NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

Effectiveness of wall insulation

Schuyler, G. D.; Solvason, K. R.

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. /
La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=11d4581d-36e7-4936-91e3-30170c96c58e>
<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=11d4581d-36e7-4936-91e3-30170c96c58e>

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright>

READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits>

LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

Questions? Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at

PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

Vous avez des questions? Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.

Ser
TH1
N21d

o. 1108
c. 2

BLDG

National Research
Council Canada

9586
Conseil national
de recherches Canada

EFFECTIVENESS OF WALL INSULATION

by G.D. Schuyler and K.R. Solvason

ANALYZED

Reprinted from
Thermal Insulation, Materials, and Systems for
Energy Conservation in the '80s
ASTM, STP 789, 1983
p. 542 - 550

DBR Paper No. 1108
Division of Building Research

Price \$1.00

OTTAWA

NRCC 22429

Canada

3884139

CISTI / ICIST

3 1809 00210 2538

RÉSUMÉ

On sait
teurs q
la rési
aussi q
l'étanc
par co
phéno
la di
probl
envel
probl

conduc-
inuent
a salt
contre
solant
ue le
eurs,
n des
s des
les

G. D. Schuyler¹ and K. R. Solvason¹

Effectiveness of Wall Insulation

REFERENCE: Schuyler, G. D. and Solvason, K. R., "Effectiveness of Wall Insulation," *Thermal Insulation, Materials, and Systems for Energy Conservation in the '80s*, ASTM STP 789, F. A. Govan, D. M. Greason, and J. D. McAllister, Eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, 1983, pp. 542-550.

ABSTRACT: It has long been known that highly conductive protrusions through insulation (thermal bridges) are detrimental to the overall resistance of a wall system. Equally well known is the fact that fibrous insulation must be placed against an impervious membrane to avoid the detrimental effect of air circulation through the insulation by convection. Although thermal bridging has received much design attention, it has recently come to light that actual placement of the insulation and design for its proper placement may be the most serious problem. This paper describes tests on typical commercial building systems, illustrating the problems and proposed solutions.

KEY WORDS: insulation, walls, convection, thermal performance, heat loss

Recent measures to improve energy conservation include the requirement that all buildings have higher minimum thermal resistance values. In meeting more stringent code or consumer requirements manufacturers are trying to produce more accurate predictions of the resistance values of building systems in order to reduce overdesign, which can put one product at a cost disadvantage with another that just meets the design requirements. Similarly, code authorities and other consumer protection agencies need accurate estimates of wall resistances to ensure that the resistance advertised by the manufacturer is actually achieved. The purchaser naturally wishes to obtain the advertised resistance at a reasonable price. It is clear that accuracy is important in estimating the thermal resistance of a wall system.

Sources of Error

The simplest method of estimating the resistance of a frame wall is to total the nominal resistances within the wall (framing members are ignored), an approach that was adequate when resistances and fuel costs were low. It has

¹Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

two basic shortcomings, however. The first and most obvious error is caused by protrusion through the insulation layer of structural members (this is usually called thermal bridging). The effect of thermal bridging must be taken into account to obtain an accurate estimate of thermal resistance. The second error, a little less obvious, is in the resistance value used for individual components, most notably the insulation layer, in which effectiveness can vary with installation procedure. The most significant effect of improper installation is the possibility that there will be heat exchange around individual insulating units from convective air flow.

Thermal Bridging

The simplest and most easily applied method of taking thermal bridging into account is to add the conductance of parallel heat flow paths through the wall to produce the over-all conductance. The method will be in error, however, if there is significant lateral heat flow in any layer of the wall.

A great deal of effort has been spent on finite difference and finite element programs as a more accurate prediction technique. These programs work well for purely conductive systems, but their accuracy is limited by the accuracy with which resistances such as surface and contact resistances are known.

As it may be assumed that thermal bridging can be taken into account with an adequate level of accuracy, either by testing or by the use of sophisticated calculation techniques, this problem was not pursued in this study.

Convection

Heat is transmitted from one surface to another by conduction, convection, and radiation. When two surfaces are separated by an air space of 12 mm or more the thermal conductance of the gas in the air space becomes insignificant; thus convection and radiation are the major heat transport mechanisms. To reduce the rate of heat transfer, an insulation material can be inserted in the space which confines the air sufficiently to eliminate convection, while at the same time interrupting the radiation transfer. With proper design, the thermal conductance of an air-filled insulation material can come close to the pure thermal conductance of the air.

Although convection within an insulating material may be blocked, convective air flow around the insulation may occur if air flow paths exist. This convective flow can severely reduce the effective resistance of the insulation layer.^{2,3} For this reason it is necessary to eliminate the convection path,

²Wolf, S., "A Theory for the Effects of Convective Air Flow Through Fibrous Thermal Insulation," in *Transactions*, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Vol. 72, Part II, 1966, pp. 111.2.1-111.2.9.

³Wolf, S., Solvason, K. R., and Wilson, A. G., "Convective Air Flow Effects with Mineral Wool Circulation in Wood-Frame Walls," in *Transactions*, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Vol. 72, Part II, 1966, pp. 111.3.1-111.3.8.

either by providing a convection barrier on the insulation or by eliminating any air space on either or both sides of the insulation.

Most rigid board insulations provide a convection barrier, but poor joints can allow convective air flow around the boards. Although the insulation layer is fastened tightly to the wall, a thick intermittent glue layer and wall irregularities can create an air space beneath the insulation. Figure 1 illustrates this problem.

Fibrous insulation, unless paper-backed, does not provide a convection barrier. It must rely, therefore, on intimate contact with one side of the cavity to eliminate convective air flow. This may not always be achieved (Figs. 2a to 2d). In Fig. 3, which is taken from the work cited in Footnote 3, Line A shows the conductance of an ideally installed insulation layer versus cold-side temperature. It shows the expected increase in conductance as the mean temperature of the specimen is raised. Line B is the conductance of an unfaced glass fiber insulation with an unobstructed air space on both sides. Besides the obvious increase in conductance due to convection, the slope of Line B indicates, as expected, an increase in convective air flow as the temperature difference increases (or as cold-side temperature decreases). Line C shows

FIG. 1—Irregularities that cause convection paths.

FIG. 2—Examples of ill-fitting wall insulation.

the conductance of a wall that was insulated according to current practice, namely a friction fit batt pushed into a stud space. The batt was wider than the stud space, and when it was compressed it wrinkled and air pockets were created on the cold-side surface. Line C can be compared with Line D, which is the same batt trimmed to fit the stud space exactly. Line E shows the results of measurements on a wall identical to that whose results are given by Line A, except that two 16-mm vertical grooves were formed in the insulation on the cold side of each stud space by wires strung the full height of the cavity. The conductance decreases until the weather-side temperature reaches approximately -12°C . At this point convective circulation starts, counteracting any further decrease in conductance with decreasing weather-side temperature. It appears from this that convective air flow is inhibited until the temperature difference becomes large enough to overcome the flow path restriction.

As can be seen from Fig. 3, convective flow is sensitive to flow path size and length, and the temperature difference. A test is necessary to determine the R -value of wall specimens with convection paths.

FIG. 3—Over-all thermal conductance of panels (from work cited in Footnote 3).

Test Procedure

All resistance measurements quoted in this paper were made with the 2.4 by 2.4 m (8 by 8 ft) guarded hot box test facility of the Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada, as shown in Fig. 4.

Briefly, the facility consists of hot and cold chambers with a 3.048 m (10 ft) opening joining them. The opening is reduced to 2.44 m (8 ft) square by a polystyrene mask that constitutes the guard area. The test specimen is sealed into the opening, and the calorimeter is placed over the warm-side face of the specimen and sealed to the polystyrene mask.

All temperatures are measured by 30-gage Type T thermocouples. Power to the calorimeter is supplied by a d-c power supply to convective bar heaters inside. A baffle eliminates direct radiation from the heaters to the specimen. The calorimeter relies on natural convection and radiation to transfer heat to the specimen. A film coefficient of approximately $25 \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot ^\circ\text{C}$ is produced on the cold side by the cold-side conditioning fans.

To make a steady-state heat transmission measurement, the specimen is first allowed to settle to equilibrium at the prescribed temperature conditions

- INSIDE AIR TEMPERATURE THERMOCOUPLES (T_{ai})
- BAFFLE TEMPERATURE THERMOCOUPLES (T_b)
- OUTSIDE AIR TEMPERATURE THERMOCOUPLES (T_{ao})

FIG. 4—NRC/DBR environmental test facility.

on an automatic temperature control mode. When equilibrium is reached the input power is set manually to avoid control fluctuations during temperature measurements.

Results of Tests

Figure 5 illustrates schematically a common type of building construction which can suffer from convective air flow if attention is not paid to the proper details. In this case the wall with 127-mm insulation was tested with and without paper backing glued to the glass fiber insulation. Table 1 shows the results of the two tests, indicating a 34 percent reduction in wall resistance when the paper is not present.

In another case, the same construction was used but with 89-mm insulation. Table 2 shows the comparison between the wall with an 89-mm thick insulation layer and a 127-mm layer. In this case, the resistance of the wall increased by only 24 percent when the insulation thickness was increased by 42 percent.

Degradation of the resistivity of the insulation layer by convective air flow can also be aggravated by design details intended to increase the overall resistance of the wall system. Table 3 shows the results of two tests. In Test A the steel spacer shown in Fig. 5 was used to separate the inner and outer panels of the wall. In Test B all components remained the same, but a 6-mm layer of low-conductivity material was added to the flange of the spacer to reduce its thermal bridging effect. Comparison of the two wall resistances reveals that this "thermal break" actually reduced the resistance of the wall system because of the 6 mm increase in cavity thickness, which provided a less restrictive path for convective air flow.

FIG. 5—Test wall.

TABLE 1—Wall resistance measurements with and without paper backing.^a

Parameter	Wall without Paper	Wall with Paper
Mean temperature, °C	-7	-7
Temperature difference, °C	55	55
Total resistance, m ² ·°C/W	1.85	2.76
Wall resistance, m ² ·°C/W	1.73	2.62
Apparent wall resistivity, m·°C/W	13.62	20.63

^aGlass fiber insulation, 17.6 kg/m³ density, 127 mm thickness.TABLE 2—Wall resistance measurements for different insulation thicknesses.^a

Parameter	89-mm Wall	127-mm Wall
Mean temperature, °C	-7	-7
Temperature difference, °C	55	55
Total resistance, m ² ·°C/W	1.52	1.85
Wall resistance, m ² ·°C/W	1.39	1.73
Apparent wall resistivity, m·°C/W	15.62	13.62

^aGlass fiber insulation, 17.6 kg/m³ density, no paper backing on insulation.TABLE 3—Wall resistance measurements with and without thermal break.^a

Parameter	Test A Wall without Thermal Break	Test B Wall with Thermal Break
Mean temperature, °C	-7	-7
Temperature difference, °C	55	55
Total resistance, m ² ·°C/W	1.517	1.440
Wall resistance, m ² ·°C/W	1.387	1.313
Apparent wall resistivity, m·°C/W	15.58	14.75

^aGlass fiber insulation, 17.6 kg/m³ density, 89 mm thickness.

Conclusions

Although thermal bridging is an important factor in the over-all resistance of a wall system, it is well known and proper attention is usually given to it at the design stage. Convective air flow within the wall cavity, however, does not receive sufficient attention at the design stage. Assumptions about the actual position of the insulation are in error in many cases, as the examples have shown. Since the source of the problem is mostly protrusions into the insulation space and often inaccuracies in construction or product dimensions, this effect is virtually impossible to treat theoretically.

From the results of tests conducted on frame walls, a few rules of good design and detailing have become apparent:

1. The first and most important point is to provide an air barrier between the inner and outer surfaces of the insulation layer. In closed cell foam products this can be achieved by providing airtight joints between boards. In porous insulations an air barrier such as a layer of paper should be applied to the insulation. This air barrier should be present even when the insulation is thought to be, although it seldom is, in intimate contact with one side of the cavity. The location of the air barrier is not important. It could be located on either face of the insulation layer or sandwiched within the insulation. The insulation would have a better chance to conform to the surface if the barrier were in the center.

2. To provide better contact with one side of the cavity, special attention should be paid to protrusions, hangers, etc. It should not be assumed that insulation will conform to the shape of such members. It is important, therefore, to reduce the number, size, and complexity of such protrusions and, in many cases, to cut special insulation pieces to fit around them.

3. Dimensions and tolerances should provide some compression of a glass fiber insulation layer. It is also important that insulation be expanded to its reference thickness. Any insulation that does not meet its reference thickness should be rejected.

Convective air flow within the wall cavity is a problem with many of today's constructions, but need not be. Simple precautions and minor design changes can eliminate convective flow and assure proper performance for the customer and the manufacturer.

Acknowledgments

This paper is a contribution from the Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada, and is published with the approval of the Director of the Division.

This publication is being distributed by the Division of Building Research of the National Research Council of Canada. It should not be reproduced in whole or in part without permission of the original publisher. The Division would be glad to be of assistance in obtaining such permission.

Publications of the Division may be obtained by mailing the appropriate remittance (a Bank, Express, or Post Office Money Order, or a cheque, made payable to the Receiver General of Canada, credit NRC) to the National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa. K1A 0R6. Stamps are not acceptable.

A list of all publications of the Division is available and may be obtained from the Publications Section, Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa. K1A 0R6.