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Abstract—To meet the high demand for supporting and accelerating progress in breeding of novel traits, plant scientists and breeders have to make more efforts to deal with the need to accurately measure a large number of plants and their characteristics. A variety of imaging methodologies is being deployed to acquire data for quantitative studies of complex traits. When applied to a large number of plants, however, a complete 3D model is very time-consuming for high-throughput phenotyping with an enormous amount of data. In some contexts, complete rebuild of entire plants may not be necessary. With the aim of producing a smaller amount of data per plant, low-cost depth imaging systems can be useful. We propose the use of such a low-cost depth camera, called Time-of-Flight (ToF), to have videos and pictures of the plant in 3D. An application has been developed to display 3D model of a plant and estimate certain characteristics. Counting the number of branches and seedpods of the canola plant have been implemented. Estimating the biomass and crop yield will be deployed in the near future.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the increasing demand of food supply in the world, agricultural scientists are facing a tremendous challenge in that the current production rate does not satisfy the need in the future [1]. To meet such high demand in food production, there is an obligation to increase breeding efficiency. Advances in genetic technologies, such as next generation DNA sequencing, have provided new methods to improve plant breeding techniques in the past decade. With these novel technologies, plant breeders can increase the rate of genetic improvement by molecular breeding [2]. However, the lack of access to phenotyping capabilities limits the ability to analyze the genetics of quantitative traits related to growth, crop yield, and adaptation to stress [3]. In the past few years, there has been increased interest in high throughput phenotyping approaches in the controlled indoor environment [4]. These new approaches linking functional genomics, phenomics, and plant breeding are needed to improve both crop production and crop yield stability and efficient screening of high-yielding or stress-tolerant varieties [5]. The currently used techniques, such as visible imaging, spectroscopy imaging, thermal infrared imaging, fluorescence imaging, etc., provide quantitative morphological measurements.

Many studies have deployed laser systems (LemnaTec Scanalyzer) that scan the plant surfaces to acquire and analyze plant images, or 3D images, for extracting particular phenotypic traits [6-8]. Some larger scale facilities, such as the Australian Plant Phenomics Facility, the European Plant Phenotyping Network, and the USDA-NIFA have also been deployed robotics, precise environmental control, and remote sensing techniques to assess plant growth and performance in growth chambers or greenhouses. Kjaer and Ottosen used a high-resolution 3D laser scanner (PlantEye, Phenospex) to track daily changes in plant growth with high precision in challenging environments [9]. Eitel et al found that, with the greater robustness, accuracy and resolution, the best known and most widely used type of sensor for 3D canopy reconstruction is LiDAR [10]. LiDAR creates accurate and detailed 3D models by structured light projection and laser range scanners. However, the system is expensive and requires longer imaging acquisition time. Therefore, these high-end platforms require far beyond budget that most research laboratories can afford and they may not be suitable to use in different environments. The objectives of our project are to: (1) present a low-cost depth camera system, (2) deploy high-throughput 3D phenotyping suitable for both greenhouses and fields, and (3) develop novel image processing algorithms for detecting and counting number of branches and seedpods.

II. METHODOLOGY

This 3D plant phenotyping has some advantages over the current imaging systems as it uses a low-cost depth camera system to provide a high-throughput 3D phenotyping system with multi-platform capability. A low-cost depth camera (Agros3D-P100, developed by Blutechnix) is used as an imaging acquisition system. With this time-of-flight (ToF) technology camera, phenotypic traits such as plant height, number of branches, number of seedpods, and plant canopy (e.g., volume of plant) can be directly extracted and measured. The ToF depth sensor can capture depth map and 160x120 pixel data at up to 160 frames per second to simultaneously deliver depth information and grayscale image for each pixel. In addition, the data acquired from the depth camera is small
enough for real-time phenotyping process as well as increase the storage capacity. The 3D phenotyping system can be deployed on a greenhouse or on a field platform. With the growth chamber platform, an imaging system can be fixed and the plant is turned around the camera or the camera is moved around a stationary plant. In the field platform, an imaging system can be mounted on a moveable carrying device (e.g., robot or slider) that moving over the field plots. In this work, a 3D plant phenotyping approach, combining of a depth camera and a digital camera, used in a chamber environment is deployed to measure plant height, number of branches, and number of seedpods. Matlab, Agros3D-P100 SDK and Kinect V2 SDK are used to connect the depth camera with a PC and supports the acquiring of phenotypic data.

Fig. 1 describes the steps to be used to count the number of branches. First, the 3D ToF camera provides the depth information. The camera is stationary and the plant is rotated on a turntable. Noise and background are then removed by applying a 3D filter. From the filtered 3D images, 2D images are extracted in the third step. Before applying a thinning algorithm (to acquire skeleton) and a tubeness algorithm (to achieve thicker and smoother tubes) in step 5, the images are converted to grayscale images in step 4. A region of interest (ROI), containing stems and branches, are extracted in step 6 before detecting and counting the number of branches in step 7. Finally, the result of the counting is displayed in step 8.

Fig. 2 illustrates the process to count the number of seedpods. In this process, a digital camera is used to capture color images of the canola plant. The color images are converted to grayscale images. Next, the Frangi 2D Vesselness filter algorithm [11] is applied to detect tube-like structures of stems and branches from the grayscale images. A thinning algorithm is then used to get the skeleton of the plant. Finally, an algorithm for detecting and counting the number of seedpods is developed. The algorithm also refines the result after applying Frangi filter and determines the locations of end points in the skeleton of the plant. From these end-point locations, seedpods can be detected and the number of seedpods can also be estimated.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this work, the Agros3D-P100, Kinect V2 and Sony SLT-A58 cameras were used in the experiments. First, a combination of Agros3D-P100 and Sony SLT-A58 were used to compare with the combination of Kinect V2 and Sony SLT-A58. After applying the processes previously described, the best approach will be proposed. The experimental results are shown as below.
before applying an algorithm to detect the end points in the given skeleton. From these certain end points (the red stars in the image), the seedpods can be detected and the number of seedpods is to be estimated (see Fig. 8).

![Fig. 7. Acquiring a color image (a), converting to grayscale image (b), and applying Frangi Vesslness filter (c).](image)

![Fig. 8. Extracting skeleton (a) detecting end points (b). Based on these end points, calculate the number of seedpods.](image)

The other images of the same plant were used to evaluate the algorithm at different angles. The results were quite similar, however they are dependent on the viewing angle (see Fig. 9). For example, the actually number of seedpods of the plant is 124, the algorithm detects and counts only 117 in the image ‘Color_image_6’ because some seedpods were shaded by the others. On the other hand, in the image ‘Color_image_5’, the number of seedpods was 130 due to background noise. In overall, in the detection of seedpods experiments, the accuracy rate can reach up to about 97% as shown in Table II.

![Fig. 9. Detected end points on different images at different viewing angles](image)
Kinect V2’s images is better than the depth camera, the performance of the algorithm on illustrated in Fig. 10 and Table III. Due to the higher resolution experiment (from step 3 to step 8 in Fig. 1). The results are provides higher resolution compared to the ToF counterpart.

point cloud of the canola plant. Obviously, the Kinext camera V2 requires good illumination environment when captures a information without light or under directly sunlight, the Kinect

TABLE II. RESULTS OF MANUAL AND ALGORITHM COUNTING THE NUMBER OF CANOLA SEEDPODS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image number from Digital camera</th>
<th>Manual count</th>
<th>Algorithm count</th>
<th>Error rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Color image 1</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color image 2</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color image 3</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color image 4</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color image 5</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color image 6</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color image 7</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color image 8</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color image 9</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color image 10</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>124</strong></td>
<td><strong>122</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Results from a combination of Kinect V2 and Sony SLT-A58 for green house environment

In contrast to the Agros3D P100 that can acquire depth information without light or under directly sunlight, the Kinect V2 requires good illumination environment when captures a point cloud of the canola plant. Obviously, the Kinext camera provides higher resolution compared to the ToF counterpart. The next steps were performed as the same as previous experiment (from step 3 to step 8 in Fig. 1). The results are illustrated in Fig. 10 and Table III. Due to the higher resolution than the depth camera, the performance of the algorithm on Kinect V2’s images is better.

Fig. 10. Images from Kinect V2 greyscale (a), tubeness filtered (b), extracting ROI (c)

TABLE III. MANUAL AND ALGORITHM COUNTING THE NUMBER OF CANOLA BRANCHES – FROM KINECT V2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image number from Kinect V2 camera</th>
<th>Manual count</th>
<th>Algorithm count</th>
<th>Error rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kinect image 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinect image 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinect image 3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinect image 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinect image 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinect image 6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinect image 7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinect image 8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinect image 9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinect image 10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td><strong>count</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSION

Our proposed approach deals with some obstacles in 3D plant phenotyping. Algorithms were developed to improve the Frangi 2D Vessel Filter, extract and validate skeleton end points and then estimate the number of branches and seedpods. From both experiment results performed on a single canola plant by the ToF Agros3D P100 and the Kinect V2 cameras, it is seen that the error rates of counting the number of branches (7% and 5% respectively) and seedpods (3%) are acceptable. The Kinect V2 is suitable to implement in the greenhouse because it cannot cope with direct sunlight. The ToF Agros3D P100 camera is more suitable for both in the greenhouse and out to the field by its advantages such as high frame rate and an independent of environment illumination. With a combination of a ToF camera and a digital camera, the imaging system is capable of being scaled up for indoor and outdoor facility high-throughput plant phenotyping on different environment platforms. In the future, the algorithms need to be improved in accuracy when applied to a large number of canola plants in the field. Biomass, crop yield, and other characteristics can be estimated using the images from these cameras.
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