



## NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

### Using a PEDOT:PSS modified electrode for detecting nitric oxide gas Lin, Chia-Yu; Chen, Jian-Ging; Hu, Chih-Wei; Tunney, James T.; Ho, Kuo-Chuan

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. / La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

For the publisher's version, please access the DOI link below. / Pour consulter la version de l'éditeur, utilisez le lien DOI ci-dessous.

#### **Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2009.04.041>

*Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 140, 2, pp. 402-406, 2009-05-05

#### **NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:**

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=bd05be2c-3745-405e-addd-63ef0b6bb797>

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=bd05be2c-3745-405e-addd-63ef0b6bb797>

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright>

READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits>

LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

#### **Questions?** Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at

PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

**Vous avez des questions?** Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.





# Using a PEDOT:PSS modified electrode for detecting nitric oxide gas

Chia-Yu Lin<sup>a</sup>, Jian-Ging Chen<sup>a</sup>, Chih-Wei Hu<sup>b</sup>, James J. Tunney<sup>c</sup>, Kuo-Chuan Ho<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan, ROC

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Polymer Science and Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan, ROC

<sup>c</sup> Institute for Chemical Process and Environmental Technology, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa K1A 0R6, Canada

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 24 September 2008

Received in revised form 12 April 2009

Accepted 24 April 2009

Available online 5 May 2009

### Keywords:

PEDOT:PSS

Conducting polymer

Chemiresistor

Nitric oxide

Gas sensor

## ABSTRACT

PEDOT:PSS thick films, prepared by the drop-coated method, were used in this study for sensing nitric oxide (NO) gas. The thickness of PEDOT:PSS film was controlled by dropping different volumes of PEDOT:PSS solution to improve the response of PEDOT:PSS film. Due to its porous structure, the thicker the PEDOT:PSS film is, the higher the noticeable surface area. Thus, a larger response is found. However, since the concentration of NO gas used was low (10 ppm), the effect of the surface area was not noticeable when the thickness of the film was greater than 5  $\mu\text{m}$ . In the range of 2.5–10 ppm NO, the relationship between the response of the PEDOT:PSS film and the NO concentration was linear. The limit of detection ( $S/N=3$ ), response time ( $t_{95}$ ), and recovery time ( $t_{95}$ ) were about 350 ppb, 527 s, and 1780 s, respectively. The response of PEDOT:PSS film to 10 ppm NO gas was dramatically affected by the presence of either O<sub>2</sub> or CO. The standard deviation, with respect to the sensitivity of the NO gas sensor based on PEDOT:PSS film, was 2.2%. The sensitivity of the sensor remained at about 74.5% that of a fresh one.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Nitric oxide (NO) is one of the toxic air pollutants generated from every combustion process. This compound plays an important role in the atmospheric reactions that create the ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), the major component of smog, and acid rain [1]. According to regulations of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), the permissible exposure limit is set at 25 ppm (TWA).

Several techniques, including electrochemical methods, gas phase chemiluminescence [2], fluorescence [3], conductometry [4], and electron magnetic resonance spectroscopy [5], have been proposed for the detection of NO gas. Among them, metal oxide gas sensors, based on the principle of conductometry, attract much attention due to real-time measurement in the gas phase, the small size, easy fabrication, and low cost. However, to achieve higher sensitivity to the analytes, the normal operation temperature of the metal oxide based gas sensor is rather high (>300 °C), which requires high-energy consumption.

Recently, conducting polymers, such as polythiophene, polyaniline, and polypyrrole, have become an attractive class of materials for gas sensing applications [6–9]. They can be easily synthesized by a simple synthesis procedure, and especially, they can detect the analyte gases with satisfactory sensitivity at room tem-

perature. Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) is one of the most promising conducting polymers due to its high conductivity and excellent environmental stability [10]. Although PEDOT itself cannot be easily cast into film due to its insoluble property, PEDOT doped with poly(styrene-sulfonate) can be dispersed well in the aqueous solution, which facilitates the film fabrication. Pristine PEDOT or doped PEDOT has been used as the sensing material for the detection of HCl [11], NH<sub>3</sub> [12], NO<sub>2</sub> [13], and alcohols [14] and some volatile organic compounds [15]. However, to our knowledge, the NO sensing characteristics of the PEDOT:PSS film based gas sensor have never been reported yet.

In this study, a simple drop-coated method was employed to prepare PEDOT:PSS film. The effects of the film thickness, the working temperature, NO concentration and the presence of some potential interfering gases on the sensing response of the PEDOT:PSS to NO gas were investigated.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Preparation of the PEDOT:PSS thick film

An aqueous suspension of the PEDOT:PSS (Baytron® P VP Al 4083, H.C. Starck GmbH & Co. KG) was purchased from Bayer and used as received. The concentration of the PEDOT:PSS suspension was 1.3–1.7 wt%. To prepare the PEDOT:PSS film-modified electrodes, a specific volume of PEDOT:PSS solution was drop-coated onto an Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrate with screen-printed

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Chemical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan. Tel.: +886 2 2366 0739; fax: +886 2 2362 3040.  
E-mail address: [kcho@ntu.edu.tw](mailto:kcho@ntu.edu.tw) (K.-C. Ho).

interdigitated gold electrodes, and then vacuum dried at 70 °C overnight.

The film thickness was determined by a Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM, JEOL JSM-6700F, Japan).

## 2.2. Gas sensing experiment

The gas sensing measurements were carried out in a closed glass chamber. The sensing film, facing against the gas inlet at a flow rate of 250 ml/min, was placed in the sensing chamber. To alleviate the baseline drift, the sensing films were exposed to ultrapure N<sub>2</sub> (ShenYi Gas Co., Taiwan, 99.9995%) overnight before data collection. The transient resistance responses of the PEDOT-PSS film to 10 ppm NO gas, at different working temperatures, tuned by mixing 50 ppm NO (ShenYi Gas Co., Taiwan, 99.99%) with ultrapure N<sub>2</sub> gas stream from a tank through a flow meter, were obtained by applying a 1.0 V DC across both terminals of the electrodes. The current responses were recorded by a potentiostat (CHI 440) and converted into resistance according to Ohmic law. The response, *S*, is defined by the following equation

$$S = \frac{(R_g - R_{N_2})}{R_{N_2}} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

where *R*<sub>N<sub>2</sub></sub> and *R<sub>g</sub>* are the resistances of the film in N<sub>2</sub> gas and in test gas, respectively. The calibration curve of NO concentration was constructed after collecting the responses of the PEDOT:PSS film to various NO concentrations ranging from 10 to 2.5 ppm.

To test the interferences of O<sub>2</sub>, CO, and NO<sub>2</sub>, PEDOT-PSS films were exposed to the gas mixtures of O<sub>2</sub> (21%) and NO (10 ppm), NO<sub>2</sub> (10 ppm) and NO (10 ppm), and CO (10 ppm) and NO (10 ppm) until the responses became stable. The purities of both CO and NO<sub>2</sub> gases were 99.9% (ShenYi Gas Co.). The films were then exposed to ultrapure N<sub>2</sub> gas until the responses were recovered.

## 3. Results and discussions

### 3.1. Temperature effect

Fig. 1 shows the general responses of the PEDOT:PSS thick film (~4.5 μm) to 10 ppm NO gas at room temperature. It was found that the response decreased at the beginning cycles and stabilized after five cycles of exposure to NO gas. Some possible interfering gases, such as water vapor, O<sub>2</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub>, could adsorb in the PEDOT:PSS film, and thus the initial responses were affected. Therefore, a cleaning procedure for the PEDOT:PSS film is necessary before the sensing test.




Fig. 1. Transit responses of the PEDOT:PSS film to 10 ppm NO gas at room temperature. The film thickness of the PEDOT:PSS film: ~4.5 μm.




Fig. 2. Temperature dependence of the normalized response of the PEDOT:PSS film to 10 ppm NO gas. The film thickness of the PEDOT:PSS film: ~4.5 μm.

Unlike the gas sensors based on metal oxide, the gas sensors based on PEDOT-PSS thick film usually operate at rather low temperatures. In this study, the optimal working temperature for the detection of NO gas was found to be room temperature (see Fig. 2). Since the interaction between PEDOT:PSS film and NO gas is exothermic, the activation energy of the desorption is larger than that of the adsorption. Although the increase in temperature favors both the adsorption and the desorption processes, higher temperature should favor the process with a higher activation energy. This explains the results revealed in Fig. 2 that the decrease in response at higher temperatures is resulted from the higher desorption rate of NO gas.

Several sensing mechanisms have been proposed for the conducting polymer systems, including the redox reactions between the polymer and the analyte, partially charge transfer between the polymer and the analyte, and polymer swelling [16]. In this study, due to the chemical nature of NO gas, the observed increase in the sensor resistance could be attributed to the redox reaction or the charge transfer. NO gas is known to be a highly active and electron-donating free radical [17], and therefore, upon electron transfer from NO to the partially positive charged sulfur site on the backbone of the p-type PEDOT:PSS, the charge carrier concentration on the polymer backbone decreases, resulting in the increase in the resistance of the PEDOT:PSS film.





Fig. 3. The top-view of SEM image for the PEDOT:PSS film with a film thickness of 4.5 μm.




**Fig. 4.** The responses of the PEDOT:PSS films with different thickness, controlled by dropping different volumes of the PEDOT:PSS solution, to 10 ppm NO gas at room temperature.

### 3.2. Thickness effect


The SEM picture is shown in Fig. 3, indicating the nanoporous nature of a PEDOT:PSS film. Due to its porous structure, the surface area for NO gas adsorption would increase as the thickness of PEDOT:PSS film is increased. Therefore, the sensor response would be improved if the thickness of PEDOT:PSS film is optimized. Fig. 4 shows the responses of the PEDOT:PSS films, with different thicknesses, to 10 ppm NO gas at room temperature. It should be noted that the average values of the thickness of the PEDOT:PSS film were determined by SEM measuring at least three spots on the same substrate. As seen, the sensor response increases as the thickness of the PEDOT:PSS film increased from 2.3 to 5.0 μm, and became saturated at a thickness greater than 5.0 μm. The available surface area was larger at higher film thicknesses, but the concentration of NO gas (10 ppm) used here might be so low that the effect of the surface area was not noticed. Indeed, at higher NO concentrations (>40 ppm), as shown in Fig. 5, the film thickness (or the surface area) plays an important role on the sensor response. Therefore, for detection of rather low NO concentrations (<10 ppm), we chose PEDOT:PSS film with a thickness of 5 μm for later experiments.

### 3.3. Gas characteristic of the PEDOT:PSS film

The responses of the PEDOT:PSS film as a function of NO gas concentration are shown in Fig. 6. All responses increased linearly with




**Fig. 5.** The responses of the PEDOT:PSS films with thicknesses of 5 and 6 μm against various NO concentrations ranging from 10 to 80 ppm.



**Fig. 6.** The responses of the PEDOT:PSS film to various NO gas concentrations. The inset shows the calibration curve. The film thickness of the PEDOT:PSS film: ~5 μm.

the increase in the concentration of NO. The sensitivity, defined as the response divided by the concentration (in 1/ppm) of the gas sensor based on the PEDOT-PSS film is about 0.65%/ppm. The limit of detection, based on the signal-to-noise ratio of 3 ( $S/N=3$ ), for PEDOT-PSS film was found to be about 350 ppb. The response time ( $t_{95}$ ) and recovery time ( $t_{95}$ ) were calculated to be 527 and 1780 s, respectively, which were comparable to other gas sensors based on the PEDOT thick films [14], and were large compared to that based on the PEDOT-PSS nanowires [18]. It could be inferred that the improved response by the increase in the film thickness would slow down the response and recovery of the sensor.

To simulate the environmental air quality monitoring, 21%  $O_2$ , 10 ppm  $NO_2$  and CO gases were selected as the interfering species. As shown in Fig. 7, the sensor resistance increased as it was exposed to these analyte gases. Besides, the response of PEDOT-PSS film to 10 ppm NO gas was affected dramatically in the presence of 21%  $O_2$  or CO gas. It was noticed that the sensor responses to “NO +  $O_2$ ” and “NO + CO” were higher than the superposition of “NO” and “ $O_2$ ”, and “NO” + “CO”, respectively. According to the analytical gas report provided by the gas supplier, both air and carbon monoxide tanks have very small amounts of impurities, for example,  $H_2O$  (3–5 ppm) and  $CO_2$  (<1 ppm), which could cause some side reactions upon mixing with NO gas. Thus, the products generated by the side reaction may cause additional signal when interacting with the PEDOT:PSS film. On the other hand,  $NO_2$  had minor effects on the sensor responses



**Fig. 7.** The responses of the PEDOT:PSS film to 21%  $O_2$ , and 10 ppm NO, NO and CO gas alone, and mixtures of 10 ppm NO and 21%  $O_2$ , 10 ppm NO and  $NO_2$ , 10 ppm NO and CO. The film thickness of the PEDOT:PSS film: ~5 μm.






Fig. 8. The calibration curves of the fresh three PEDOT:PSS modified electrodes at room temperature. The film thickness of the PEDOT:PSS film:  $\sim 5 \mu\text{m}$ .

compared to  $\text{CO}$  and  $\text{O}_2$  gases. To improve the selectivity of the PEDOT:PSS film against  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$  gases for the real world application, some dopants sensitive to  $\text{NO}$  gas could be incorporated into the film. This includes cytochrome *c* and bis[2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline] copper (II) complex, whose reactivity to  $\text{NO}$  gas




Fig. 9. (a) The calibration curves of the PEDOT:PSS modified electrode obtained for different periods. (b) Time dependence of the normalized sensitivity for the PEDOT:PSS modified electrode. The film thickness of the PEDOT:PSS film:  $\sim 5 \mu\text{m}$ .

has been proven in the literature [19,20]. Using these materials and finding other suitable dopants are under investigation now.

The issue of the PEDOT:PSS film prepared by drop-coating is film uniformity, which could result in poor reproducibility of sensor performance. Fig. 8 shows the calibration curves for three fresh electrodes at room temperature. As seen, the standard deviation, with respect to the sensitivity of these three electrodes, was about 2.2%, which was quite satisfactory.

Fig. 9 demonstrates the long-term stability of the sensor within a month. The electrode was tested once a week and was stored in a box after the sensing test. The box was exposed to the ambient air at room temperature with a relative humidity of 45%. It was found that the sensitivity decreased as time went by, and the sensitivity of the electrode after one month remained 74.5% that of the fresh one, as seen in Fig. 9 (a) and (b).

#### 4. Conclusion

The PEDOT:PSS film-modified electrodes were fabricated and their sensing characteristics towards  $\text{NO}$  gas were investigated. The standard deviation, with respect to the sensitivity of the PEDOT:PSS based gas sensor, was 2.2%. The PEDOT:PSS film showed the highest response to  $\text{NO}$  gas at room temperature. The sensor responses increased as the PEDOT:PSS film thickness was increased from 2.3 to  $5.0 \mu\text{m}$ . The limit of the detection for the PEDOT:PSS based  $\text{NO}$  gas sensor was 350 ppb, which was suitable for indoor monitoring of  $\text{NO}$ . However, the sensor response was significantly affected by the presence of either  $\text{O}_2$  or  $\text{CO}$ . The sensitivity of the PEDOT:PSS based gas sensor, after about one month remained at 74.5% that of a fresh one.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was sponsored by the National Research Council of Taiwan, the Republic of China, under grant number NSC 96-2120-M-002-004.

#### References

- [1] L. Kreuzer, C. Patel, Nitric oxide air pollution: detection by optoacoustic spectroscopy, *Science* 173 (1971) 182–187.
- [2] A. Simpson, A. Custovic, S. Pipis, A. Adisesh, B. Faragher, A. Woodcock, Exhaled nitric oxide, sensitization, and exposure to allergens in patients with asthma who are not taking inhaled steroids, *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 160 (1999) 45–49.
- [3] H. Dacres, R. Narayanaswamy, Evaluation of 1,2-diaminoanthraquinone (DAA) as a potential reagent system for detection of  $\text{NO}$ , *Microchim. Acta* 152 (2005) 35–45.
- [4] O. Kuzmych, B.L. Allen, A. Star, Carbon nanotube sensors for exhaled breath components, *Nanotechnology* 18 (2007) 375502.
- [5] B. Gallez, H.M. Swartz, *In vivo* EPR: when, how and why? *NMR Biomed.* 17 (2004) 223–225.
- [6] V.V. Chabukswar, S. Pethkar, A.A. Athawale, Acrylic acid doped polyaniline as an ammonia sensor, *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 77 (2001) 657–663.
- [7] K. Ogura, T. Saino, M. Nakayama, H. Shiigi, The humidity dependence of the electrical conductivity of a soluble polyaniline-poly(vinyl alcohol) composite film, *J. Mater. Chem.* 7 (1997) 2363–2366.
- [8] M. Penza, E. Milella, V.I. Anisimkin, Monitoring of  $\text{NH}_3$  gas by LB polypyrrole-based SAW sensor, *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 47 (1998) 218–224.
- [9] S. Virji, J. Huang, R.B. Kaner, B.H. Weiller, Polyaniline nanofiber gas sensor: examination of response mechanisms, *Nano Lett.* 4 (2004) 491–496.
- [10] L. Groenendaal, F. Jonas, D. Freitag, H. Pielartzik, J.R. Reynolds, Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) and its derivatives: past, present, and future, *Adv. Mater.* 12 (2000) 481–494.
- [11] J. Jang, M. Chang, H. Yoon, Chemical sensors based on highly conductive poly(3,4-ethylene-dioxythiophene) nanorods, *Adv. Mater.* 17 (2005) 1616–1620.
- [12] Y. Yang, Y. Jiang, J. Xu, J. Yu, Conducting polymeric nanoparticles synthesized in reverse micelles and their gas sensitivity based on quartz crystal microbalance, *Polymer* 48 (2007) 4459–4465.
- [13] M.K. Ram, O. Yavuz, M. Aldissi,  $\text{NO}_2$  gas sensing based on ordered ultrathin films of conducting polymer and its nanocomposite, *Synth. Met.* 151 (2005) 77–84.
- [14] M.F. Mabrook, C. Pearson, M.C. Petty, An inkjet-printed chemical fuse, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 86 (2005) 013507.

- [15] G.A. Sotzing, S.M. Briglin, R.H. Grubbs, N.S. Lewis, Preparation and properties of vapor detector arrays formed from poly(3,4-ethylenedioxy)thiophene-poly(styrene sulfonate)/insulating polymer composites, *Anal. Chem.* 72 (2000) 3181–3190.
- [16] H. Bai, G. Shi, Gas sensors based on conducting polymers, *Sensors* 7 (2007) 267–307.
- [17] V. Blagojevic, E. Flaim, M.J.Y. Jarvis, G.K. Koyanagi, D.K. Bohme, Nitric oxide as an electron donor, and atom donor, and atom acceptor, and a ligand in reactions with atomic transition-metal and main-group cations in the gas phase, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 109 (2005) 11224–11235.
- [18] Y. Dan, Y. Cao, T.E. Mallouk, A.T. Johnson, S. Evoy, Dielectrophoretically assembled polymer nanowires for gas sensing, *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 125 (2007) 55–79.
- [19] J.W. Aylott, D.J. Richardson, D.A. Russell, Optical biosensing of gaseous nitric oxide using spin-coated sol-gel thin film, *Chem. Mater.* 9 (1997) 2261–2263.
- [20] H. Dacres, R. Narayanaswamy, Sensitive optical NO sensor based on bis [(2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline)] copper(II) complex, *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 107 (2005) 14–23.

## Biographies



**Chia-Yu Lin** received his B.S. degree in Chemical Engineering from the National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan, in 2003. He received his M.S. degree in Chemical Engineering from the National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan in 2005. Currently, he is a fourth-year Ph. D. student in the Department of Chemical Engineering at National Taiwan University. His research interest mainly surrounds nanomaterials for gas sensing applications.



**Jian-Ging Chen** completed his B.S. and M.S. degrees in Chemical Engineering at Tunghai University and National Central University, Taiwan, respectively. In 2003 he joined Electro-optical Materials Lab at National Taiwan University as a PhD student and devoted to the field of dye-sensitized solar cells, emphasizing their electrochemical characterization.



**Chih-Wei Hu** studied both Chemical Engineering and Polymer Science at National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan. He completed his B.S. and M.S. degrees there. In 2006 he joined Electro-optical Materials Lab at National Taiwan University as a PhD student studying organic electrochromism and polymeric complementary electrochromic devices.



**James J. Tunney** obtained his PhD in Chemistry in 1995 from the University of Ottawa, Canada. He joined the National Research Council of Canada in 1996 first as a Post-Doctoral Fellow, and later as a Research Officer. Since 2006, he has also served as a Competency Leader for Organic Materials at NRC-ICPET. His research interests include the use of thin and thick film technology applied to chemical sensing.



**Kuo-Chuan Ho** received B.S. and M.S. degrees in Chemical Engineering from the National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan, in 1978 and 1980, respectively. In 1986, he received his Ph. D. degree in Chemical Engineering at the University of Rochester. The same year he joined PPG Industries, Inc., first as a Senior Research Engineer and then, from 1990 until 1993, as a Research Project Engineer. He has worked on the electrochemical properties of various electrode materials, with emphasis on improving the performances of sensor devices. Following a six-year industrial career at PPG Industries, Inc., he joined his alma mater at National Cheng Kung University in 1993 as an Associate Professor in the Chemical Engineering Department. In 1994, he moved to the Department of Chemical Engineering at National Taiwan University. Currently, he is a Professor jointly appointed by the Department of Chemical Engineering and Institute of Polymer Science and Engineering at National Taiwan University.