NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

In-situ XRD study of the succinonitrile-lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) phase diagram

Whitfield, P.S.; Abouimrane, A.; Davidson, I.J.

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. /
La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version
acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

For the publisher's version, please access the DOI link below. / Pour consulter la version de l'éditeur, utilisez le lien
DOI ci-dessous.

Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2010.04.004>

Solid State Ionics, 181, 15-16, pp. 740-744, 2010-06-01

NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=87c8dc40-5426-486f-8be4-864a308fa36a>

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=87c8dc40-5426-486f-8be4-864a308fa36a>

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright>

READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits>

LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

Questions? Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at

PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the
first page of the publication for their contact information.

Vous avez des questions? Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la
première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez
pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.

In-situ XRD study of the succinonitrile–lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) phase diagram

P.S. Whitfield^{*}, A. Abouimrane¹, I.J. Davidson

Institute for Chemical Process and Environmental Technology, National Research Council, 1200 Montreal Road, Ottawa, ON Canada K1A 0R6

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 October 2009

Received in revised form 29 March 2010

Accepted 2 April 2010

Keywords:

Lithium batteries

Electrolyte

X-ray diffraction

Phase diagram

ABSTRACT

The salt lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) when dissolved in plastic crystal succinonitrile has been demonstrated to have particularly good conductivity even at room temperature. *In-situ* X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements have previously proved invaluable in interpreting the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) behavior, but the practical lower temperature limit of approximately -45°C was higher than the -100°C starting temperature of the DSC measurements, and the important crystalline to plastic crystal transition of succinonitrile. An improved cryo-flow system capable of capillary sample temperatures down to -192°C without icing can now easily match the DSC conditions. The previously puzzling DSC behavior of the succinonitrile–LiTFSI phase diagram at low temperatures has now been explained, with a surprising formation of a TFSI-rich adduct on heating from -100°C even at concentrations as low as 2 mol%. Crown Copyright © 2010 Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Previous studies [1–3] have shown that plastic crystal succinonitrile can form the basis of a solid electrolyte with high conductivity (10^{-3} S cm^{-1}) at room temperature and desirable mechanical properties when coupled with a suitable lithium salt such as LiTFSI. Previous XRD studies have shown that other salts such as LiClO_4 and LiPF_6 perform poorly in plastic crystal succinonitrile due to the formation of very stable crystalline $\text{LiX}(\text{succinonitrile})_2$ adducts at room temperature [3], even at very low salt concentrations.

The behavior with the organic TFSI anion is very different from the inorganic lithium salts. Substantial fractions of LiTFSI may be dissolved in succinonitrile with no precipitation evident. The lack of adduct formation is supported by the high ionic conductivities observed up to 15 mol% additions [3]. Very large additions of LiTFSI above 20 mol% do lead to the formation of a crystalline phase [3]. The addition of approximately 17 mol% LiTFSI has been observed to lead to unusual behavior in the thermal analysis data, pointing to the existence of a ‘crystallinity gap’ between certain LiTFSI concentrations analogous to that observed in the LiTFSI(PEO) system [4]. This different phase behavior is probably due in part to steric effects with the large, elongated TFSI[−] anion versus the more compact and isotropic inorganic $\text{ClO}_4^−$, $\text{PF}_6^−$, $\text{BF}_4^−$, etc.

A systematic, XRD study of the LiTFSI–succinonitrile phase diagram between -100 and $+80^{\circ}\text{C}$ has been undertaken in an attempt to better understand the properties of these potential electrolyte materials.

2. Experimental

The succinonitrile–LiTFSI samples were produced in an argon-filled glovebox by dissolving the LiTFSI (Aldrich, 99.995%) in molten succinonitrile (Aldrich, 99%) and mixing before injecting the molten mixture into a 1 mm diameter borosilicate capillary or placing into a DSC pan. The capillaries were sealed with wax prior to removal from the glovebox to prevent contact with moisture. DSC measurements were performed using a TA 2920 instrument. Samples were cooled down to -100°C in approximately 10 min before heating to 85°C at a rate of $10^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$. The XRD measurements were carried out using a Bruker D8 diffractometer (Bruker-AXS Inc, WI, USA) using a primary focusing mirror with $\text{CuK}\alpha$ radiation and a Vantec PSD detector. The sample temperature was controlled using a custom built liquid nitrogen cryo-flow system (Cryoindustries of America Inc, NH, USA) that creates a laminar flow of nitrogen gas along the length of the capillary to avoid turbulence and icing (see Fig. 1). The goniometer head was protected from icing by an electrically heated shield (Crystal Positioning Systems, PA, USA). Fig. 2 shows a schematic outline of the control setup of the cryo-flow system. A Lakeshore 331S cryocontroller was used to control the temperature of the gas stream with a Pt100 sensor in the tip. Both the cryocontroller and DC power supply were connected to the PC controlling the D8 diffractometer and could be programmed from the diffractometer control software. The reliability of the sample temperature was checked using the low-temperature phase transitions of ammonium nitrate [5] and literature

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: pamela.whitfield@nrc.gc.ca (P.S. Whitfield).

¹ Present address: Electrochemical Technology Department, Chemical Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Bldg. 205, Argonne, IL 60439, USA.

Fig. 1. Orientation of the liquid nitrogen cryo-flow system with respect to the capillary and the goniometer heat shield. The sample in this case is SRM640c silicon.

values for the lattice parameter of aluminium metal at different temperatures [6–8]. Datasets were taken between $-100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $+80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ every $5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Diffraction data were obtained with a 10° PSD window between 5 and $80^{\circ} 2\theta$ with a 0.0214° step and a 0.5 s count time. An air-scatter sink was used to reduce air scatter at low 2θ angles. The cryo-flow nozzle was positioned approximately 4 mm away from the centre of the beam. The temperature profile along the flow direction is constant for approximately 10 mm away from the nozzle tip. The effective width of the Vantec detector is 16 mm , so a 4 mm Debye slit was used to sample the constant temperature portion of the sample whilst avoiding scattering from the cryo-nozzle.

The crystal structure of low-temperature crystalline succinonitrile was only described recently and the LiTFSI(succinonitrile) adduct is still unknown. The low-temperature crystal structure of succinonitrile was solved by the authors using laboratory data [9] and another group using synchrotron data [10]. The space group was described in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/a$, with unit cell parameters at $-100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ of $a = 5.8721(6)\text{ \AA}$, $b = 8.5878(7)\text{ \AA}$, $c = 9.1132(11)\text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 100.428(4)^{\circ}$ and $Z = 4$. The unit cell of the LiTFSI(succinonitrile) adduct at $-100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and room temperature was indexed using the singular value decomposition method [11] incorporated in TOPAS 4 [12] after single line fitting. The resulting unit cells were reduced using LePage for Windows [13].

3. Results and discussion

The XRD behavior of pure succinonitrile between -100 and $+80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ can be seen in Fig. 3(a). The crystalline to plastic crystal transition occurs at $-45\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and it melted at $55\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, exactly as it should behave from

numerous thermal analyses [14] and spectroscopy studies [15]. The additional plots (b)–(e) show the XRD behavior of 2, 10, 25, and 33 mol% LiTFSI in succinonitrile as well as pure LiTFSI. The XRD pattern of the 15 mol% sample remained amorphous across the temperature range. The data show a significant change in low-temperature crystalline behavior between 10 and 25 mol% once the crystallinity gap has been passed. The similarity with the low-temperature succinonitrile data disappears with the higher LiTFSI contents; more complex patterns emerge dominated by the LiTFSI(succinonitrile) adduct. Although the crystal structure of the LiTFSI(succinonitrile) adduct has not yet been solved, the variable temperature datasets do allow an attempt at indexing what is a complex pattern. In the absence of a phase transition, the different thermal expansion in different lattice directions in low symmetry structures can be used to confirm a tentative indexing. The unit cell of LiTFSI (succinonitrile) at room temperature ($25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) was found to be triclinic in space group $P-1$, with $a = 12.130(1)\text{ \AA}$, $b = 12.438(1)\text{ \AA}$, $c = 13.4045(8)\text{ \AA}$, $\alpha = 101.544^{\circ}$, $\beta = 105.232(4)^{\circ}$ and $\gamma = 115.829(4)^{\circ}$.

The sample with 25 mol% was very difficult to crystallize. This behavior was expected with a ‘crystallization gap’ and was demonstrated in the previous XRD study down to $-40\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ together with the DSC of the 15 mol% sample shown in Fig. 4. The Brigman method of crystallization with a temperature gradient previously used with pure succinonitrile [16] was used to crystallize the 25 mol% sample by a series of slow cool/rapid heat cycles. Attempts to make a 20 mol% LiTFSI sample crystallize were unsuccessful over a period of 1 day. The XRD and DSC results may be combined to produce a composition-temperature phase diagram for material heated from $-100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ as shown in Fig. 5. This figure also draws on information from a previous publication [3] to make a more complete picture. It is evident that the crystallinity gap separates two areas with very different phase behavior. Below the crystallinity gap the phase behavior is dominated by succinonitrile in its crystalline and plastic crystal forms. The crystalline LiTFSI(succinonitrile) adduct only has a limited temperature stability range before melting and incorporation into the plastic crystal phase. Above the crystallinity gap the crystalline LiTFSI (succinonitrile) adduct dominates and is the final phase to melt. In these samples the succinonitrile melts below $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, so is actually molten at room temperature.

Although the LiTFSI is soluble in plastic crystal succinonitrile, it is not expected to be soluble in crystalline succinonitrile. Consequently, cooling the samples through the plastic crystal to crystalline transition phase separation of the TFSI salt and the succinonitrile is expected to occur. The LiTFSI salt is not visible in the XRD patterns below the phase transition, but the LiTFSI is quite diluted, likely to be in very small domains and is not a very strong X-ray scatterer. The formation of crystalline LiTFSI (succinonitrile) adduct on heating samples with low salt concentrations through the crystalline to plastic crystal transition is very surprising. The 50:50 composition of the adduct would suggest that regions of high concentration of LiTFSI should be required prior to crystallization. Pure LiTFSI was not visible in the diffraction patterns after formation of crystalline succinonitrile, therefore it must have been highly dispersed in domains of insufficient size to be visible by diffraction. To form LiTFSI (succinonitrile) diffracting domains of sufficient size to be easily visible at 2 mol% LiTFSI concentration, suggests that the LiTFSI was concentrated somehow prior to LiTFSI(succinonitrile) crystallization. The mechanism for concentrating the LiTFSI is unknown, but one possibility is the significant density difference between LiTFSI (2.25 g/cm^3) and succinonitrile (0.99 g/cm^3). Transport of the LiTFSI through the rigid matrix of crystalline succinonitrile is very unlikely. This means that any density-based separation must occur during the reformation of the plastic crystal at $-55\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. By this hypothesis the LiTFSI must redissolve in the succinonitrile and then be sufficiently mobile for agglomeration of the LiTFSI within the few minutes whilst the capillary is stationary between datasets. If this is the case then the crystalline adduct should only form if crystalline succinonitrile is produced on cooling. If the sample is only cooled to $-40\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ the adduct should not form and the previously puzzling

Fig. 2. Schematic diagram showing the setup of the cryo-flow system and control system.

Fig. 3. 2D film plots showing the X-ray diffraction data between 5 and 40° 2 θ from (a) pure succinonitrile, (b) 2 mol% LiTFSI, (c) 10 mol% LiTFSI, (d) 25 mol% LiTFSI, and (e) 33 mol% LiTFSI. The darker areas indicate higher intensities.

Fig. 4. DSC plots for LiTFSI/succinonitrile compositions ranging from 0 to 50 mol% succinonitrile. The sample with 15 mol% LiTFSI exhibited no thermal events demonstrating the presence of the crystallinity gap. The endotherm assigned to the redissolution of the crystalline adduct in the plastic crystal succinonitrile at approximately 0 °C was absent in both the pure succinonitrile and pure LiTFSI(succinonitrile).

Fig. 5. Composition/temperature phase diagram for the succinonitrile:LiTFSI system heated from -100 °C constructed from the XRD data.

Fig. 6. DSC plots for 5 mol% LiTFSI in succinonitrile starting from -30°C to -60°C . The endothermic feature at approximately 0°C was absent when the scan was started at -30°C .

DSC features seen around 0°C do not occur. The hypothesis can be summarized in two reaction schemes. Where the succinonitrile is allowed to crystallize by cooling below -45°C the reaction scheme in Eq. (1) can be expected, where the plastic crystal electrolyte may be regenerated by heating above 0°C . When the succinonitrile is kept above its crystallization temperature the plastic crystal electrolyte remains unchanged with varying temperature as described in Eq. (2).

Table 1

Experimental regime for the extended experiment with 5 mol% LiTFSI in succinonitrile. Data were taken in 5°C steps for both cooling and heating.

Step number	Temperature range
1	RT $\rightarrow$ -35°C
2	$-35^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow 25^{\circ}\text{C}$
3	$25^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow -100^{\circ}\text{C}$
4	$-100^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow 25^{\circ}\text{C}$
5	$25^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow -40^{\circ}\text{C}$
6	$-40^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow 25^{\circ}\text{C}$

This hypothesis was tested both by XRD and DSC analysis. Fig. 6 shows DSC data for 5 mol% LiTFSI when heated from -60°C to -30°C . It is very clear that the endotherm on heating at just over 0°C does not occur when the sample has been cooled to only -30°C , but is significant when cooled to -60°C . The XRD required a more complex cooling/heating experiment. The experimental regime is described in Table 1.

The data obtained from the XRD experiments are shown in Fig. 7. The diffraction data clearly show that the electrolyte only crystallized when cooled below the succinonitrile plastic crystal to crystalline phase transition as shown in Fig. 7(d). Once the plastic crystal electrolyte reformed on heating above 0°C the plastic crystal could be cooled and reheated reversibly without any deleterious effects from the previous crystallization as shown in Fig. 7(e) and (f). Consequently, as long as the temperature remains above the transition temperature the plastic crystal electrolyte will function normally. In addition, the data show that the phase behavior of LiTFSI in

Fig. 7. Diffraction data for the cooling and heating cycles as outlined in Table 1 for (a) 25 to -35°C , (b) -35 to 25°C , (c) 25 to -100°C , (d) -100 to 25°C , (e) 25 to -40°C and (f) -40 to 25°C .

succinonitrile with temperature exhibits significant hysteresis, i.e. the behavior on cooling can be different from heating over the same range. Consequently the phase diagram shown in Fig. 5 is only valid where samples are heated from below the succinonitrile crystallization temperature.

4. Conclusions

The addition of *in-situ* X-ray powder diffraction to thermal analysis has been shown to be a powerful tool in understanding the variable temperature phase behavior in succinonitrile-based electrolyte systems. The results show that if the LiTFSI:succinonitrile electrolytes are cooled below $-45\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ they must be 'regenerated' by heating above $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to redissolve the resulting crystalline 1:1 LiTFSI(succinonitrile) salt. The formation of such a concentrated salt even in the 2 mol% LiTFSI electrolyte was very surprising but successfully explained the curious thermal analysis results. This effect is unlikely to majorly impact any future application of the LiTFSI/succinonitrile electrolyte system. The very low temperatures required to crystallize the plastic crystal do not tend to occur routinely where large populations exist.

References

- [1] S. Long, D.R. MacFarlane, M. Forsyth, Solid State Ionics 161 (2003) 105.
- [2] A. Abouimrane, Y. Abu-Lebdeh, P.-J. Alarco, M. Armand, J. Electrochem. Soc. 151 (2004) A1028.
- [3] A. Abouimrane, P.S. Whitfield, S. Niketic, I.J. Davidson, J. Power Sources 174 (2007) 883.
- [4] A. Vallée, S. Besner, J. Prud'homme, Electrochim. Acta 37 (1992) 1579.
- [5] C.S. Choi, H.J. Prask, E. Prince, J. Appl. Cryst. 13 (1980) 403.
- [6] B.F. Figgins, G.O. Jones, D.P. Riley, Philosophical Magazine 1 (1956) 747.
- [7] A. Smakula, J. Kalnajs, Phys. Rev. 99 (1955) 1737.
- [8] M.E. Straumanis, C.L. Woodward, Acta Cryst. A27 (1971) 549.
- [9] P.S. Whitfield, Y. Le Page, A. Abouimrane, I.J. Davidson, Powder Diffr. 23 (2008) 292.
- [10] S. Hore, R. Dinnebier, W. Wen, J. Hanson, J. Maier, Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie 635 (2009) 88.
- [11] A.A. Coelho, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 36 (2003) 86.
- [12] Bruker-AXS, DIFFRACPlus TOPAS: TOPAS 4 User Manual, Bruker-AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2008 User Manual.
- [13] A.L. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 21 (1988) 578.
- [14] P.-J. Alarco, Y. Abu-Lebdeh, A. Abouimrane, M. Armand, Nature Materials 3 (2004) 476.
- [15] G.J. Janz, W.E. Fitzgerald, Journal of Chemical Physics 23 (1955) 1973.
- [16] O.P. Fedorov, A.P. Shpak, E.L. Zhivolub, O.V. Shuleshova, Crystallography Reports 50 (2005) 1027.