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A B S T R A C T
Asymmetric membranes of semi-interpenetrating polymer networks (semi-IPN) were prepared with commercial poly (ether imide) (ULTEM®) and poly (ethylene glycol) diacrylate (PEGDa) in 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP). The selectivity and permeance of pure and mixed gases using carbon dioxide (CO₂) feed concentrations of 10–40% in nitrogen (N₂) or methane (CH₄) were measured by the constant pressure and variable volume method at an absolute feed pressure of 1.35 MPa and 22 °C. The pure gas selectivity matched the mixed gas selectivity values at different feed concentrations, which indicated absence of plasticization. The fugacity based CO₂/N₂ selectivity of a semi-IPN with 6% PEGDa solids content reached 50 ± 4, which is comparable to the pure gas selectivity of a dense PEGDa film (α = 54) and is significantly higher than the dense film selectivity of PEI (α = 28). The selectivity for CO₂/CH₄ mixtures is 43 ± 10, comparable to the dense film properties of PEI (α = 39) and not the dense film selectivity of PEGDa (α = 20). The PEGDa/PEI semi-IPN membranes displayed synergistic properties, where the selectivity approached the higher value of the two materials used in making the semi-IPNs.

1. Introduction

The industry driven economy is continuously increasing the emission of carbon dioxide (CO₂), which remains a major threat to global ecological stability. Apart from CO₂ capture and sequestration, the increasing demand for natural gas warrants economical methods for natural gas sweetening [1–4]. Industrial flue gases and raw natural gas contain significant amounts of CO₂. It is mandatory to remove the CO₂ from other gas mixtures to either meet the upcoming strict environmental regulations or to meet pipeline specifications [5]. The separation of CO₂ from gas mixtures is usually achieved by absorption, adsorption, and cryogenic distillation techniques [1–4]. The economical advantages along with lower maintenance and space requirements are making membrane technology a popular choice for gas separations [1–4]. Also, hybridization of membrane technology with conventional methods to achieve superior performance is possible [6]. High selectivity and permeance are obviously desirable; however the selection of a membrane material should be such that the mixed gas selectivity is not compromised for permeance under the operating conditions of the process. Several polymer materials have been reported for gaseous separations, however only few polymers are currently used in industry [1–4].

Gas permeation properties for any membrane material are affected by competitive sorption and plasticization [7]. These properties often vary with the structure and thickness of the membrane and the presence of other components in the gas mixtures [8]. Most of the reported literature is on permeation of single gases through thick dense films. These values, though suitable for predicting the polymer material’s behavior, may vary in real time applications. There are relatively few mixed gas experiments reported on asymmetric membranes, which is the membrane configuration ultimately used in industrial applications. Since the selective layer thickness of asymmetric membranes is often less than 1 μm, their transport properties may be significantly different from dense films. Studying the transport behavior of membrane materials in the configuration to be used in an industrial application will help to elucidate the potential of the material.

Glassy polymers like polyimides, polysulfones and cellulose acetates are often preferred in industrial gaseous separations, including natural gas sweetening [1,2]. They offer higher thermal and mechanical stability, better permeance and longevity [1–4]. A polymer with a rigid backbone generally exhibits higher mobility selectivity because it will behave more like a molecular sieve [9]. Moreover, polymers with high glass transition temperatures (Tg) can be used at higher pressure and temperature without physical deformation. These polymers are, however, susceptible to plastic-
cization and thereby reduced selectivity could be observed with gas mixtures [10,11]. Commercially important glassy polymeric membranes should be improved in terms of selectivity to compete with conventional processes, particularly in view of selectivity losses with mixed gases. Poly (ethylene glycol) (PEG) based polymers exhibit good CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity ($\alpha \geq 50$), because of the higher solubility of CO$_2$ in polar PEG [12–16]. Hence PEG is considered an attractive material for separation of CO$_2$ from other gases. Moreover, cross-linked PEGDa dense films do not show a pronounced selectivity loss due to plasticization, since the selectivity is based on solubility rather than on mobility [13].

It would be advantageous to combine these different materials, glassy polymers and PEG to get synergistic properties. These materials have been combined in different ways that include: copolymerizing amino terminated glycols [17], blending and cross-linking [18]. Copolymerization and cross-linking require tailor-made polymers, whereas blending often results in inferior properties because of phase separation. Interpenetrating polymer networks (IPNs) can be prepared from commercial materials. Due to the formation of a network structure where the polymers are intermingled at the molecular level, IPNs can resist phase separation better than simple blending. Also, IPNs are reported to suppress plasticization in glassy polymers along with increasing the productivity of the material [19].

Our lab reported semi-IPN membranes having 15 times higher permeance than the parent polymers without a significant decrease in gas selectivity [20–23]. Recently we have reported the pure gas permeation studies based on semi-IPN membranes of commercially important glassy polymers and poly (ethylene glycol) diacrylate (PEGDa) [24,25]. These IPNs are formed by the cross-linking of PEGDa in a pre-polymerized component (PEI) with no covalent bonding between PEGDa and PEI; hence they fall under the category of sequential IPNs [26]. At the PEGDa concentration used in the current work, 2–8% solids, the gel content could not be measured. The PEGDa and PEI can be separated by extraction, without breaking chemical bonds and hence are classified as sequential semi-IPNs [26]. Low et al. [27] were able to produce dense film semi-IPNs using higher concentrations, 10–50%, of cross-linked azides in 6FDA-NDA. The gel content could only be measured at 30 and 50% azide. Henceforth the IPNs produced in this work are referred to as semi-IPNs for consistency with our previous work. Based on these results, selected formulations were further studied for mixed gas separation properties. This work reports the binary gas separation performance of poly (ether imide) (PEI) and PEGDa based semi-IPN asymmetric membranes.

2. Theory

The pure gas selectivity, $\alpha_{A/B}$, of a dense film is defined by the ratio of the permeabilities ($P$):

$$\alpha_{A/B} = \frac{P_A}{P_B}$$

where $A$ is the gas with the higher permeability. When using an asymmetric membrane, the pure gas permeability is replaced by the permeance:

$$\alpha_{A/B} = \frac{P'_A}{P'_B}$$

and the permeance is defined as the volumetric flux per unit area per unit driving force. For mixed gases, the permeance for gas $A$ is given by

$$P'_A = \frac{J_{A,\text{perm}} \times y_A}{J_{A,\text{feed}} - J_{A,\text{perm}}}$$

where $J_{A,\text{perm}}$ is the volumetric flux in $m^3$ (STP) $m^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, $y_A$ is the mole fraction of gas $A$ in the permeate and $J_{A,\text{feed}}$ is the absolute fugacity in the feed or permeate in Pa. Fugacity values were calculated using REFPROP, version 8.0, software supplied by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), USA.

3. Experimental

3.1. Materials

A complete description of the materials is given in a previous publication [25]. Aromatic PEI Ultem$^\text{TM}$ 1000, anhydrous 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP), PEGDa (mol. wt. 700), benzophenone, anhydrous ethyl alcohol and hexanes are used in the study. Ultra high purity nitrogen, carbon dioxide, oxygen, methane and CO$_2$ free/dry air were purchased from BOC Gases Canada Ltd. and were used as received without further purification.

3.2. Polyimide and PEGDa semi-IPN membrane preparation

The chemical structures of the various compounds and the compositions used in this study are given in Fig. 2 and Table 1 respectively. The membrane making process was previously described in detail [25], briefly, the PEI is pre-dissolved in NMP, to which the PEGDa/initiator are then added. Solutions were mixed by rolling until the onset of turbidity, then cast onto a glass plate using a doctoring blade set to 250 $\mu$m followed by gelation into

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Membrane code</th>
<th>PEGDa (g)</th>
<th>PEI (g)</th>
<th>Initiator (g)</th>
<th>NMP (mL)</th>
<th>%PEGDa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-2%</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-4%</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-6%</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-8%</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
distilled water at room temperature. The water was exchanged for three consecutive days before air drying.

Membranes were coated with a 3 wt.% poly (dimethyl siloxane) (PDMS) solution (Sylgard 184) with a catalyst to base rubber ratio of 1:10 in n-pentane. Four coatings were applied holding the sample at different points to ensure complete coverage allowing the solvent to evaporate between coatings. Intermediate coatings were not cured. Finally, the PDMS coated membranes were cured in an air purging convection oven at 80 °C for one day. The membranes are identified by the weight percentage of PEGDa in PEI and PEGDa excluding the solvent.

3.3. Measurements

The experimental details of Attenuated Total Reflectance-Fourier Transform-Infrared (ATR-FT-IR) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) are described in a previous publication [25]. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) experiments were carried out using a Kratos AXIS Ultra X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer equipped with a hemispherical analyzer, a DLD (Delay Line Detector), charge neutralizer and a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source. Analyses were performed using an accelerating voltage of 14 kV and a current of 10 mA. Survey scans were performed at a pass-energy of 160 eV. Species detected using the survey scan were then analyzed at a pass-energy of 40 eV and quantified.

A cross-flow test cell having a permeation surface area of 9.6 cm² was used [28]. A feed pressure of 1.35 MPa and temperature of 22 °C was used for both single and mixed gas permeation studies. The retentate was set at a flow rate of 6.6 × 10⁻⁶ m³(STP)s⁻¹ for mixed gas permeation experiments and the permeate was discharged to atmosphere. The permeate flow rate was measured by a soap bubble flow meter and the CO₂ concentration of feed and permeate gas mixtures were determined by an infrared based analyzer (Quantek Instruments Oxygen/Carbon dioxide analyzer Model 902P). Mixed gas experiments used a CO₂ feed concentration of 10–20–30 and 40% in nitrogen or methane. All membranes were conditioned in each gas and each concentration of mixed gases over night before taking the measurements. The permeate recovery was <1% for all cases to avoid concentration polarization and changing feed concentrations along the membrane surface.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. FT-IR spectroscopy

The FT-IR spectra of pure PEI and PEGDa/PEI semi-IPN asymmetric membranes are shown in Fig. 3. The absence of acrylic double

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>CHEMICAL STRUCTURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PEI</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Chemical structure of PEI" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PEGDa</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Chemical structure of PEGDa" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NMP</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Chemical structure of NMP" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BENZO PHENONE</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Chemical structure of BENZO PHENONE" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Chemical structures of the compounds used in this study: (1) poly (ether imide), (2) poly (ethylene glycol) diacrylate, (3) 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone and (4) benzophenone.

Fig. 3. ATR-FT-IR spectra of pure PEI and PEGDa/PEI semi-IPN asymmetric membranes. PEI shown at top, followed by increasing content of PEGDa up to 8% at the bottom.
ATR-FT-IR peak intensity and ratios thereof. See text for peak assignments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Peak I (1776 cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Peak II (1720 cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>II/I</th>
<th>Peak III (1100 cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Peak IV (740 cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>III/IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-2%</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-4%</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-6%</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-8%</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. XPS C1s spectra of (a) control PEI, (b)–(e) semi-IPN membranes with the indicated PEGDa concentration and (f) pure PEGDa. The C–O peak at 286.4 eV is highlighted by the arrow, see text for other peak assignments.

bonds of PEGDa (1640, 1409, 1190 and 810 cm⁻¹) [25] confirms the completion of the cross-linking reaction and thus the formation of the semi-IPN. The depth of analysis of ATR-FT-IR ranges from 200 nm at 3000 cm⁻¹ to 600 nm at 1000 cm⁻¹ [29], hence this would represent a significant fraction of the skin layer thickness which determines the permeance and selectivity.

The PEGDa content in the skin layer can be correlated with the peak ratios of absorptions which are unique to PEGDa or PEI. PEI has two absorptions for the imide carbonyl group, peaks I and II at 1776 and 1720 cm⁻¹ respectively, Fig. 3. PEGDa has one carbonyl absorption at 1720 cm⁻¹ but no absorption at 1776 cm⁻¹ [25]. The aliphatic ether >C–O–C< absorption around 1100 cm⁻¹, peak III, is strong for PEGDa but weak for PEI. Peak IV at 740 cm⁻¹ is unique to PEI, and is due to imide ring deformation. Hence a decreasing ratio of the peaks I/II and III/IV is an indication of increasing PEGDa content in the skin layer. Examination of Table 2 shows an increasing PEGDa content, indicated by both peak ratios, up to the PEGDa/PEI-6% formulation. A decrease of the PEGDa content is noted for the PEGDa/PEI-8% formulation. Phase separation at the highest PEGDa content may have started to happen. However, this was not indicated by DSC measurements.

4.2. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy is surface specific; sampling to a depth of 5–10 nm. XPS analysis of the reference samples (the control PEI membrane and a cross-linked dense film of PEGDa) was used to assign the characteristic absorptions of the semi-IPNs. C1s spectra of control PEI, the semi-IPN membranes and pure cross-linked PEGDa are presented in Fig. 4 and the surface elemental concentrations of C, N and O are given in Table 3. The high-resolution C1s spectra are resolved into peaks correspond-

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional group</th>
<th>PEI</th>
<th>PEGDa/PEI-2%</th>
<th>PEGDa/PEI-4%</th>
<th>PEGDa/PEI-6%</th>
<th>PEGDa/PEI-8%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=C, C–C</td>
<td>285.0</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>285.0</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>285.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–N, C–O</td>
<td>286.4</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>286.4</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>286.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=O</td>
<td>288.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>288.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>288.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–H</td>
<td>291.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>291.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>291.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–ATOM %</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O=C</td>
<td>532.1</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>532.1</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>532.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O–C</td>
<td>533.3</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>533.3</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>533.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O–ATOM %</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=N</td>
<td>400.5</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>400.5</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>400.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–ATOM %</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 5. SEMs of asymmetric membranes used in this work, no PDMS coating. Dashed lines indicate nominal skin layer thickness. (a) full cross-section view of representative membrane for PEGDa/PEI-6% and (b)–(f) control PEI and PEGDa/PEI with PEGDa concentration as indicated.

ing to those found in the literature [22,30]. In polyimides, the peak at 285.0 eV corresponds to aromatic and aliphatic carbon and the peak at 286.4 eV corresponds to carbon singly bonded to nitrogen and to oxygen. The peak at 288.7 eV is assigned to the O–C–N groups and the peak at 291.4 eV is assigned to shake up satellite of phenyl groups from π–π*. In the PEGDa dense film, the peak at 285.0 eV is assigned to C–C and the peak at 286.4 eV is assigned to C–O. The peak at 288.7 eV is assigned to C=O.

The increase in PEGDa content in the IPNs is reflected by an increase in the C–O peak at 286.4 eV, denoted by the arrow in Fig. 4(b)–(e). This peak is not resolved in pure PEI, Fig. 4(a), but is the dominant peak in the C1s spectrum of pure PEGDa, Fig. 4(f). This peak appears as a shoulder in PEGDa/PEI-2%, Fig. 4(b), while it appears as a distinct peak for PEGDa/PEI-6%, Fig. 4(d). For PEGDa/PEI-8% this peak diminishes in intensity and appears as a shoulder, Fig. 4(e).

The most obvious change is expected from core-level spectra of elemental nitrogen. PEI contains nitrogen whereas PEGDa does not, hence a decrease in the atomic percentage of nitrogen can be expected to correlate with an increasing PEGDa content. The nitrogen peak for the imide is assigned at 400.5 eV. It can be seen from Table 3 that the nitrogen concentration decreases with the addition of PEGDa, up to 6%, and then shows a slight increase for PEGDa/PEI-8%.

The theoretical atomic concentration of oxygen in PEGDa is higher than in PEI. Hence, the addition of PEGDa should increase the overall atomic concentration of oxygen in the membrane surface. The core-level O1s spectrum is curve-fitted in two peaks attributed to carbonyl oxygen at 532.1 eV and ether oxygen at 533.3 eV. It can be seen from Table 3 that the total oxygen concentration increases with increasing PEGDa content, up to PEGDa/PEI-6%.

ATR-FT-IR and XPS analysis of the membranes indicate that the PEGDa content increases with increasing PEGDa/PEI weight ratio up to 6% and then decreases for 8%. The different depths of analysis for these two techniques suggest that the PEGDa is incorporated through a significant portion of the skin layer thickness. This could be the possible explanation of increasing selectivity for the semi-IPNs up to PEGDa/PEI-6% compared to control PEI. The PEGDa content at the surface appears to better correlate with selectivity for CO2 over N2 rather than the bulk content.

5. Membrane morphology

Based on our previous work [25], only the casting solution compositions that did not show obvious phase separations were selected for the current study. DSCs of all the compositions used in this work showed an inward shift of the Tg. Phase separation would result in two distinct glass transition temperatures corresponding to the separated components [31].

A representative scanning electron micrograph, with the full cross-section view of the PEGDa/PEI-6% semi-IPN membrane, displaying the asymmetric morphology is given in Fig. 5(a). Higher magnification images of the top layer of the control PEI, Fig. 5(b) and the semi-IPN membranes with a PEGDa content from 2 to 8% are given in (c)–(f), respectively. The transition from the dense skin layer to a porous support is highlighted with dashed lines and the approximate skin layer thickness is listed in Table 4. The membranes withstood a continuous upstream pressure of 1.35 MPa for almost 3 months without any disruption, indicating that the support layer imparted the necessary mechanical stability to the membrane.

6. Gas permeation studies

6.1. CO2/N2 transport characteristics

The pure and mixed gas permeance and selectivity for CO2/N2 are listed in Table 4. The average pure gas selectivity for CO2/N2 increased from 23 ± 6 for the control to 48 ± 12 at 6% and then decreased to 31 ± 6 at 8%. This maximum in the pure gas selec-
Table 4
Skin layer thickness, initial pure gas permeance, and estimated CO$_2$ permeability. Pure gas selectivity, $\alpha_{CO_2/N_2}$, and mixed gas selectivity, $\alpha'_{CO_2/CH_4}$, of control PEI and semi-IPN membranes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>$\delta$ (m)</th>
<th>Initial pure gas permeance (GPU)$^a$</th>
<th>Permeability (Barrer)$^b$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{CO_2/N_2}$ $^c$</th>
<th>$\alpha'_{CO_2/CH_4}$ $^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>23 ± 6</td>
<td>31 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-2%</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>28 ± 5</td>
<td>35 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-4%</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>24 ± 2</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-6%</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>48 ± 12</td>
<td>50 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGDa/PEI-8%</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>31 ± 6</td>
<td>45 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Gas permeation unit, 1 GPU = 7.50 × 10$^{-12}$ m$^3$(STP) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$.

$^b$ 1 Barrer = 7.5 × 10$^{-10}$ m$^3$(STP) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$.

$^c$ Pure gas selectivity, average and standard deviations of three measurements, over a 3-month period.

$^d$ Mixed gas selectivity, average and standard deviation of values at 4 CO$_2$ feed concentrations, except 6% PEGDa/PEI which is the average of seven values.

Fig. 6. XPS atomic nitrogen content and ratio of the III/IV FT-IR peaks as a function of the mixed gas selectivity for CO$_2$/N$_2$ for the control PEI and PEGDa/PEI membranes as indicated above the abscissa. Decreasing nitrogen content or III/IV peak ratio indicate increasing PEGDa content in the skin layer.

tivity at PEGDa content of 6% is analogous to our previous report [25]. The average pure gas selectivity for the control PEI membrane (23 ± 6) is lower than the theoretical value (28 ± 4) but is within our experimental error. The average pure gas selectivity of the PEGDa/PEI-6% membrane is 48 ± 12, which is comparable to the dense film selectivity of PEGDa.

The CO$_2$/N$_2$ mixed gas selectivity increased from 31 ± 6 for the control membrane to a maximum value of 50 ± 4 with the PEGDa/PEI-6% membrane. There is a slight, but not significant decrease, to 45 ± 3 with the PEGDa/PEI-8% membrane. The $\alpha'_{CO_2/CH_4}$ is consistent with the PEGDa content in the skin layer rather than the bulk composition, as indicated by the decreasing XPS nitrogen content and decreasing ATR-FT-IR peak ratio (III/IV) in Fig. 6. Note that decreasing values of the XPS and ATR-FT-IR parameters indicate an increasing PEGDa content in skin layer and hence the better CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity. In summary, the control, PEGDa/PEI-2% and PEGDa/PEI-4% membranes exhibit a CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity that is close to or slightly better than the PEI dense film selectivity for this gas pair. A dramatic change to the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of PEGDa dense films is achieved with the PEGDa/PEI-6% and PEGDa/PEI-8% membranes.

Plasticization with polyimides has been reported at pressures between 0.5 and 1.0 MPa, with dense films of 6FDA/mPD copolymers [18], Matrimid [11] and asymmetric hollow fibers of P84 [32]. The PEI/PEGDa semi-IPNs did not exhibit any plasticization at the pressure used in this work (1.35 MPa total pressure and 0.54 MPa CO$_2$ partial pressure). This behavior was comparable to that reported for cross-linked dense films of PEGDa [15].

Normalizing the CO$_2$ permeance by the estimated skin layer thickness yields a relatively constant permeability, ranging from 1.0 to 1.5 Barrer. Hence the permeance of the PEI-PEGDa membranes appears to be determined by the skin layer thickness and PEI properties, while the selectivity is dominated by the PEGDa content in the skin layer.

6.2. CO$_2$/CH$_4$ transport characteristics

The pure gas permeance and fugacity based selectivity for CO$_2$/CH$_4$ are summarized in Table 5. The mixed gas selectivity of the control PEI membrane is 36 ± 4, matching the literature dense
film pure gas selectivity of 39. The $\alpha_{\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4}$ for the PEGDa/PEI-2% is similar, while that of the PEGDa/PEI-4% is somewhat low at 25 ± 2. The mixed gas selectivity with 6% and 8% PEGDa is 43 ± 10 and 54 ± 9 respectively. In summary, all the formulations, with the exception of the PEGDa/PEI-4% membrane, match or slightly surpass the PEI dense film selectivity and significantly surpassing the dense film selectivity for PEGDa (20). There was no shortfall of the mixed gas selectivity in comparison to the pure gas values within experimental error, which indicated no plasticization.

6.3. Comparison of CO$_2$/N$_2$ vs CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity

The highest CO$_2$/N$_2$ mixed gas selectivity of the semi-IPNs was 50 ± 4 with a PEGDa content of 6%, approaching the selectivity of pure PEGDa cross-linked dense films. This was significantly higher than the control PEI membrane with a selectivity of 30 ± 6, matching the dense film pure gas selectivity of 28. The mixed gas selectivity with CO$_2$/CH$_4$ for the pure PEI control membrane is 36 ± 4, matching the dense film pure gas selectivity of 39. The membranes with 6% and 8% PEGDa content also matched or slightly surpassed the selectivity of pure PEI and clearly exceeded the PEGDa selectivity of 20 for this gas pair.

7. Conclusions

Asymmetric membranes with a typical skin layer supported over finger-like voids were successfully formed from semi-IPNs of commercial PEI (Ultetm 1000) and PEGDa. The formation of semi-IPNs was confirmed by the absence of acrylic absorptions in ATR-FT-IR spectra. Increase in PEGDa content in the selective layer of semi-IPNs was confirmed by the absence of acrylic absorptions in ATR-FT-IR spectra. Increase in PEGDa content in the selective layer of semi-IPNs was confirmed by the absence of acrylic absorptions in ATR-FT-IR spectra. Increase in PEGDa content in the selective layer of semi-IPNs was confirmed by the absence of acrylic absorptions in ATR-FT-IR spectra.
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