., Pyrkosch, L., Mascarell Maricic, L., Betzler, F., . . .
  Ströhle, A. (2020). Risk, resilience, psychological distress, and anxiety at the beginning

- of the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. *Brain and Behavior*, *10*(9), e01745.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.1745
- 560 Phillipou, A., Meyer, D., Neill, E., Tan, E. J., Toh, W. L., van Rheenen, T. E., & Rossell,
  561 S. L. (2020). Eating and exercise behaviors in eating disorders and the general population
- 562 during the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia: Initial results from the COLLATE project.
- 563 *The International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 53(7), 1158–1165.
- 564 https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.23317
- Pieh, C., Budimir, S., & Probst, T. (2020). The effect of age, gender, income, work, and
  physical activity on mental health during coronavirus disease (COVID-19) lockdown in
  Austria. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *136*, 110186.
- 568 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2020.110186
- Quervain, D. de, Aerni, A., Amini, E., Bentz, D., Coynel, D., Gerhards, C., ... Zuber, P.
  (2020). The Swiss Corona Stress Study. *Unpublished Manuscript*. Advance online
  publication. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/jqw6a
- 572 R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical com-puting. *R*573 *Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL Https://www. R-Project.*574 Org.
- Robertson, M., Duffy, F., Newman, E., Prieto Bravo, C., Ates, H. H., & Sharpe, H.
  (2021). Exploring changes in body image, eating and exercise during the COVID-19
  lockdown: A UK survey. *Appetite*, *159*, 105062.
- 578 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2020.105062
- Röhr, S., Reininghaus, U., & Riedel-Heller, S. G. (2020). Mental wellbeing in the
  German old age population largely unaltered during COVID-19 lockdown: Results of a
  representative survey. *BMC Geriatrics*, 20(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-02001889-x
- Rossi, R., Socci, V., Talevi, D., Mensi, S., Niolu, C., Pacitti, F., ... Di Lorenzo, G.
  (2020). Covid-19 Pandemic and Lockdown Measures Impact on Mental Health Among
  the General Population in Italy. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *11*, 790.
  https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00790
- Sepulveda, A. R., Carrobles, J. A., & Gandarillas, A. M. (2008). Gender, school and
  academic year differences among Spanish university students at high-risk for developing
  an eating disorder: An epidemiologic study. *BMC Public Health*, *8*, 102.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-8-102
- 591 Skoda, E.-M., Teufel, M., Stang, A., Jöckel, K.-H., Junne, F., Weismüller, B., ...
  592 Bäuerle, A. (2020). Psychological burden of healthcare professionals in Germany during
  593 the acute phase of the COVID-19 pandemic: Differences and similarities in the
  594 international context. *Journal of Public Health (Oxford, England)*, 42(4), 688–695.
  595 https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdaa124
- Slavich, G. M., & Shields, G. S. (2018). Assessing Lifetime Stress Exposure Using the
  Stress and Adversity Inventory for Adults (Adult STRAIN): An Overview and Initial

598 Validation. Psychosomatic Medicine, 80(1), 17–27. 599 https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.000000000000534 600 Steinmetz, H., Batzdorfer, V., & Bosnjak, M. (2020). The ZPID lockdown measures 601 dataset for Germany. https://doi.org/10.23668/psycharchives.3019 602 Tang, W., Hu, T., Hu, B., Jin, C., Wang, G., Xie, C., ... Xu, J. (2020). Prevalence and 603 correlates of PTSD and depressive symptoms one month after the outbreak of the COVID-19 epidemic in a sample of home-quarantined Chinese university students. 604 605 Journal of Affective Disorders, 274, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.05.009 606 Tanir, Y., Karayagmurlu, A., Kaya, İ., Kaynar, T. B., Türkmen, G., Dambasan, B. N., ... 607 Coskun, M. (2020). Exacerbation of obsessive compulsive disorder symptoms in children and adolescents during COVID-19 pandemic. Psychiatry Research, 293, 608 609 113363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113363 610 Tritt, K., Heymann, F. von, Zaudig, M., Söllner, W., Klapp, B., Loew, T., & Bühner, M. 611 (2010). Der Fragebogen ICD-10-Symptom-Rating (ISR) Kurzdarstellung der 612 Normierung, 1–17. 613 Tritt, K., Heymann, F. von, Zaudig, M., Zacharias, I., Söllner, W., & Loew, T. (2008). Development of the" ICD-10-Symptom-Rating"(ISR) questionnaire. Zeitschrift Fur 614 615 Psychosomatische Medizin Und Psychotherapie, 54(4), 409–418. 616 Vos, T., Lim, S. S., Abbafati, C., Abbas, K. M., Abbasi, M., Abbasifard, M., ... Murray, 617 C. J. L. (2020). Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 618 619 2019. The Lancet, 396(10258), 1204-1222. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-620 6736(20)30925-9 621 Wang, C., Pan, R., Wan, X., Tan, Y., Xu, L., McIntvre, R. S., ... Ho, C. (2020). A 622 longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 623 epidemic in China. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity, 87, 40-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.028 624 625 Wang, Y., Di, Y., Ye, J., & Wei, W. (2021). Study on the public psychological states and its related factors during the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in some 626 627 regions of China. Psychology, Health & Medicine, 26(1), 13-22. https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2020.1746817 628 629 World Health Organization (2020). Mental health and psychosocial considerations 630 during the COVID-19 outbreak, 18 March 2020. Geneva. Retrieved from World Health 631 Organization website: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/331490 632 Xiao, H., Zhang, Y., Kong, D., Li, S., & Yang, N. (2020). Social Capital and Sleep 633 Quality in Individuals Who Self-Isolated for 14 Days During the Coronavirus Disease 634 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in January 2020 in China. Medical Science Monitor: 635 International Medical Journal of Experimental and Clinical Research, 26, e923921. 636 https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.923921

637 Yip, P. S. F., Cheung, Y. T., Chau, P. H., & Law, Y. W. (2010). The impact of epidemic
638 outbreak: The case of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and suicide among
639 older adults in Hong Kong. *Crisis*, *31*(2), 86–92. https://doi.org/10.1027/0227640 5910/a000015

641Zhou, X., Snoswell, C. L., Harding, L. E., Bambling, M., Edirippulige, S., Bai, X., &

- 642 Smith, A. C. (2020). The Role of Telehealth in Reducing the Mental Health Burden from
- 643 COVID-19. Telemedicine Journal and E-Health : The Official Journal of the American
- 644 *Telemedicine Association*, 26(4), 377–379. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2020.0068
- 645 Zhu, S., Wu, Y., Zhu, C.-Y., Hong, W.-C., Yu, Z.-X., Chen, Z.-K. [Zhi-Ke], . . .
- 646 Wang, Y.-G. [Yong-Guang] (2020). The immediate mental health impacts of the
- 647 COVID-19 pandemic among people with or without quarantine managements. *Brain*,
- 648 Behavior, and Immunity, 87, 56–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.045

649

Variable		tal sample	Resampled survey sample		
	n	%	n	%	
Total	1459	100	875	100	
Gender					
Male	417	28.6	417	47.7	
Female	1042	71.4	458	52.3	
Marital Status					
Single	886	60.7	555	63.4	
Married	477	32.7	264	30.2	
Widowed	22	1.5	13	1.5	
Separated	74	5.1	43	4.9	
Living Situation					
Alone	205	14.0	124	14.2	
Shared Flat	176	12.1	108	12.3	
With partner	426	29.2	256	29.3	
With family	652	44.7	387	44.2	
Job Status during lockdown					
Attending work as usual	390	26.7	246	28.1	
Attending work in home-office	481	33.0	293	33.5	
Mixture	323	22.1	190	21.7	
Not working	143	9.8	86	9.8	
Question does not apply to situation	122	8.4	60	6.9	
Living Area					
Urban	604	41.4	380	43.4	
Rural	855	58.6	495	56.6	
COVID-19 diagnosis	10	0.7	6	0.7	
COVID-19 diagnosis in friends/family	439	30.1	259	29.6	
Quarantined	85	5.8	48	5.5	

**TABLE 1** Demographics of total survey sample and resampled survey sample

$\sim$	_	$\sim$
n	n	~

**TABLE 2** Mean and Standard Deviation of ISR subscale scores for reference sample and survey sample

	Sam	ple				
	Reference Sample	Survey sample	-			
	(N = 2512)	(N=875)				Effect size
ISR Scales	M(SD)	M(SD)	df	t	р	g
Depression	0.54 (0.69)	0.91 (0.83)	1318	11.84	.006**	0.51
Anxiety	0.45 (0.66)	0.46 (0.77)	1348	0.34	.732	0.01
Compulsion	0.32 (0.57)	0.47 (0.81)	1189	5.06	.003**	0.23
Eating disorder	0.52 (0.76)	0.71 (0.95)	1285	5.35	.002**	0.23
Somatization	0.35 (0.60)	0.27 (0.64)	1444	-3.24	.001**	0.13
Sum	0.40 (0.45)	0.53 (0.55)	1304	6.30	.001**	0.27

654 *Note.* Means (*M*) and standard deviations (*SD*s) for the ISR subscales; p = adjusted significance ( $\alpha < 0.05$ ); \*

655 indicates p < .05. \*\* indicates p < .01; effect sizes are reported as Hedge's g and Cohen's d.

ISR Scale	Predictor	Estimate	SEM	t	р	$\mathbb{R}^2$
Depression	Age	012	.002	-6.672	<.001	.044
	Gender*	283	.121	-2.339	.019	
Anxiety	Age	006	.001	-4.444	<.001	.0254
	Gender*	183	.045	-4.072	<.001	
Compulsion	Age	009	.001	-6.171	<.001	.029
	Gender*	.109	.046	-2.353	.018	
Somatization	Age	001	.001	-1.279	.201	.001
	Gender*	012	.034	-0.367	.713	
Eating disorder	Age	011	.002	-5.61	<.001	.041
**	Gender*	649	.141	-4.608	<.001	
	Age x Gender	.009	.003	2.692	<.01	

*Note.* \*Gender dummy coded to female = 0 and male = 1; \*\* Model fit (AIC) increased significantly by adding the interaction term, F(1, 1455) = 7.245, p < .01.

Variable	Survey sample (resampled) N = 875			or all samples, sepa Reference sample N = 2512		Bavarian sample N = 276		Lower Saxony sample N = 501	
			N =						
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	
Depression scores	0.91	0.83	0.54	0.69	0.89	0.78	0.93	0.85	
Male	0.81	0.78	-	-	0.87	0.80	0.79	0.72	
Female	1.00	0.87	-	-	0.90	0.78	1.01	0.90	
Compulsion scores	0.47	0.81	0.32	0.57	0.41	0.78	0.51	0.84	
Male	0.39	0.72	-	-	0.39	0.76	0.42	0.7	
Female	0.55	0.88	-	-	0.42	0.79	0.56	0.8	
Anxiety scores	0.46	0.77	0.45	0.66	0.37	0.63	0.49	0.7	
Male	0.34	0.63	-	-	0.30	0.53	0.35	0.5	
Female	0.57	0.86	-	-	0.40	0.66	0.56	0.8	
Somatization scores	0.27	0.64	0.35	0.60	0.24	0.57	0.26	0.6	
Male	0.25	0.59	-	-	0.24	0.63	0.24	0.5	
Female	0.29	0.68	-	-	0.25	0.55	0.27	0.6	
Eating disorder scores	0.71	0.95	0.52	0.76	0.76	1.03	0.75	1.0	
Male	0.52	0.75	-	-	0.53	0.86	0.46	0.7	
Female	0.88	1.07	-	-	0.85	1.08	0.88	1.0	
Sum scores	0.53	0.55	0.40	0.45	0.49	0.47	0.55	0.5	
Male	0.45	0.49	-	-	0.44	0.43	0.44	0.4	
Female	0.60	0.59	-	-	0.51	0.48	0.60	0.6	

661 662 Note. Means (M) and Standard Deviations (SDs) of the ISR subscale scores and total score. For the reference

sample, gender-specific values were not available.

FIGURE 1 Timeline for restrictions in Lower Saxony and Bavaria. *Closure of most schools* = Some classes
with special priorities could still attend school (e.g. graduating classes); *Stay at home order* = People are not
allowed to leave the house except for work, shopping essentials or walking/jogging alone. At the beginning of
June 2020, in Bavaria social contacts were still restricted by order (less than 2 contacts allowed), whereas in
Lower Saxony there was only a recommendation.

FIGURE 2 Resampling procedure. From the remaining 1459 participants, 875 were resampled in order tobalance the gender distribution.

672

669

673 674 FIGURE 3 Symptom severity percentages for survey sample and reference sample. The percentage (%) on the 675 right refers to the combined percentage of light, medium and severe symptom severity. This figure illustrates 676 data from the survey sample (N = 875) and the reference sample (N = 2512).

677

- **FIGURE 4** Subscale scores as a function of age and gender. Solid lines represent linear model and shaded areas
- 679 confidence intervals for the subscales A) Depression, B) Anxiety, C) Compulsion, D) Somatization and E)
- Eating Disorder. The dashed horizontal lines represent cut-offs of symptom severity, increasing from bottom to
- top in mild, moderate and severe symptom levels.

682

683 FIGURE 5 Correlation plot for the ISR subscales (only significant correlation coefficients are displayed).