NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

Synergetic effect of grit-blasting and atmospheric cold plasma pretreatments on the surface free energy of a fibreglass - epoxy vinyl ester composite

Gallant, Danick

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. / La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

For the publisher's version, please access the DOI link below. / Pour consulter la version de l'éditeur, utilisez le lien DOI ci-dessous.

Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00218460903418170>

Journal of Adhesion, 86, 2, pp. 165-191, 2010-02-01

NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=b0cab3f7-3861-4784-a3aa-b475b6c12ae6>

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=b0cab3f7-3861-4784-a3aa-b475b6c12ae6>

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright>

READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits>

LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

Questions? Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at

PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

Vous avez des questions? Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.

National Research
Council Canada

Conseil national de
recherches Canada

Canada

Fiche d'information et d'autorisation
pour documents internes, externes et conférences

CTA

Auteurs

Auteur principal pour l'IMI

Nom	Prénom	Centre financ.	Centre de coût	Commande interne	Signature	Date sign.	Premier auteur publication
Gallant	Danick	502300	502305	50_861_01		25/03/2009	*

Autres auteurs

Externe

* Je certifie, en tant que premier auteur, que j'ai vérifié avec le(s) partenaire(s) qu'il(s) n'a (n'ont) pas d'objection à ce document.

Document

Titre

Synergetic Effect of Grit-Blasting and Atmospheric Cold Plasma Pretreatments on the Surface Free Energy of a Fibreglass / Epoxy Vinyl Ester Composite

Statut de distribution

☒ Confidentiel (aucune distribution) ☐ Restreint ☐ Général

Type

☐ Sommaire (abstract) ☐ Rapport ☐ Autre

Écrit final à suivre : ☐ Oui_Yes ☐ Non_No

Si oui, date :

☐ Technique ☐ Industriel de service

☒ Document soumis pour publication

Si un sommaire (abstract) a été soumis précédemment, veuillez indiquer les numéros :

IMI CNRC CNRC

À présenter dans

Date de la conférence : Lieu :

À paraître dans : The Journal of Adhesion Date : 2009-06-01

Statut de publication

À être complété par votre adjointe. Veuillez l'aviser lorsque l'information sera disponible.

☒ Revue ou livre avec comité de lecture

☐ Procès-verbal de conférence avec comité de lecture

☐ Procès-verbal de conférence sans comité de lecture

☐ Autre

Paru dans (vol. pp) :

Date de publication

2009-06-01

Année cal. publication

2009

Autres informations

Partenaires : n/a

Déclaration d'invention : ☐ Oui_Yes ☒ Non_No Date :

Demande de brevet déposée : ☐ Oui_Yes ☒ Non_No Pays :

Si non, explications : n/a

Approbations

25 Mars 2009

25/03/09

28-03-09

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

Chef de groupe

Directeur de section

Directeur général

Numéro IMI 2009 - 119529 - G CNRC 51101

Agreement nb: 3878

Date of this draft: *March 25, 2009*

AUTHORITY TO REPRODUCE COPYRIGHT MATERIAL

TO: ***The Journal of Adhesion - Dr. L.H. Sharpe***
Fax: (757) 258-3215, 3128
Williamsburg, Virginia 23187-3128, U.S.A.

This refers to the article or publication entitled:

Synergetic Effect of Grit-Blasting and Atmospheric Cold Plasma Pretreatments on the Surface Free Energy of a Fibreglass / Epoxy Vinyl Ester Composite

written by the author(s):
D. Gallant

published in:
The Journal of Adhesion

1. This material is subject to Crown Copyright, administered by the National Research Council of Canada.
2. Your request to reproduce and distribute this material is granted, free of charge, in accordance with the terms stated in your request, a copy of which is attached to this page.

Signed at Boucherville, Québec
(City and Province)

on_ _____
(Date)

For the NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF CANADA

Dr. Blaise Champagne
Director General
Industrial Materials Institute

Saguenay, March 25th, 2009

Dr. Louis H. Sharpe

Editor in Chief, The Journal of Adhesion

P.O. Box 3128

Williamsburg, VA, 23187-3128

USA

Subject: Submission of the manuscript entitled "*Synergetic Effect of Grit-Blasting and Atmospheric Cold Plasma Pretreatments on the Surface Free Energy of a Fibreglass / Epoxy Vinyl Ester Composite*" for publication in The Journal of Adhesion.

Dear Dr. Sharpe:

I would like to submit for publication in ***The Journal of Adhesion*** the manuscript entitled "*Synergetic Effect of Grit-Blasting and Atmospheric Cold Plasma Pretreatments on the Surface Free Energy of a Fibreglass / Epoxy Vinyl Ester Composite*". You will find enclosed both PDF and MS Word versions of the paper.

This manuscript represents the first research effort aimed at studying surface pretreatments prior to adhesive bonding of a fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite. It presents how grit-blasting can be used in combination with new atmospheric cold plasma technology to provide optimum surface energy properties. We confirm that this paper has not been published nor submitted simultaneously for publication elsewhere. The signed copyright form will be sent to you shortly.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely yours,

Danick Gallant, Ph.D.

Research Associate, Structural Adhesive and Corrosion Group

Aluminium Technology Centre, Industrial Materials Institute

Government of Canada

501, boul. de l'Université Est, Saguenay (arr. Chicoutimi), QC, Canada, G7H 8C3

Phone: (418) 545-5096

Fax: (418) 545-5345

Email: danick.gallant@imi.cnrc-nrc.gc.ca

Synergetic Effect of Grit-Blasting and Atmospheric Cold Plasma Pretreatments on the Surface Free Energy of a Fibreglass / Epoxy Vinyl Ester Composite

Danick Gallant

Structural Adhesives and Corrosion Group, Aluminium Technology Centre, Industrial Materials Institute, National Research Council Canada (ATC/IMI/NRC), 501 boul. Université Est, Saguenay, Quebec, CANADA, G7H 8C3 danick.gallant@cnrc-nrc.gc.ca

Abstract

For the first time, the efficiency of different surface pretreatment approaches prior to adhesive bonding of a fibreglass-reinforced epoxy vinyl ester thermoset composite has been investigated. Water, diiodomethane and formamide, carefully chosen as probe liquids for contact angle measurements, were used to calculate the surface free energy (SFE) parameters before and after grit-blasting, abrading and atmospheric cold plasma treatments, applied alone or in combination. It was found that grit-blasting generally had a negligible effect on the surface free energy calculated using the Owens, Wendt, Rabel and Kaelble method, as well as the Lifshitz - van der Waals / acid-base (LWAB) approach (even after correction for roughness). However, contrary to abrading, grit-blasting has shown its efficiency to flatten sharp surface irregularities and introduce

surface roughness features suitable to adhesive bonding processes. With or without a previous grit-blasting step, argon gas atmospheric cold plasma treatment has shown a slight to moderate efficiency in increasing the SFE polar component of the composite. However, it was found that the addition of 0.07% oxygen to the argon plasma readily allows an important gain in the SFE polar component. Indeed, when processed at a speed of 30 m/min on a previously grit-blasted composite surface, the Ar/O₂ atmospheric cold plasma treatment increases the surface free energy to values $> 73 \text{ mJ/m}^2$, making the surface condition optimized for structural adhesive bonding. An oxidation mechanism of the composite surface exposed to atmospheric cold plasma was suggested on the basis of correlations established between the polar part of SFE obtained from the Owens et al. method, acid/base components calculated using the LWAB approach, and ATR infrared spectroscopy signatures obtained for a model polyolefin material. These FTIR analyses showed that the incorporation of O-containing organic functionalities on the surface of the substrate (e.g., C=O, O-C=O) is consistent with Lewis base interactions quantified from the LWAB method. Because it highlights possibilities offered by combining a fast grit-blasting method to a high-speed versatile atmospheric cold plasma technique, this work represents a starting point toward a more extensive use of cost-effective epoxy vinyl ester-based composites within applications requiring structural adhesive bonding processes.

Introduction

Within the context of high energy costs and in response to increasing environmental concerns, the use of aluminium-composite hybrid structures represents, now more than ever, a promising way to decrease the weight of transportation vehicles such as buses and cars. Also, by bonding laminates to metal substrates, one can usually increase the flexural stiffness of a metal [1], making it highly suitable for a structural application within a vehicle. Because it allows dissimilar material assembly and uniformly distributed stresses between the joined parts, adhesive bonding is still the most suitable method to join together metallic and polymeric materials. However, as it is the case with metallic materials, most thermoset composites used for structural load-bearing applications require pre-bonding surface property modifications (physical and/or chemical).

For many years now, grit-blasting has been identified, by far, as the preferred surface pretreatment method for thermoset composites [2]. Indeed, because of their cross-linked structures and the intrinsic polarity of their resin polymer chains, thermoset composites are theoretically quite easy to bond. Hence, using a simple solvent degreasing step followed by a grit-blasting process, one can usually remove the mould release agent as well as the thin layer of low molecular weight species present on, or close to, the composite surface. The surface obtained, when properly roughened, presents a fresh molecular network that

would readily interact with an adhesive. However, according to Young's equation, a certain number of surface free energy conditions must be encountered to ensure a strong and durable substrate-adhesive interaction. Indeed, based on Young's equation, it can be easily demonstrated that increasing the surface energy of a substrate to a value greater than the surface energy of an adhesive, generally allows the latter to spread on the former. A more formal view of this phenomenon can be obtained from wettability envelopes.

According to Owens and collaborators [3,4], the surface free energy of a substrate (γ^S or SFE) can be divided into two components, i.e. the polar part (γ^P) and the dispersive part (γ^D). While the dispersive component of γ^S mainly refers to weak van der Waals dispersive forces ($F \propto 1/r^6$), the polar component is commonly associated with all non-dispersive forces, namely permanent dipoles ($F \propto 1/r^3$) and dipole-induced dipole interactions, acid-base interactions and hydrogen bonds (r is the distance that separates interacting entities) [5]. Therefore, when applying a surface pretreatment to increase the surface free energy of a substrate, one generally targets a significant increase in the polar part of γ^S . For example, it has been demonstrated that raising γ^P of a thermoplastic low-density polyethylene material increases the adhesive-substrate bond strength under the shear mode [6]. In this last example, the value of the γ^D component remained unaffected by the surface treatment. Among the techniques able to importantly increase γ^P of a surface through the induction of polar chemical groups, atmospheric cold plasma technology is one of the most recent

and promising experimental approaches. Indeed, since it operates under ambient pressure, it virtually presents no limitation in the size and shape of the parts to be treated. Moreover, keeping the integrity of the treated bulk material is not a concern, since the plasma temperature is low compared to traditional flame treatments. Therefore, overheating of the material is unlikely to occur. However, because they are inherently more difficult to bond, thermoplastic polymers [7] have been the object of most studies on the efficiency of atmospheric cold plasma as a surface pretreatment [e.g., 6,8-13]. Consequently, there is no available data indicating to which extent atmospheric cold plasma can modify the surface free energy of a thermoset composite prior to adhesive bonding.

Despite the recognized efficiency of grit-blasting as a pretreatment of thermoset composites prior to adhesive bonding, in a recent article, Boerio et al. reported that, up to date, only a few studies have quantitatively stated on the surface free energy modifications induced by grit-blasting processes [14]. Without being an extensive literature review, Table 1 presents to which extent grit-blasting typically influences surface free energy parameters measured for different thermoset composites. As shown, grit-blasting generally modifies both the γ^D and γ^P components of the surface free energy and, in all cases, the grit-blasting process led to a global increase of the surface free energy. Among available literature, the effect of grit-blasting on the surface free energy of epoxy-based composites has received more attention than any other thermoset resin. This interest is mainly explained by the importance of epoxy resins in the aerospace sector. However,

because they are quite expensive, epoxy-based composites are generally not accessible to manufacturing industries such as automotive and bus businesses. In contrast, epoxy vinyl ester resin-based composites, due to their relative low cost, acceptable mechanical strength and resistance to moisture and chemical agents, would represent good candidates for the design of cost effective hybrid aluminium-composite materials. Thus, they can be used as an alternative to polyester and epoxy resins in composite materials because their characteristics and strengths are inferior to epoxy, but superior to that of polyester.

Epoxy vinyl ester resins are produced by the esterification of an epoxy resin with an unsaturated monocarboxylic acid (e.g. methacrylic acid). The general chemical structure of the epoxy vinyl ester prepolymer is presented in Figure 1. Due to their chemical stability and steric hindrance, aromatic rings in the bisphenol A group provide resistance to chemicals similar to that of epoxy bisphenol resins. For their part, ester functions, susceptible to chemical attacks, are less numerous in epoxy vinyl ester resins than in polyester resins. This ratio makes epoxy vinyl ester resins more chemically stable than polyester resins. As far as the hydroxyl groups found in epoxy vinyl ester resins are concerned, they increase the chemical stability of a reinforced composite by improving the interface quality between the fibre and resin. Finally, unsaturations located at the end of prepolymer chains contribute to increasing the reactivity of C=C groups compared to C=C groups of polyesters which are located all along the prepolymer chain. This increased reactivity favours the polymerisation process.

Despite their interesting and promising overall properties for the design of adhesively bonded aluminium-composite hybrid structures, epoxy vinyl ester-based composites have not yet been the object of a study examining the influence of surface pretreatments on their surface free energy components. Therefore, the objective of this article is to highlight the effect of grit-blasting pretreatment on the surface free energy components of a fibreglass-reinforced epoxy vinyl ester composite. Also, since it represents a promising, versatile, high-speed and dust-free surface treatment, emerging atmospheric cold plasma technology will be used individually or in combination with a grit-blasting process to advantageously modify the surface chemistry of the composite. Finally, a detailed discussion on the information provided, using a combination of surface free energy calculation methods, will be presented.

Experimental Details

The main composite system used in this investigation was prepared using an epoxy vinyl ester resin obtained from Ashland Composite Polymers. Details pertaining to the fibreglass content and composite moulding procedure cannot be revealed.

Grit-blasting procedures were performed using 220-grit high-purity ($\geq 99.3\%$) white alumina (White Abrasives, Niagara Falls, ON, CAN) and 220-grit HPX grade garnet particles (Barton Mines Company, Lake George, NY, USA). Oil-free compressed air was used for grit-blasting at a pressure of 80 psi (550 kPa). Two (2) passes, at a 45° fixed approach angle and a 15 cm stand-off distance, were performed to obtain an appropriate level of roughness. Abrasion using a 24-grit pad was also performed. This specific abrasion technique was used for comparison purposes with grit-blasting processes because it is usually easy to implement in industry and, more importantly, because it is often used for flattening of the whole surface of a composite. Prior to grit-blasting or abrading, samples were acetone degreased to minimize incorporation of surface impurities within the structural material.

Plasma treatments were performed with an Atomflo™-250 plasma source from Surfx® Technologies LLC (Culver City, CA, USA). The plasma was maintained by supplying a 13.56 MHz excitation RF to the electrodes [17]. Ar at a 30-L/min

flow rate was used as primary gas, while O₂ at a 20-mL/min flow rate was sometimes used as secondary gas. The RF power was fixed at 80 W. The AH-250D plasma torch was precisely moved over the material's surfaces using a six-axis Motoman 3 kg payload robot. The plasma treatment speed ranged from 6 to 30 m/min, and samples were processed at a distance of ~ 2 mm downstream of the showerhead. Prior to the plasma treatment, thermoset composites were degreased using acetone, abraded, or grit blasted. Details regarding specific plasma treatment combinations with other surface pretreatments are given below in the appropriate sections.

Contact angles made by various liquids on the composite were measured on a KRÜSS DSA100 drop shape analysis system. The surface free energy (γ^S or SFE) of pretreated composites were calculated from the static contact angle of 2 μ L sessile drops (average of 6 drops for each liquid) of water, formamide and diiodomethane used as probe liquids. The surface free energy components of these liquids are summarized in Table 2. Sufficient time was allowed for droplets to stop spreading before measuring contact angles, which were all carried out within 10 minutes after the surface pretreatment.

Surface roughness characterization of grit-blasted substrates was performed using an optical profilometer CHR450 from STIL (Sciences et Techniques Industrielles de la Lumière), used in conjunction with a field chromatic optical “pen”. This instrument uses a high resolution non-contact sensor and allows

examination of opaque and/or highly reflective surface finishes. This gave an overall z-axis resolution better than 0.03 μm . Stereomicroscope images were obtained from a Leica Model MZ16 microscope equipped with a camera and XYZ motorized stage (both from Clemex Technologies Inc).

The nature of chemical modifications induced on the surface of the composite during the atmospheric cold plasma treatment was studied using attenuated total reflectance (ATR) Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. Spectra were obtained with a Nicolet 6700 (Thermo Scientific, Madison, WI) on a horizontally mounted internal reflection element (single-reflection diamond crystal, refractive index of 2.4 at 1000 cm^{-1} , angle of incidence of 45° , 64 co-added scans with a spectral resolution of 4 cm^{-1}).

Overview of Two Theoretical Approaches for Surface Free Energy Quantification

In 1963-1964, Fowkes introduced the concept of additivity of intramolecular forces [18,19] and, in the same way, pioneered the surface component approach. He suggested that the geometrical mean of the dispersion force components across an interface ($\sqrt{\gamma_S^D \gamma_L^D}$, where γ_S^D is the dispersion component of the surface and γ_L^D is the dispersion component of the liquid wetting the surface) is a measure of the interaction energy caused by dispersion forces:

$$\gamma_{SL} = \gamma_S + \gamma_L - 2\sqrt{\gamma_S^D \gamma_L^D}$$

Owens and Wendt [3], and Kaelble and Uy [4] included, in 1969 and 1970 respectively, the polar interactions to the theory suggested by Fowkes:

$$\gamma_{SL} = \gamma_S + \gamma_L - 2\sqrt{\gamma_S^D \gamma_L^D} - 2\sqrt{\gamma_S^H \gamma_L^H}$$

where γ_S^H and γ_L^H represent hydrogen bonding terms for surface and liquid, respectively. The Owens et al. approach (sometimes called Kaelble method) then considers that both dispersive and non-dispersive interactions (i.e. polar interactions) contribute to interactions across interfaces:

$$\gamma^S = \gamma^D + \gamma^P$$

According to the Owens et al. theory, γ^D accounts for dispersive London interactions, while γ^P includes all of the non-dispersive forces, including H-bonding, acid-base, Keesom and Debye energetic contributions [20]. For

polymeric materials, water and diiodomethane are the two most frequently used liquids to determine γ^D and γ^P parameters from the Owens et al. theory [21]. Because the drop shape analysis system available for contact angle measurements allows a third liquid, formamide was used as the second polar liquid. In the past, liquid triplet water-diiodomethane-formamide was successfully used for surface free energy measurements of different materials [e.g.,21,22]. Moreover, it must be mentioned that the SFE calculation method suggested by Owens et al. is the most often applied method for polymeric materials [21].

The Lifshitz – van der Waals / acid-base approach (LWAB) to determine the surface free energy of substrates was proposed by Van Oss, Fowkes, Chaudhury and Good [23-28] some 20 years ago (sometimes called acid-base theory). This theory sustains that noncovalent surface and interfacial interactions give rise to an apolar London – van der Waals component (γ^{LW}) and an acid-base component (γ^{AB}):

$$\gamma^S = \gamma^{LW} + \gamma^{AB}$$

While the γ^D parameter of the Owens et al. theory solely considers instantaneous dipole interactions associated with London forces, the γ^{LW} component takes into account London dispersion forces as well as Keesom (i.e. dipole-dipole) and Debye (i.e. dipole-induced dipole) interactions. On the other hand, acid-base interactions, that comprise two non-additive parameters, are the electron-acceptor (γ^+) and electron-donor (γ^-) surface tension parameters. The total acid-

base contribution to the surface free energy, which also includes H-bonding interactions, is given by the following geometric mean:

$$\gamma^{AB} = 2\sqrt{\gamma^+\gamma^-}$$

Then,

$$\gamma^S = \gamma^{LW} + 2\sqrt{\gamma^+\gamma^-}$$

This approach, from a theoretical point of view, is very interesting because it allows characterization of the acid-base character of the surface, in the sense of Lewis' definition of acidity and basicity. However, it is well known that the determined values of the components, and thus the total surface free energy calculated according to the LWAB approach, depend strongly on the choice of the liquid triplet used [29,30]. Moreover, the arbitrary choice by Good and van Oss of equal values for the donor and acceptor parameters for water, i.e. $\gamma^+ = \gamma^- = 25.5 \text{ mJ/m}^2$ [25], is still a controversy. Nevertheless, in the present article, surface free energy components determined using the liquid triplet water, diiodomethane and formamide and by applying the Owens et al. and LWAB approaches, will be compared. Good has proposed to use apolar liquids 1-bromonaphtalene ($\gamma_L = 44.4 \text{ mJ/m}^2$) and diiodomethane ($\gamma_L = 50.8 \text{ mJ/m}^2$) for determination of the γ^{LW} component [23]. For the determination of γ^+ and γ^- , two polar liquids are required, the most important being water, since at least one liquid must have equal basic and polar parts. For this purpose, water ($\gamma^+ = \gamma^- = 25.5 \text{ mJ/m}^2$) is used because it serves as a neutral point in the Lewis scale. Selection of the second polar liquid was done using the approach suggested by Holländer [31]. According to Holländer, the concept of mathematical instability

must be considered to choose a pair of polar liquids. For each polar liquid, this study defines the ratio Q_r , where:

$$Q_r = \gamma^-/\gamma^+$$

The difference between two liquids can be calculated, giving ΔQ_r . For a liquid triplet containing one apolar liquid, the ΔQ_r parameter calculated for the two polar liquids should be as large as possible, indicating that the two polar liquids differ greatly in their Lewis acid / Lewis base characters. Combe et al. [32] have calculated the ΔQ_r value for 12 different liquid triplets. The largest ΔQ_r value, i.e. 16.4, was obtained for liquid triplets containing water and formamide as polar liquids. According to Holländer, a value of 15 seems to be acceptable [31]. Therefore, formamide liquid selected for SFE calculations using the Owens et al. method is, according to Holländer's theory, also appropriate for SFE determination from the LWAB approach.

For accurate surface energy calculations, it is usually recommended to determine the effect of surface roughness on the measured contact angles. Indeed, contact angles measured for rough surfaces can be corrected to take into account the contribution of the roughness in the modification of the "real" contact angle, which is solely dependent on the energetic characteristics of the surface. Wenzel [33] defined a roughness correction factor (R_c) expressed as:

$$R_c = \frac{\cos(\theta_r)}{\cos(\theta_0)}$$

where θ_r is the contact angle made by a liquid with a rough surface and θ_0 is the contact angle made by the same liquid in the absence of roughness. In the present article, glycerol was used as a test liquid to calculate the roughness correction factor when required. In order to eliminate the effect of the surface chemistry on the roughness measurements, composites were previously coated for 30 seconds with a thin platinum film using a sputter coater operating at 150 mA. Although Dillingham and Oakley considered that the precision of surface free energy values for rough surfaces calculated with the Wenzel approach are suspect [34], this approach nevertheless represents a good way to establish surface free energy comparisons for the same material treated in different ways.

Results and Discussion

Mechanical Pretreatments

The surface free energy of the fibreglass-reinforced epoxy vinyl ester composite was determined following a simple degreasing step using acetone with a Kimwipes™ towel. Figure 2 presents the Kaelble plot made from contact angles measured for the liquids summarized in Table 2. Using the Owens et al. method, a total surface free energy of 51.8 mJ/m² ($\gamma^D = 48.5$ mJ/m²; $\gamma^P = 3.3$ mJ/m²) was determined for the degreased composite. By taking into account the roughness correction factor of 1.20 for that surface, a corrected surface free energy of 44.4 mJ/m² ($\gamma^D = 40.4$ mJ/m²; $\gamma^P = 4.0$ mJ/m²) was calculated, which is theoretically high enough to allow spreading of a 2-component epoxy adhesive ($\gamma^D = 35$ mJ/m²; $\gamma^P = 4$ mJ/m²) on the surface of the composite [34]. Indeed, the wettability envelope calculated from these data demonstrates that the surface tension of the 2-component epoxy adhesive lies inside the contour of the envelope defined by the corrected surface free energy of the degreased composite (figure not shown). From this point on, SFE values appearing in the text are corrected for surface roughness. Besides the basic information provided by wettability envelopes, Chin and Wightman [15] demonstrated that the durability of assemblies can be considerably improved by increasing the surface free energy of a composite to a higher level. In other words, the larger the difference between the surface tension of the adhesive and surface free energy of the composite is, the more durable the

assembly can be. A small polar component for the simply degreased surface was expected, since all surface impurities may not be completely soluble in acetone. Moreover, traces of impurities would also have remained strongly adsorbed within the first layers of the material, making them impossible to remove using a Kimwipes™ towel. As shown in Table 1, polar components of the same order of magnitude were determined for different as-tooled composites. The surface free energy of the degreased composite was also determined using the LWAB theory. Results obtained ($\gamma^S = 44.1 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; $\gamma^{LW} = 41.4 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; $\gamma^- = 4.7 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; $\gamma^+ = 0.4 \text{ mJ/m}^2$) are in accordance with those generated by the Owens et al. approach. Linearity observed on the Kaelble plot, as well as the closeness of the results obtained from the Owens et al. and LWAB theories are indications of accurate results.

Figure 3a presents the surface morphology obtained from optical profilometry measurements performed on the acetone degreased fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite. The vacuum moulding process employed leads to a rough surface on one side of the composite, and to a smooth and plane surface on the other side of the material. Since the smooth surface must be kept intact for aesthetic considerations (visible panels), only the rough surface shown in Figure 3a should be considered for adhesive bonding (nevertheless, for comparison purposes only, Table 3 presents SFE calculated for the smooth side of the composite, degreased in one case and grit-blasted in the other). It is clearly shown in Figure 3a that the moulding procedure employed to prepare the panels

induces very sharp irregularities on the rough side of the composite. Consequently, flattening of the surface using a mechanical approach is required prior to bonding for two reasons. Firstly, these unflattened topographic features will lead to significant stress concentrations phenomena and, secondly, the chemistry of the surface is certainly different from the chemistry of the bulk resin material. Thus, in the aim of exposing the fresh bulk resin matrix, introducing reproducible surface roughness features and removing the remaining traces of near-surface impurities, a grit-blasting process using 220-grit white alumina was performed. As shown in Figure 3b, the grit-blasted substrate, at the presented scale, most likely exhibits a well defined pattern on a much smoother surface. The surface roughness obtained from grit-blasting should be helpful for adhesion through a mechanical interlocking mechanism without the creation of stress concentrations. Similar roughness features were observed on the surface of the grit-blasted composite using the garnet abrasive. As shown in Table 3, according to the Owens et al. approach, grit-blasting using 220-grit white alumina only allowed a negligible increase of the total surface free energy of the composite, i.e. to 45.0 mJ/m^2 , with dispersive and polar components being 41.2 mJ/m^2 and 3.8 mJ/m^2 , respectively. Such SFE similarities with the only degreased surface can be explained by the fact that the epoxy vinyl ester resin was cured without using an external heat supply and, moreover, the surface to bond was not pressed against a hot steel moulding plate. These results, and others obtained from mechanical pretreatments, are all summarized in Table 3. Contrary to white alumina, garnet abrasive was found to have a greater influence on the surface

chemistry of the composite, since a 6.6 mJ/m^2 polar contribution was calculated using the Owens et al. approach ($\gamma^S = 46.5 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; $\gamma^D = 39.9 \text{ mJ/m}^2$). Results obtained from the LWAB theory are also in agreement with this value. In a recent paper, Boerio et al. found that for some epoxy-based composites, garnet abrasive leads to a significant increase of the SFE polar component [14]. Within a manufacturing environment, the use of garnet abrasives is advantageous, since it is a low dust material and less expensive than white alumina.

The moulding/curing process of the epoxy vinyl ester resin employed leads to the formation of a macro-rough surface on one side of the panel. However, using a 24-grit abrading pad, it is possible to rapidly flatten the whole surface of the composite. This flattening procedure allows a fast rectification of the surface that could be required for some applications in which planarity is a requirement. To assess if this type of surface preparation gives a surface appropriate for adhesive bonding, surface free energy measurements were performed on it. Table 3 shows that a total SFE of 42.4 mJ/m^2 was calculated, which is in quite good agreement with the values obtained from the other mechanical surface pretreatments that underwent assessment. However, a very low 0.04 mJ/m^2 polar contribution characterizes this surface, making it highly hydrophobic. Indeed, a 105° water contact angle was measured for this surface, while a typical $65\text{-}75^\circ$ contact angle was obtained following grit-blasting processes. A stereomicroscopic investigation of this surface was done with the aim of attributing the hydrophobic character of the surface to distinct structures. Figure

4a presents a stereomicroscopic image obtained from the 24-grit abraded surface. It is clearly seen that this specific abrasion technique exposes glass fibres contained in the composite (these glass fibres were not easily visible by eye). From both mechanical and energetic points of views, 24-grit pad abrasion is thus highly detrimental to adhesion. Although it is less demanding in dust control installations than grit-blasting, the pad abrasion technique also presents several processing issues, including the difficulty to manually control the applied pressure on the abrasive pad when automation is not a possibility. Because of this limitation, using a smaller grit does not represent an alternative, since it would have also probably led to the observation of uncovered fibres. The image presented in Figure 4b confirms that grit-blasting allows keeping of a fibre-free composite surface.

Atmospheric Cold Plasma Pretreatments

Atmospheric cold plasma technology was used to induce chemical changes favourable to adhesion on the surface of the epoxy vinyl ester composite. The plasma shower was expected to be reactive enough to incorporate polar groups within the epoxy vinyl ester polymer chain. In previous years, low-pressure O₂ plasma has often been used to perform surface oxidation of different thermoset composites. Chin and Wightman [15] demonstrated that a 5-minute oxygen plasma exposition increases the surface free energy of a bismaleimide composite to a level of 78.7 mJ/m² ($\gamma^D = 36.4$ mJ/m²; $\gamma^P = 42.3$ mJ/m²). Because it exceeds

the surface tension of water, the SFE of the bismaleimide composite was determined using the Carre and Schultz method [35,36] that implies immersion of the composite in a liquid phase (instead of air). In a recent study published by Boerio et al. [14], a 30-second low-pressure O₂ plasma allowed to raise the SFE of a carbon fibre / epoxy composite to a value of 49.1 mJ/m² ($\gamma^D = 29.0$ mJ/m²; $\gamma^P = 20.1$ mJ/m²). However, it appears that low-pressure oxygen plasma is not very convenient for the pretreatment of large parts. Moreover, the relatively long duration of the treatment, as well as the time required to reach the low operating pressure inside the vacuum chamber, represent unacceptable limitations within a manufacturing context. For that reason, we investigated the efficiency of emerging atmospheric cold plasma technology equipped with a torch that is moved over the materials' surface using a 6-axis robot. Therefore, using this setup, there is virtually no limitation regarding the size and shape of the parts to be treated under atmospheric pressure conditions.

An acetone degreased fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite has been treated using a 30-L/min argon plasma operated at a 6 m/min processing speed over the materials' surface. The whole set of experimental parameters associated with the plasma treatment is given above in the Experimental Details section. Contact angle measurements performed on the as processed part showed that argon plasma does not considerably influence the SFE of the composite, since values of 38.6 mJ/m², 6.2 mJ/m² and 44.8 mJ/m² were obtained for γ^D , γ^P and γ^S , respectively. SFE components calculated using the LWAB approach ($\gamma^{LW} = 40.4$

mJ/m^2 ; $\gamma^{\text{AB}} = 3.6 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; $\gamma^{\text{S}} = 44.0 \text{ mJ/m}^2$) are highly consistent with results obtained from the Owens et al. method. These results, and others obtained for the composite submitted to atmospheric cold plasma treatments, are summarized in Table 4. In order to increase the oxidizing power of the plasma shower, a small concentration of oxygen was added to the argon gas. Wettability measurements demonstrated that the addition of 20 mL/min oxygen to a 30-L/min argon plasma (0.07% O_2 in Ar) significantly increases the SFE of the fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite up to 59.6 mJ/m^2 ($\gamma^{\text{D}} = 34.9 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; $\gamma^{\text{P}} = 24.7 \text{ mJ/m}^2$), at a 6 m/min processing speed. Increasing the processing speed to 18 m/min slightly decreases the SFE obtained for the composite to 58.8 mJ/m^2 ($\gamma^{\text{D}} = 37.0 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; $\gamma^{\text{P}} = 21.3 \text{ mJ/m}^2$). Finally, using a processing speed comparable to those employed for flame treatment of polyolefin materials, i.e. 30 m/min [37], the SFE is lowered to 50.3 mJ/m^2 ($\gamma^{\text{D}} = 37.4 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; $\gamma^{\text{P}} = 12.9 \text{ mJ/m}^2$). Therefore, it seems that the speed at which the Ar/ O_2 plasma treatment is processed on the simply degreased composite significantly influences its efficiency. Moreover, when the processing speed overpasses approx. 20 m/min, an important drop in the SFE polar component is noted. In Table 4, it is shown that globally, γ^{D} values calculated using the Owens et al. method agree with γ^{LW} components calculated using the LWAB approach. This result is consistent with the fact that Keesom and Debye interactions are weak in the condensed phase compared to London forces [38]. However, as the value of the γ^{P} parameter increases, the total SFE calculated using the LWAB technique deviates from the SFE obtained from the

Owens et al. approach. A tentative explanation of this phenomenon is advanced in the last section of this article.

Combined Mechanical and Atmospheric Cold Plasma Pretreatments

In previous sections, grit-blasting using either 220-grit alumina or garnet has shown its efficiency for the introduction of roughness features appropriate to adhesive bonding of a fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite. Indeed, even though grit-blasting performed on the rough side of received panels does not importantly influence the SFE of the composite, it nevertheless smoothens surface irregularities and then, minimizes probabilities of stress concentration to occur. On the other hand, it has been evidenced that the addition of 0.07% oxygen to argon cold plasma operating at atmospheric pressure markedly increases the SFE of an acetone degreased substrate to values around 60 mJ/m². However, when the plasma processing speed is increased to 30 m/min, an important drop in the SFE of the composite is observed compared to values calculated for lower processing speeds (e.g. 6 m/min). Therefore, with the aim of favourably modifying both surface roughness and surface free energy of the substrate without decreasing the plasma processing speed in any way, combined grit-blasting and atmospheric cold plasma pretreatments were performed.

Following a grit-blasting step, the surface of a fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite was treated using argon plasma at a processing speed of 18 m/min.

From the Owens et al. method, a SFE of 47.6 mJ/m^2 ($\gamma^D = 41.4 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; $\gamma^P = 6.2 \text{ mJ/m}^2$) was calculated for the as treated substrate. Such a value is comparable to those obtained for the composite treated using argon plasma, without any previous step of grit-blasting (see Table 4). This result clearly demonstrates that the oxidizing power of argon plasma toward the freshly exposed epoxy vinyl ester resin matrix is not sufficient to increase the SFE of the composite to a higher level. In order to increase the oxidizing power of the argon plasma processed at a speed of 18 m/min, 0.07% oxygen was again inserted into the argon gas. As shown in Table 5, the Ar/O₂ plasma torch, when operated at 18 m/min, increases the SFE of the alumina grit-blasted fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite to a value $> 73 \text{ mJ/m}^2$. It then appears that the grit-blasting step makes the surface more reactive to the Ar/O₂ plasma by removing the contamination/inert layer of the material, or by activating the molecular network impacted by the particles (e.g. by the generation of free radicals). However, because surface free energies of simply degreased and grit-blasted surfaces are almost equals, the decontamination theory is much more probable than the activation theory. Similar results were obtained at a 30 m/min treatment speed, and by replacing the alumina abrasive by the garnet material. These last surfaces had a 0° contact angle for water, diiodomethane and formamide probe liquids. Therefore, a drop of water can be used as a qualitative probe for a fast quality assurance test of surface integrity prior to adhesive bonding. It is important to notice that because of its relatively high surface tension, water can rarely be used as probe liquid for the quality assessment of a thermoset composite. When the Ar/O₂ cold plasma

treatment is processed at 18 m/min on a substrate previously abraded using a 24-grit pad, a 59.0 mJ/m² SFE ($\gamma^D = 33.9$ mJ/m²; $\gamma^P = 25.1$ mJ/m²) is obtained. Then, the presence of fibreglass reinforcement material on the surface of the composite adversely affects atmospheric cold plasma treatment efficiency. Moreover, from a mechanical point of view and as previously pointed out, the presence of loosely retained fibreglass at the adhesive/composite interface is highly detrimental to joint performance.

Correlation between SFE Calculations from Owens et al. and Lifshitz – van der Waals / Acid-Base (LWAB) Methods

The Lifshitz – van der Waals / acid-base (LWAB) method to determine solid surface free energy, proposed by Van Oss and colleagues, presents a very useful approach, since it theoretically provides indications on the acid-base character of a surface. As mentioned previously, it is, however, the object of a controversy, since the assumption $\gamma^+ = \gamma^- = 25.5$ mJ/m² for water does not seem to have a solid scientific base. On the other hand, the Owens et al. method has for a long time been used to quantify both dispersive and polar components of SFE. Strong bonds have been many times correlated to surfaces characterised by a high polar component calculated using the Owens et al. method. However, for both theoretical approaches, the choice of the probe liquids is still a challenge, especially when only three liquids are used.

Based on the probe liquid selection principle described by Holländer [31], water, diiodomethane and formamide have been chosen to perform SFE measurements. Following each surface pretreatment, contact angle measurements were made and SFE calculated using the Owens et al. and LWAB approaches. Figure 5 presents correlations established between parameters γ^{AB} and γ^P , as well as between γ^{LW} and γ^D . All SFE calculations performed within the framework of this study were included in this graph, although they are not all reported in tables. On the γ^{LW} vs. γ^D graph, a linear relationship between investigated parameters was found. Data points, almost all located inside the 99% confidence interval associated with the curve, demonstrate that the dispersive component of SFE calculated using the Owens et al. method generally agrees with the Lifshitz – van der Waals corresponding SFE parameter, determined from the LWAB theory. A quite good correlation between these two parameters was expected, since London forces are the main energetic contributors of both. On the other hand, on the γ^{AB} vs. γ^P plot in Figure 5b, an exponential rise to maximum curve better describes the general trend observed. In order to understand the physical significance of this curve shape, acid (γ^+) and base (γ^-) components of the γ^{AB} parameter were independently plotted as a function of the γ^P parameter. As presented in Figure 6, while the γ^+ parameter remains virtually unchanged when an important increase in γ^P is noted, the growth of γ^- is proportional to that of γ^P . Then, because γ^+ and γ^- are non-additive entities ($\gamma^{AB} = 2\sqrt{\gamma^+\gamma^-}$), an asymptotic behaviour is obtained in Figure 5b. It is clearly seen in Figure 6a that the acid character of the surface remains almost

unaffected by neither grit-blasting nor atmospheric cold plasma treatments. On the other hand, Figure 6b demonstrates that polar chemical functionalities induced on the surface of the fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite (γ^P parameter) are directly related to the introduction of basic species on the surface, in the sense of Lewis' definition of acid-base (γ^-). Lee et al. [39] have demonstrated using ESCA techniques that RF low-pressure O_2 plasma treatments performed on polypropylene introduce C=O, O-C=O and C-O functionalities on the surface of the material. The formation under O_2 plasma of esters, alcohols, ethers, peroxides and hydroperoxides has also been proposed [40]. In order to understand at a molecular-level the oxidation mechanism of the epoxy vinyl ester composite exposed to the atmospheric cold plasma shower, ATR infrared spectra of the composite surface were recorded. Figure 7a presents the ATR spectrum of the epoxy vinyl ester composite obtained following an Ar/ O_2 plasma treatment. This spectrum does not allow observation of structural features specifically attributable to the plasma-treated composite. In fact, the strong carbonyl band located at 1718 cm^{-1} may overlay weaker -C=O absorption bands attributable to chemical functions introduced on the surface by the plasma treatment. Because oxidation of the composite at the aliphatic alkyl sites located all along polymer chains is likely, the nature of chemical changes inducible by the Ar/ O_2 plasma treatment was studied on a low-density polyethylene (LDPE) polymer that does not contain any carbonyl group. After having performed the plasma treatment at a low processing speed (i.e. 0.3 m/min) on the LDPE substrate, the ATR spectrum was recorded on its surface. Figure 7b shows

spectra of the LDPE substrate, before and after plasma treatment. The spectral region $1850\text{-}1570\text{ cm}^{-1}$ shows absorption bands specifically attributable to plasma treatment effect. As presented in Figure 8, the deconvolution of this spectral region can be done using four Gaussian bands, namely anhydride antisymmetric (P1; 1789 cm^{-1}), anhydride symmetric (P2; 1761 cm^{-1}), ester (P3; 1733 cm^{-1}) and amide (P4; 1637 cm^{-1}) C=O stretching bands. According to the optimized deconvolution technique applied, the presence of carboxylic acid groups on the plasma oxidized surface is unlikely, since no carbonyl band was found in the spectral range $1710\text{-}1680\text{ cm}^{-1}$. This last result is in agreement with surface free energy measurements performed on the epoxy vinyl ester composite, that demonstrate the major presence of Lewis base species (Figure 6b) and a very weak contribution from Lewis acid groups (Figure 6a). In the present case, by introducing O-containing species on the surface of the epoxy vinyl ester composite through probable radical-based catalyzed reactions with alkyl portions of the polymer chains, atmospheric cold plasma increases the surface concentration of electron rich systems (electron pair donors) which, in turn, increase the basic part of the polar surface free energy component. The relationship between γ^- and γ^P parameters, presented in Figure 6b, supports this theory. Moreover, conditions under which occurs the incorporation of oxygen-rich species on the surface of the composite are clearly illustrated in Figure 6. Indeed, in Figures 6a and 6b, two sets of data are clearly distinguishable on both plots. The set of data located at $\gamma^P \geq 21\text{ mJ/m}^2$ is associated with Ar/O₂ atmospheric cold plasma pretreatments performed at low processing speeds on degreased

surfaces only, and to high speed treatments practiced on previously grit-blasted surfaces. On the other hand, as far as the set of data at $\gamma^P < 7 \text{ mJ/m}^2$ is concerned, it is related to grit-blasted surfaces and those pretreated using only argon gas in the atmospheric cold plasma. Thus, for the epoxy vinyl ester thermoset matrix, there exist clear relationships between pretreatment processing parameters (grit-blasted or not, plasma gas mixture, plasma processing speed), polarity of the surface and its content in O-rich electron-donor species.

Conclusion

The purpose of this article was to study the efficiency of grit-blasting and atmospheric cold plasma, used alone or in combination, for the pretreatment prior to bonding of a fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester thermoset composite. It has been shown that grit-blasting does not have a significant effect on the surface free energy of the composite. However, by flattening surface irregularities induced by the moulding procedure of the panels, it provides surface roughness features appropriate to adhesive bonding. The further treatment of grit-blasted surfaces using an Ar/O₂ atmospheric cold plasma increases the SFE of the composite to values over 73 mJ/m², even at a 30 m/min processing speed. Such an increase of the surface free energy was not achievable without performing a previous grit-blasting step that removes the surface contamination layers. Although abrasion using a 24-grit pad allows a rapid levelling of the rough composite surface, this process exposes fibreglass, which is detrimental to adhesion from mechanical and surface free energy points of views. Consequently, pad abrasion of the composite does not represent a promising surface pretreatment prior to adhesive bonding of the panels. The Lifshitz - van der Waals / acid-base (LWAB) approach is at the origin of important controversies, notably concerning values $\gamma^+ = \gamma^- = 25.5 \text{ mJ/m}^2$ arbitrarily attributed to water. Nevertheless, the present work has demonstrated that the liquid triplet water, diiodomethane and formamide, gives highly reliable results, that are in good agreement with the surface free energy parameters calculated with the Owens et al. method. The reliability of these two

SFE calculation approaches, combined to the ATR infrared spectroscopy analysis, permitted to propose an oxidation mechanism of the Ar/O₂ plasma treated epoxy vinyl ester matrix, which takes place via the introduction of O-rich functional groups acting as Lewis bases. Because the combined grit-blasting and atmospheric cold plasma processes represent a robust surface pretreatment giving a SFE > 73 mJ/m², water can be used as a probe liquid in a manufacturing environment for the quality assessment of the treated surface. Future work will soon be conducted to determine the influence of the surface pretreatment of the epoxy vinyl ester composite on the durability of aluminium-composite wedge assemblies.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Maxime Côté for profilometry images.

References

- [1] Jaguar Car Ltd., *Determination of flexural stiffness of laminates bonded to metal substrates*, standard JAGUAR JNS 30.70.11 (1994).
- [2] Hart-Smith, L.J., Ochsner, R.W. and Radecky, R.L., *Engineered Materials Handbook. Vol. 3: Adhesives and Sealants*, (ASM International, Materials Park, 1990) pp. 840-844.
- [3] Owens, D.K. and Wendt, R.C., *J. Appl. Polymer Sci.* **13**, 1741-1747 (1969).
- [4] Kaelble, D.H. and Uy, K.C., *J. Adhesion* **2**, 50-60 (1970).
- [5] Petrie, E.M., *Handbook of Adhesives and Sealants*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 2007). 2nd ed., Chap. 2, pp. 39-58.
- [6] Gallant, D., Eskandarian, M. and Arsenault, B., in *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Meeting of The Adhesion Society, Inc., Savannah, GA, 15-18 February 2009*, G. Anderson (Ed.) (The Adhesion Society, Blacksburg, 2009) pp. 308-310.
- [7] Brewis, D.M. and Briggs, D., *Polymer* **22**, 7-16 (1981).
- [8] Dillingham, R.G., Oakley, B.R. and Gilpin, D., *J. Adhesion* **84**, 1007-1022 (2008).
- [9] Thurston, R.M., Clay, J.D. and Schulte, M.D., *J. Plastic Film Sheeting* **23**, 63-78 (2007).
- [10] Stewart, R., Goodship, V., Guild, F., Green, M. and Farrow, J., *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.* **25**, 93-99 (2005).
- [11] Friedrich, J.F., Unger, W., Lippitz, W., Gross, T., Rohrer, P., Saur, W., Erdmann, J. and Gorsler, H.-V., *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.* **9**, 569-586 (1995).

- [12] Prat, R., Suwa, T., Kogoma, M. and Okazaki, S., *J. Adhesion* **66**, 163-182 (1998).
- [13] Tsuchiya, Y., Akutu, K. and Iwata, A., *Prog. Org. Coat.* **34**, 100-107 (1998).
- [14] Boerio, F.J., Roby, B., Dillingham, R.G., Bossi, R.H. and Crane, R.L., *J. Adhesion* **82**, 19-37 (2006).
- [15] Chin, J.W. and Wightman, J.P., *Composites: Part A* **27A**, 419-428 (1996).
- [16] Pocius, A.V. and Wenz, R.P., *Proc. 30th Natl. SAMPE Symp.* **30**, 1073-1087 (1985).
- [17] Moravej, M., Yang, X., Nowling, G.R., Chang, J.P., Hicks, R.F. and Babayan, S.E., *J. Appl. Phys.* **96**, 7011-7017 (2004).
- [18] Fowkes, F.M., *J. Phys. Chem.* **67**, 2538-2541 (1963).
- [19] Fowkes, F.M., *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **56**, 40-52 (1964).
- [20] Sharma, P.K. and Rao, K.H., *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **98**, 341-463 (2002).
- [21] Zenkiewicz, M., *Polym. Test.* **26**, 14-19 (2007).
- [22] Zand, B.N. and Mahdavian, M., *Electrochim. Acta* **52**, 6438-6442 (2007).
- [23] Good, R.J., *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.* **6**, 1269-1302 (1992).
- [24] Chaudhury, M.K., *Mater. Sci. Eng.* **R16**, 97-159 (1996).
- [25] Good, R.J. and van Oss, C.J., in *Modern approach of wettability: theory and applications*, Schrader, M.E. and Loeb, G. (Eds.) (Plenum Press, New York, 1992) Chap. 1.
- [26] Fowkes, F.M., *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.* **1**, 7-27 (1987).
- [27] van Oss, C.J., Good, R.J. and Chaudhury, M.K., *Langmuir* **4**, 884-891 (1988).

- [28] van Oss, C.J., Chaundhury, M.K. and Good, R.J., *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **28**, 35-64 (1987).
- [29] Radelczuk, H., Holysz, L. and Chibowski, E., *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.* **16**, 1547-1568 (2002).
- [30] Cantin, S., Bouteau, M., Benhabib, F. and Perrot, F., *Colloids Surf. A : Physicochem. Eng. Aspects* **276**, 107-115 (2006).
- [31] Holländer, A., *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **169**, 493-496 (1995).
- [32] Combe, E.C., Owen, B.A. and Hodges, J.S., *Dent. Mater.* **20**, 262-268 (2004).
- [33] Wenzel, R.N., *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **28**, 988-994 (1936).
- [34] Dillingham, R.G. and Oakley, B.R., *J. Adhesion* **82**, 407-426 (2006).
- [35] Schultz, J., Tsutsumi, K. and Donnet, J.-B., *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **59**, 272-276 (1977).
- [36] Carre, A. and Schultz, J., *J. Adhesion* **15**, 151-161 (1983).
- [37] Fourche, G., *Polym. Eng. Sci.* **35**, 968-975 (1995).
- [38] Sun, C. and Berg, J.C., *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **105**, 151-175 (2003).
- [39] Lee, S.D., Sarmadi, M., Denes, F. and Shohet, J.L., *Plasma Polym.* **2**, 177-198 (1997).
- [40] Hansen, G.P., Rushing, R.A., Warren, R.W., Kaplan, S.L. and Kolluri, O.S., Technical Paper AD89-537, Society of Manufacturing Engineers (1989).

Table 1. Overview of the surface free energy calculated for different thermoset-based composites before and after grit-blasting.

Composite	Grit Nature	Surface energy (mJ/m ²)						Ref.
		Before grit-blasting			After grit-blasting			
		γ^D	γ^P	γ^S	γ^D	γ^P	γ^S	
Bismaleimide resin/carbon fibres	150-grit silica	25.0	6.3	31.3	30.8	8.3	39.1	15
Epoxy resin/graphite fibres	100-grit alumina	32	4	36	33	11	44	16
Epoxy resin/graphite fibres (system #1)	220-grit white alumina	34.1	2.6	36.7	41.0	9.6	50.6	14
Epoxy resin/graphite fibres (system #1)	220-grit garnet	34.1	2.6	36.7	29.2	22.0	51.2	14
Epoxy resin/graphite fibres	220-grit white alumina	39.6	2.0	41.6	50.4	1.5	51.9	6
Polyester resin/fibreglass	220-grit white alumina	42.7	1.5	44.2	54.6	1.6	56.2	6

Table 2. Surface free energy components of liquids used for contact angle measurements.

Liquid	γ^S (mJ/m ²)	Owens et al. theory		LWAB theory			
		γ^P (mJ/m ²)	γ^D (mJ/m ²)	γ^{LW} (mJ/m ²)	γ^{AB} (mJ/m ²)	γ^+ (mJ/m ²)	γ^- (mJ/m ²)
Water	72.8	21.8	51.0	21.8	51.0	25.5	25.5
Formamide	58.0	39.0	19.0	39.0	19.0	2.28	39.6
Diiodomethane	50.8	50.8	0.0	50.8	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 3. Surface free energies calculated for mechanically modified fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite using Owens et al. and LWAB approaches (values before correction for surface roughness).

Surface treatment	Owens et al.			LWAB			
	γ^D (mJ/m ²)	γ^P (mJ/m ²)	γ^S (mJ/m ²)	γ^{LW} (mJ/m ²)	$\bar{\gamma}$ (mJ/m ²)	γ^+ (mJ/m ²)	γ^S (mJ/m ²)
Rough side: surface to bond							
Acetone degreased	40.4 (48.5)	4.0 (3.3)	44.4 (51.8)	41.4 (49.0)	4.7 (3.5)	0.4 (0.5)	44.1 (51.6)
Grit-blasting 220-grit white alumina	41.2 (50.4)	3.8 (2.9)	45.0 (53.3)	42.5 (50.8)	4.8 (2.9)	0.3 (0.5)	44.9 (53.2)
Grit-blasting 220-grit garnet	39.9 (48.3)	6.6 (6.6)	46.5 (54.9)	42.4 (50.8)	9.8 (9.3)	0.2 (0.3)	45.2 (54.1)
Abraded 24-grit pad	42.4 (50.6)	0.04 (0.6)	42.4 (51.2)	42.0 (49.1)	0.1 (1.3)	0.0 (0.0)	42.0 (49.1)
Smooth side: aesthetic surface							
Acetone degreased	41.0 (41.0)	1.4 (1.4)	42.4 (42.4)	42.8 (42.8)	2.7 (2.7)	0.0 (0.0)	42.8 (42.8)
Grit-blasting 220-grit white alumina	41.3 (46.7)	3.5 (3.1)	44.8 (49.8)	41.9 (46.9)	3.8 (2.9)	0.5 (0.6)	44.6 (49.5)

Table 4. Surface free energies calculated for fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite pretreated using atmospheric cold plasma technology (values before correction for surface roughness).

Surface treatment	Owens et al.			LWAB			
	γ^D (mJ/m ²)	γ^P (mJ/m ²)	γ^S (mJ/m ²)	γ^{LW} (mJ/m ²)	$\bar{\gamma}$ (mJ/m ²)	γ^+ (mJ/m ²)	γ^S (mJ/m ²)
Ar 30 L/min; 6 m/min	38.6 (46.4)	6.2 (5.9)	44.8 (52.3)	40.4 (47.7)	8.1 (7.0)	0.4 (0.6)	44.0 (51.8)
Ar 30 L/min + O ₂ 20 mL/min; 6 m/min	34.9 (41.3)	24.7 (29.2)	59.6 (70.5)	40.6 (48.0)	40.5 (47.5)	0.4 (0.5)	48.6 (57.7)
Ar 30 L/min + O ₂ 20 mL/min; 18 m/min	37.0 (44.2)	21.3 (24.9)	58.8 (69.1)	42.5 (50.6)	35.0 (40.4)	0.3 (0.4)	49.0 (58.6)
Ar 30 L/min + O ₂ 20 mL/min; 30 m/min	37.4 (44.4)	12.9 (14.3)	50.3 (58.7)	40.2 (47.4)	17.7 (19.0)	0.7 (0.9)	47.2 (55.7)

Table 5. Surface free energies calculated for fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite pretreated using a mechanical process combined with atmospheric cold plasma technology (values before correction for surface roughness).

Surface treatment				Owens et al. theory			LWAB theory			
Mechanical pretreatment	Plasma conditions			γ^D (mJ/m ²)	γ^P (mJ/m ²)	γ^S (mJ/m ²)	γ^{LW} (mJ/m ²)	γ^- (mJ/m ²)	γ^+ (mJ/m ²)	γ^S (mJ/m ²)
	Ar (L/min)	O ₂ (mL/min)	Processing speed (m/min)							
White alumina 220-grit	30	0	18	41.4 (50.4)	6.2 (5.9)	47.6 (56.3)	42.4 (50.8)	6.9 (5.7)	0.8 (1.1)	47.1 (55.8)
Garnet 220-grit	30	20	18	n/d	n/d	> 73	n/d	n/d	n/d	> 73
White alumina 220-grit	30	20	18	n/d	n/d	> 73	n/d	n/d	n/d	> 73
White alumina 220-grit	30	20	30	n/d	n/d	> 73	n/d	n/d	n/d	> 73
Abraded 24-grit	30	20	18	33.9 (39.4)	25.1 (29.4)	59.0 (68.8)	38.8 (44.9)	38.2 (44.1)	0.7 (0.9)	49.1 (57.5)

Figure 1: Chemical structure of a typical epoxy vinyl ester resin prepolymer, where R = H or CH₃ and X = bisphenol A or novolac group.

Figure 2: Linear Kaelble plot for acetone degreased fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite.

a)

b)

Figure 3: Optical profilometry images obtained on the fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite: (a) degreased with acetone ($R_a = 25.4 \mu\text{m}$); (b) grit-blasted with 220-grit white alumina ($R_a = 20.8 \mu\text{m}$).

Figure 4: Stereomicroscopic images of the fibreglass / epoxy vinyl ester composite mechanically treated using: (a) 24-grit abrasive pad; (b) 220-grit white alumina.

Figure 5: Relationship between (a) γ^{LW} and γ^{D} ; (b) γ^{AB} and γ^{P} of SFE calculated using Owens et al. and LWAB theories (dashed lines: 99% confidence interval).

Figure 6: Variation of (a) acid, γ^+ ; (b) base, γ^- components of the γ^{AB} parameter as a function of the γ^P parameter.

Figure 7: ATR infrared spectra of (a) Ar/O₂ plasma treated epoxy vinyl ester composite; (b) untreated and Ar/O₂ plasma treated LDPE polymer.

Figure 8: Deconvolution of the ATR infrared spectrum obtained for the Ar/O₂ plasma treated LDPE polymer.