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ABSTRACT
A three-dimensional turbulent flow through a multistage centrifugal pump is numerically simulated using a commercial CFD software package. The simulation and analysis include flow fields in rotating impeller and stationary diffuser and is completed in a multiple reference frame. The standard k-ε turbulence model is applied. The analysis of the simulation reveals that the reverse flows exist in the zone near the impeller exit and diffuser entrance, resulting in flow field asymmetric and unsteady. There is a considerable interference on velocity field at impeller exit due to the interaction between impeller blades and diffuser vanes. The hydraulic performance is connected and evaluated with the 3-D computational flow field. The current computation is verified by comparing predicted and measured head.

1. INTRODUCTION

Multistage centrifugal pumps, as high-pressure boosters and big power consumers, are widely applied in various engineering fields all over the world (Figure 1 shows a typical 5-stage feeding pump as analysis object of this research work). It is potential to generate a significant economic benefit with performance improvement by means of design and manufacture for multistage pumps. Both impellers and diffusers inside a pump are the parts playing a key role on its performance, so they are usually the objects for studying and revising. Due to the complexity of the mechanical structure and the coexistence of rotating part and stationary part, nature of flow through a whole pump stage passages and the relation between internal flow field and pump performance are still uncertain. The gap between impeller and diffuser is so small in a multistage pump that they strongly affect flows of each other. On the other hand, impeller and diffuser are supposed to be in two different reference frames; one is moving and the other stationary. For these reasons, most previous theoretical works [1-4] are limited to single-stage volute pump or individual parts with ideal inflow and outflow boundary conditions. Obviously, such kind of the independent assumption may result in predictions deviated to the fact.

With the recent rapid development of computer technology, the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) gradually becomes a powerful tool for flow analysis and aided design in fluid machine. In this paper, the commercial software package, FLUENT was used to model and simulate numerically the three-dimensional turbulent flow through a whole stage of a pump including flow fields both in rotating impeller and in stationary diffuser, investigates their interaction and evaluates the pump performance.

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYSIS METHOD

2.1 Computational Domain

Figure 2 presents the view of one complete stage taken out the five-stage pump as shown in figure 1 and shows the flow route. Fluid starts from inlet of impeller (position 1), in turn passes by outlet of impeller (position 2), front vane inlet of diffuser (position 3), front vane outlet of diffuser (position 4), back vane inlet of diffuser (position 5), finally leaves the back vane outlet of diffuser (position 6) and enters next stage of the pump. Therefore, we can isolate an element from the multistage pump and form a computational domain that starts at position 1 and ends at position 6.
2.2 Basic Equations

To describe the internal turbulent flow \((Re \geq 2300)\), Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes equation is applied:

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial t} + U_k \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_k} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \mu \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} \right) + \frac{\partial R_{ij}}{\partial x_j}
\]

where, \(R_{ij} = -\rho u_i u_j'\) are the Reynolds Stresses,

\[
R_{ij} = -\rho u_i u_j' = 2\mu, S_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} \mu \frac{\partial U_k}{\partial x_k} \delta_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} \rho k \delta_{ij}
\]

and

\[
S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} \right)
\]

Many different turbulence models are available and used to close the equations (1)-(3). Turbulent viscosity \(\mu_t\) is calculated differently depending upon the turbulence model. In current case, we use most popular k-\(\varepsilon\) two-equation models, in which \(\mu_t\) is correlated with turbulent kinetic energy \(k\) and the dissipation rate \(\varepsilon\). Transport equations for \(k\) and \(\varepsilon\) are, respectively:

\[
\rho \frac{Dk}{Dt} = \mu_t \left( \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_i} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \mu_t \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_i} \right) - \rho \varepsilon g + G_b - Y_M
\]

\[
\rho \frac{D\varepsilon}{Dt} = C_{1\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \right) \left[ \mu_t \left( \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_j} \right) + C_{2\varepsilon} G_b \right] - C_{3\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k}
\]

Turbulent viscosity \(\mu_t\) is written in:

\[
\mu_t = \rho C_{\mu} k \frac{k}{\varepsilon}
\]

\(\sigma_k, \sigma_{\varepsilon}, C_{1\varepsilon}, C_{2\varepsilon}, C_{3\varepsilon}, C_{\mu}\) are empirically defined constants.
2.3 Generation of Zone, Mesh and Reference Frame

The pre-processor *Gambit* in *FLUENT* is performed to form the computational geometry zone and grids. Figure 3 shows the 3-D geometry creations of impeller (7 blades) and diffuser (8 vanes).

![Figure 3 Geometry creations of impeller and diffuser.](image)

For current complex pump geometries, tetrahedron mesh is used for grid generation. Figure 4 shows the appearance of tetrahedron grid generation of a stage combining impeller with diffuser. To the flows involving moving parts, multiple reference frames are provided in *FLUENT*. Here a moving reference frame (relative frame) is defined for impeller zone and a stationary reference frame (absolute frame) for the other zones.

![Figure 4 Tetrahedron grid generations in a stage of pump](image)

2.4 Setting of Boundary Conditions

The boundary condition at pump inlet (position 1 in figure 2) is prescribed by mass flow rate, which defines the velocity. Turbulent flow condition at pump entrance is given with intensity (5%) and hydraulic diameter. These two parameters are used to quantify turbulent kinetic energy, \( k \) and the dissipation rate, \( \varepsilon \). Outflow boundary is set both at exit of domain (position 6) and the gap between impeller exit (position 2) and diffuser entrance (position 3), in which flow leakage takes place. This indicates that there are multiple exits in the domain. Flow rates at different exits are allocated according to leakage quantity. Wall boundaries are set on the surfaces adjacent to solid regions with no slip condition and roughness height of 0.40 mm. Standard wall functions are applied for near-wall treatment.

2.5 Operating Parameters and Material Properties used in Calculation

Some flow operating parameters and fluid material properties used in current calculation are listed in Table 1, where the flow rate is the design point of the pump.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass flow rate ( Q ) (kg/s)</th>
<th>Impeller rotation speed ( N ) (rpm)</th>
<th>Specific speed ( N_s )</th>
<th>Density ( \rho ) (kg/m(^3))</th>
<th>Dynamic viscosity ( \mu ) (kg/m-s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 1 Parameters of flow operating and material properties](image)
3. Numerical Results and Discussion

3.1 Velocity Fields in Pump

Some representative computational results (steady state solutions) are shown in figures 5-9. Most information in these figures comes from the central positions in computational zones of impeller and diffuser. Figure 5 shows the whole 3-D view of path lines of fluid in the pump stage, which shows how the impeller imparts velocity to the fluid and the diffuser reduces the fluid velocity, thus increasing the pressure in the flow.

Figures 5 Path lines of fluid in pump stage. (a) front side; (b) back side

Figures 6 displays negative radial-velocity distribution inside the impeller and front-side of diffuser. This figure indicates the locations (in the vaneless radial gap between the impeller and the diffuser) where the reverse flow takes place. Even running at the designed operating point, the reverse flows exist in the pump, resulting in velocity field asymmetry and instability with the iterations. This unsteady flow extend both upstream and downstream of the gap.

Figures 6 Contours of radial-direction velocity inside the impeller

Figures 8 gives relative flow velocity (i.e., related to the rotating reference frame as defined in figure 7) inside the impeller. Averagely, relative speed on pressure sides of blades is lower than that on suction sides, forming a considerable flow structure of jet/wake. Due to blockade of diffuser vane tip, some higher speed (yellow vectors in figure 7) comes out between impeller exit and diffuser vane tip. In this way, the location of such flow with extra speed changes with the rotation of the impeller, resulting in the variation of flow field in pump and instability of pump running.

Figures 7 Definition of fluid relative velocity.
Figures 8  Vectors of relative flow velocity inside the impeller

Figures 9 gives absolute velocity vectors inside the impeller and both sides of the diffuser. This figure displays that absolute velocity magnitude ranges within 30 m/s and the maximum fluid velocity in the flow is located around the tip of the impeller blades. The velocity direction of impeller is almost identical to that of diffuser vane. There is a considerable interference on velocity field at impeller exit due to the interaction between impeller blades and diffuser vanes. This is primarily due to the proximity of the vanes to the impeller blades and blade-wake interaction. In the back of the diffuser vane the velocity of the flow decreases while increasing the pressure (see figure 9-b).

3.2 Pressure Distribution in Pump

Figures 10 gives static pressure distribution of stage on front side and backside. Due to work of impeller, static pressure increases with the flow route in the impeller (see figure 10-a). In diffuser, static pressure keeps up while kinetic energy turns into potential energy and reaches maximum value at the end of front vane (see figure 10-a). Afterwards, pressure value decreases in some degree while flow turns its axial direction into radial one (see figure 10-b).
3.3 Pump Head

To examine the validation of computation, the stage head of the pump can approximately be calculated using total pressure difference between outlet position 6 and inlet position 1,

\[
H = \frac{1}{A_6} \int_{A_6} \left( \frac{p}{\rho g} + \frac{U^2}{2g} \right) dA - \frac{1}{A_1} \int_{A_1} \left( \frac{p}{\rho g} + \frac{U^2}{2g} \right) dA \tag{7}
\]

From equations (7), we get the predicted head value of \( H = 38.3 \text{ m} \), which is close to the measured value \( H = 40 \text{ m} \) from the manufacturer. This implies the current turbulent simulation for a multistage pump is practical. On the other hand, equation (7) also enables us to connect 3-D flow field with pump performance.

4. Conclusion

A 3-D turbulent flow through an entire stage of a multistage centrifugal pump is numerically simulated by using the commercial software package, FLUENT, including flows in rotating impeller and stationary diffuser. It is found that the reverse flows exist near the impeller exit, resulting in flow field asymmetric and unstable. There is a considerable interference on velocity field at impeller exit due to the interaction between impeller blades and diffuser vanes. The current computation is verified by comparing predicted head with measured value.
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