NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

Crystal structure of the mineral strontiodresserite from laboratory powder diffraction data

Whitfield, P. S.; Mitchell, L. D.; Le Page, Y.; Margeson, J. C.; Roberts, A. C.

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. / La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

For the publisher's version, please access the DOI link below. / Pour consulter la version de l'éditeur, utilisez le lien DOI ci-dessous.

Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:

<https://doi.org/10.1154/1.3504496>

Powder Diffraction Journal, 25, 4, pp. 322-328, 2010-12-01

NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=04c9e819-8dc9-429a-a02b-6f497bf5198c>

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=04c9e819-8dc9-429a-a02b-6f497bf5198c>

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright>

READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits>

LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

Questions? Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at

PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

Vous avez des questions? Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.

<http://www.nrc-cnrc.gc.ca/irc>

Crystal structure of the mineral strontiodresserite from laboratory powder diffraction data

NRCC-53597

Whitfield, P.S.; Mitchell, L.D.; Le Page, Y.;
Margeson, J.; Roberts, A.C.

December 2010

A version of this document is published in / Une version de ce document se trouve dans:
Powder Diffraction Journal, 25, (4), pp. 322-328, December 01, 2010, DOI:
[10.1154/1.3504496](https://doi.org/10.1154/1.3504496)

The material in this document is covered by the provisions of the Copyright Act, by Canadian laws, policies, regulations and international agreements. Such provisions serve to identify the information source and, in specific instances, to prohibit reproduction of materials without written permission. For more information visit <http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/C-42>

Les renseignements dans ce document sont protégés par la Loi sur le droit d'auteur, par les lois, les politiques et les règlements du Canada et des accords internationaux. Ces dispositions permettent d'identifier la source de l'information et, dans certains cas, d'interdire la copie de documents sans permission écrite. Pour obtenir de plus amples renseignements : <http://lois.justice.gc.ca/fr/showtdm/cs/C-42>

National Research
Council Canada

Conseil national
de recherches Canada

Canada

Crystal structure of the mineral strontiodresserite from laboratory powder diffraction data

P.S. Whitfield,^{1,a)} L.D. Mitchell,² Y. Le Page,¹ J. Margeson,² and A.C. Roberts³

¹ *Institute for Chemical Process and Environmental Technology, National Research Council Canada, 1200 Montreal Road, Ottawa ON, K1A 0R6, CANADA*

² *Institute for Research in Construction, National Research Council Canada, 1200 Montreal Road, Ottawa ON, K1A 0R6, CANADA*

³ *Geological Survey of Canada, 601 Booth Street, Ottawa ON, K1A 0E8, CANADA*

Abstract

The crystal structure of the mineral strontiodresserite, $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Al}_2(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{OH})_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$, from the Francon Quarry, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, has been solved from laboratory powder diffraction data using a combination of charge-flipping and simulated annealing methods. The structure is orthorhombic in space group *Pnma* with $a = 16.0990(7)$, $b = 5.6133(3)$ and $c = 9.1804(4)$ Å ($Z = 4$) and the framework of the mineral is isostructural with that of dundasite. The strontium has a coordination number of 9 and the carbonate anions form a bridge between the SrO_9 polyhedra and AlO_6 octahedra. The water molecule lies in a channel that runs parallel to the b -axis. An ordered network of hydrogen atoms could be uniquely determined from crystal-chemical principles in the channels of strontiodresserite. *Ab initio* DFT energy minimization of the whole structure gave results in full agreement with X-ray refinement results for non-hydrogen atoms. The stability of this model (as well as that of the corresponding model of dundasite) in the proposed *Pnma* space group was tested by DFT optimization in space group *P1* of random small distortions of this structure. This test confirms that both minerals are isostructural, including their hydrogen-bond networks.

Keywords: mineral, charge-flipping, simulated annealing, Rietveld refinement

^{a)} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: pamela.whitfield@nrc.gc.ca

I. INTRODUCTION

Strontiodresserite is a hydrated strontium-aluminum carbonate mineral with the formula $(\text{Sr,Ca})\text{Al}_2(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{OH})_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Jambor *et al*, 1977). The type locality is the Francon Quarry, in Montreal, Quebec, Canada, but strontiodresserite is also reported to occur in France and Norway. It was first described in Jambor *et al*. (1977), with a Sr:Ca ratio of 4:1. Due to the small grain size, the diffraction data presented by Jambor *et al* (1977) were limited to powder diffraction data.

Strontiodresserite is compositionally analogous to a small group of minerals which include dundasite $\text{PbAl}_2(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{OH})_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Cocco *et al*, 1972), petterdite $\text{PbCr}_2(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{OH})_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Birch *et al*, 2000) and dresserite $\text{BaAl}_2(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{OH})_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Jambor *et al*, 1969). However there is some disagreement in the literature as to whether strontiodresserite is isostructural with dundasite and dresserite. On the basis of the relationship between the elongations for the various directions of the optical axes, Jambor *et al* (1977) suggested that dundasite, dresserite and strontiodresserite are not isostructural. Roberts (1978) used long exposure X-ray precession single-crystal studies on two fibres of strontiodresserite to determine its space group. The systematic absences were consistent with either *Pbnm* or *Pbn2₁* and, given the parallels reported by Cocco *et al* (1972), Roberts (1978) concluded that strontiodresserite and dundasite are indeed isostructural.

The fine aggregate microstructure of these minerals makes single-crystal structure determination very difficult. The only published crystal structure amongst the group is for dundasite, where the researchers used Weissenberg photographs of a multiple crystal (Cocco *et al*, 1972). Despite the problematic sample, Cocco *et al* (1972) successfully solved the structure and determined that dundasite contains a single water molecule per formula unit (f.u.).

Structure determination from powder diffraction data (SDPD) has developed significantly in recent years. Improvements in global optimization using real-space methods (Shankland and David, 2002), and more recently the application of the tangent formula (Coelho, 2007) to charge-flipping methods (Oszlányi and Sütö, 2004) has greatly expanded the scope of SDPD. Together with improvements in data quality facilitated by developments in diffractometer instrumentation, SDPD has become accessible to a greater number of researchers. However, the results of a recent round-robin suggest that it is still not a routine procedure (Le Bail and Cranswick, 2008).

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND DATA ANALYSIS

A. Sample preparation

The mineral of interest was presented as a number of 1-mm diameter, white, vitreous hemispheres (Figure 1) formed within vugs of a 10-cm sized piece of bulk silicocarbonatite sill rock from the Francon Quarry in Montreal, Quebec, Canada. Hemispheres were removed from the bulk under an optical microscope and an attempt was made to clean their surface of impurities. Of the six hemispheres obtained, four were gently crushed in ethanol using a mortar and pestle for X-ray diffraction analysis. Once dried, the powder was loaded into a 0.5-mm quartz capillary and the capillary sealed with wax before mounting in a standard brass capillary pin. One of the remaining hemispheres was lightly crushed and mounted onto a scanning electron microscope (SEM) stub using adhesive carbon film. The sample was examined in a Hitachi S4800 field emission SEM. Images and elemental analysis were obtained at 5- and 20-kV accelerating voltage, respectively.

B. X-ray data collection

The X-ray data were collected using a Bruker-AXS D8 diffractometer using Cu $K\alpha$ radiation with a focussing primary mirror and a Vantec PSD detector. Although the diffractometer was a θ - θ instrument, data were collected in the range from 9 to 140 $^{\circ}2\theta$ with a fixed incident angle (Debye-Scherrer geometry) using a 10 $^{\circ}$ detector window. A variable count/step scheme was employed to improve counting statistics at high angle and produce more accurate results in the final Rietveld refinement (Madsen and Hill, 1994). The data collection scheme is given in Table I.

The data ranges were combined into a single file in 'xye' format to retain the counting statistics information. All of the data analysis was done using the Bruker-AXS TOPAS 4.2 software (Bruker-AXS, 2008b). The Least-Squares Indexing method (Coelho, 2003) was used to index the data from the first 25 peak positions determined through single-peak fitting. No background subtraction was necessary (including the capillary) to fit the background satisfactorily using a 15-term Chebyshev polynomial. This contrasts with the slight diffuseness in the powder diffraction data observed by Jambor *et al* (1977) which they attributed to possible non-stoichiometry in carbonate and water content due to absorption from air.

C. Structure solution and refinement

The ability to extract intensities out to low d-spacings and the presence of a heavy atom (Sr) were positive indications that charge-flipping (Oszlányi and Sütö, 2004) might yield some of the atomic positions. The initial structure solution was accordingly attempted with a combination of charge-flipping method and tangent formula (Coelho, 2007). Low-density elimination (Shiono and Woolfson, 1992) was used to sharpen the resulting electron density.

Charge-flipping produced sensible positions for the strontium, aluminum and some oxygen atoms. Use of fixed positions for strontium and aluminum atoms in subsequent simulated annealing runs with data up to $80^\circ 2\theta$ greatly eased the difficulty of extracting a successful solution.

Rigid bodies consisting of regular AlO_6 octahedra and CO_3 triangles were used in conjunction with a 'box interaction' penalty function such that at least eight oxygen atoms should be within $2.65 \text{ \AA}$ of a strontium atom. This model with six oxygen atoms around each aluminum and three around each carbon therefore contained initially 18 oxygen atoms/f.u., compared with 10 or 11 in the chemical formula. Merging of oxygen atoms approaching one another within $0.9 \text{ \AA}$ was accordingly implemented. Separate isotropic displacement parameters (B_{iso}) were used for the cations and anions. The oxygen atom for a water molecule was located on a Fourier difference map. The final refinement step involved all variable atom positions as well as individual B_{iso} .

The final refinement used the full data range up to $140^\circ 2\theta$. The peak profiles were fitted using convolution-based peak profiles in combination with double-Voigt sample size-strain model (Balzar and Ledbetter, 1995). The simple axial divergence correction implemented in TOPAS (Bruker-AXS, 2008a) was used. Individual B_{iso} values were refined for the strontium, aluminum, carbon and oxygen atoms, except for the equatorial AlO_6 oxygen atoms which were refined with an overall B_{iso} . The Sabine capillary absorption correction (Sabine *et al*, 1998) was applied to the intensities. The linear absorption coefficient used in the correction included the contribution from the extraneous fluorite content. The wide 2θ range indicated that the application of the Debye-Scherrer capillary displacement 2θ correction (McCusker *et al*, 1999) might be useful and was included in the input file as a user-defined macro.

D. Valence summation and location of hydrogen atoms

Bond valence sums were calculated using VaList (Wills, 2008). The bond-valence parameter for the mixed Sr-Ca site (2.088) was determined by applying percentage contribution from the refined site occupancies for Sr and Ca. A value of 0.37 was assumed for B , which is common to the bond-valence entries for Ca and Sr used as end-members. Bond valence parameters for OH were taken from the 2006 version of bond-valence parameters maintained by I.D. Brown (Brown, 2006a). No hydrogen atoms were included in the X-ray refinement of the data. A unique model for H positions in space group $Pnma$ was then derived from crystal-chemical considerations as detailed in III.A.

E. Modeling, DFT energy minimization and structure stability

A crystal structure model combining the X-ray atom coordinates and the above hydrogen atoms was prepared and optimized by *ab initio* total energy minimization using the Vienna *Ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP) (Kresse, 1993; Kresse & Hafner, 1993) within the framework of Density Functional Theory (DFT). Full occupancy by Sr of the (Sr,Ca) site was assumed. All modeling, VASP input file preparation as well as output file interpretation was performed with Materials Toolkit (Le Page and Rodgers, 2005). The energy minimization scheme detailed in Mercier & Le Page (2008) was followed with a $4\times 4\times 4$ k-mesh. Distorted models for the structure-stability test were prepared with the NUDGE utility (Le Page & Rodgers, 2006) in Materials Toolkit and optimized with VASP.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. The sample and its analysis

The sample under examination had been macroscopically identified as montroyalite, $\text{Sr}_4\text{Al}_8[(\text{OH},\text{F})_{26}(\text{CO}_3)_3 \cdot 10\text{-}11\text{H}_2\text{O}]$ (Roberts *et al*, 1986), a mineral also found in the Francon Quarry that typically occurs as small, white hemispheres (similar to strontiodresserite) and, coincidentally has the same Sr:Al ratio as strontiodresserite. The hemispherical nature of the material in question is apparent in the optical micrograph shown in Figure 1. The identity of the mineral was called into question when the powder diffraction was examined as the data did not resemble that expected from montroyalite (Roberts *et al*, 1986). The possibility of a dehydration product of montroyalite was considered, but both the position and relative intensities of the reflections closely resembled those reported in the literature for strontiodresserite. Consequently the structure solution process was started on the assumption that it was, in fact, strontiodresserite. A small number of reflections from fluorite were visible and these were fitted as a secondary phase during the complete analysis process. Fluorite is not mentioned as a phase associated with strontiodresserite (Jambor *et al*, 1977), but is associated with the fluorine-containing montroyalite (Roberts *et al*, 1986). None of the closely associated minerals mentioned by Jambor *et al* (1977), such as quartz and dawsonite, were present in the diffraction pattern.

Examination of the material by SEM yielded heavily fractured lath-like needles as shown in Figure 2. Lath-like crystals are consistent both with the observations by Jambor *et al* (1977) on strontiodresserite and those of Roberts *et al* (1986) on montroyalite including the 'splintery fracture'. EDX measurements yielded a Sr:Al atomic ratio of approximately 1:2. No signal from fluorine was detected either at 20 kV or when the voltage was lowered to optimize the detection

of lighter elements, which is additional evidence that the sample was strontiodresserite as opposed to montroyalite.

B. Unit-cell analysis

The crystallinity of strontiodresserite was found to be quite good with distinct reflections visible all the way up to the limit of $140^\circ 2\theta$ as can be seen in the insert in Figure 3. Single peak fitting to obtain the first 25 to 30 reflections was straightforward, and 25 of those were used as input in the LSI indexing algorithm. Using a calculated lines to observed lines ratio of 5, the first 70 solutions from the indexing in TOPAS gave the same orthorhombic lattice parameters with $a = 16.1$, $b = 9.2$, $c = 5.6$ Å, giving a very high confidence in the result. The first 4 solutions of the top 5 had the suggested space group $Pna2_1$ corresponding to the extinction symbol Pna-. Besides $Pna2_1$, the space group $Pnam$ also belongs to the extinction symbol Pna- (Looijenga-Vos and Buerger, 2006), yielding effectively the same choice as that presented by Roberts (1978). The top solution had a GOF of 28.1 with a minimal zero point error. A Le Bail full pattern fit (Le Bail *et al*, 1988) to the data range from 9 to $80^\circ 2\theta$, including the fluorite secondary phase, yielded good fits in both $Pna2_1$ and $Pnam$ ($R_{wp} = 5.0\%$). $Pnam$ and $Pbnm$ are alternate settings of the standard space group $Pnma$ (number 62).

C. Structure analysis

The first cycles of charge-flipping yielded the strontium atom positions. Following cycles gave aluminum atom positions. The positions determined from the charge-flipping accounted for the cell-symmetry, and identified the special position of the strontium atoms. Fixing the positions of the strontium and aluminum atoms in the simulated annealing assisted in finding the

other atom positions relatively quickly. The oxygen atom of the water molecule was not subjected to any constraints so took a little longer to locate reliably. The rigid-body octahedra used in the simulated annealing led to excess of oxygen atoms compared to the nominal stoichiometry. The partially merged oxygen atoms accordingly had to be consolidated in single sites for refinement upon removing the rigid-body constraints, leading to the expected stoichiometry.

A 4th-order spherical harmonics preferential orientation correction (Järvinen, 1993) was necessary to finalize the refinement. The application of this correction did not alter the overall structure, but greatly improved the geometry of the carbonate ions and significantly improved the fit. The refined B_{iso} of the Sr appeared to be sensitive to the preferential orientation correction, and the value increased after the application of the spherical harmonics. Orientation of a powder in a capillary is suggestive of needle or lath-shaped crystallites, which has been reported previously with strontiodresserite (Jambor *et al*, 1977) and can be seen in Figure 2.

Although a Fourier difference map confirmed the location of the water oxygen atom, no additional water molecules were found and none of the hydrogen atoms could reliably be located by X-rays. As expected, the capillary displacement was minimal ($x = +0.03$ mm and $y = +0.04$ mm) but did improve the R_{wp} residual slightly.

The final refinement was carried out in the standard setting for space group number 62, which is *Pnma*. The cell and structure can be transformed to the *Pbnm* cell by the application of a *cab* matrix transformation for comparison with the previously described structure of dundasite (Cocco *et al.* 1972). The final refined lattice parameters in *Pnma* were $a = 16.0990(7)$, $b = 5.6133(3)$ and $c = 9.1804(4)$ Å, with $Z = 4$. The fit to the experimental data was very good as shown in Figure 3, with a R_{wp} value of 5.2%. The density of strontiodresserite calculated with

those cell parameters is 2.66 g/cm^3 , which compares quite well with the measured value of 2.71 g/cm^3 (Jambor *et al*, 1977) given that the refined Ca-content on the Sr site is very slightly lower at 19.3% than the nominal value of 20%.

D. Structure description

The structure consists of corrugated chains extending along the *b*-axis. Those chains are made of edge-sharing AlO_6 octahedra, as shown in Figure 4. Four coplanar oxygen atoms are shared in this way in an equatorial plane of each Al atom, while two axial oxygen atoms lie perpendicular to that plane. Next to each of the AlO_6 chains, the strontium atom shares edges with two AlO_6 octahedra. In the *b*-direction the irregular-shaped SrO_9 polyhedra alternate with the carbonate ions which are corner sharing with two axial AlO_6 oxygen atoms and share an oxygen atom with the opposite SrO_9 . The carbonate ions effectively tie together the two Al/Sr/O layers in the unit cell. The water molecules are located in channels running along the *b*-direction and are not coordinated directly with any of the cations. The position of the oxygen atom does suggest the possibility of hydrogen bonding with oxygen atoms in the polyhedral network. Bond valence analysis confirmed that the hydroxyl oxygen atoms are O1, O2, O4 and O6, which are the equatorial oxygen atoms of the AlO_6 octahedra. Table II lists the atomic coordinates from Rietveld refinement of non-hydrogen atoms in space group *Pnma*. Table III shows the results of bond valence summation using atom coordinates in Table II.

E. Location of hydrogen atoms

The fact that O9w could be refined to a B_{iso} of 2.0(2) with full oxygen occupancy is an indication that water molecules are strongly bound with just one scheme of hydrogen bonds and then that an ordered hydrogen-bond scheme most probably exists in strontiodresserite. Examination of experimental O-O approaches for O9w indicates that only three oxygen atoms, O3 ($\times 2$) and O6 at respective distances 2.92 and 2.68 Å, can possibly be involved in hydrogen bonding with O9w. The next shortest distance of 3.19 Å is too long to be part of a significant hydrogen bond (see *e.g.* Fig. 1 in Ferraris & Ivaldi, 1988). From bond valence summation, O6 is known to be an OH group, thus indicating an O6-H6..O9w hydrogen bond. The two short approaches between O9w and O3 are then the two O9w-H9..O3 hydrogen bonds of the water molecule. The remaining OH groups at O1, O2 and O4 are all bonded to two Al^{3+} ions. Simple electrostatic field considerations then suggest an initial location of corresponding hydroxyl hydrogen atoms on the line joining the midpoint between the two Al^{3+} ions and the oxygen atom, away from the aluminum ions. Qualitatively, this hydrogen-bond scheme is unique in space group *Pnma*. Initial O-H distances were assumed to be 1 Å.

F. DFT energy minimization of strontiodresserite and dundasite

DFT energy minimization by adjustment of atom coordinates of this model in the fixed experimental cell proceeded smoothly, without any large drift of the proposed hydrogen atoms or of the framework atoms, a strong indication of a sensible initial model. Table IV lists all atom coordinates, including hydrogen atoms after DFT optimization with VASP in space group *Pnma*. Selected bond distances are shown in two columns in Table V for easy comparison of X-ray and *ab initio* VASP results. Bond angles from *ab initio* VASP results pertaining to the hydrogen bonding are given in Table VI. The bonds involving hydrogens from the DFT model are shown

graphically in Figure 5 for easy interpretation of Tables IV, V and VI. There are no large discrepancies from expected values including all optimized O-H distances of about 1 Å. As expected the agreement between experimental and DFT bonds lengths tends to be better with the heavier elements as they are more easily localized with X-ray powder diffraction data. From Table VI, the H-O-H angle in the water molecule as well as H..O-H angles are all reasonably close to tetrahedral angles. The only deviation from an ideal model for a textbook seems to be that the O9w-H9w..O3 angle deviates from 180 degrees. We consider this to be a minor imperfection because the H9w..O3 hydrogen bond is rather weak. H9w is accordingly pointing to an electrostatically negatively charged region on the wall of a wide channel rather than establishing a strong hydrogen bond with O3, which ought to be in straight line. We tested the energy of plausible disordered hydrogen-bond models at O9w, with lower symmetry. All those that did not revert to the above scheme failed to reduce the total energy and yielded atomic coordinates for O9w that differed from those found experimentally.

G. Space-group choice for strontiodresserite and dundasite

The success of the structure solution in a particular space group from diffraction intensities, as well as its DFT optimization in that space group, does not prove that the correct structure is not actually distorted with a lower symmetry. We accordingly tested the *ab initio* stability of the final tabulated crystal structure of strontiodresserite by creating models of it in *P1*, introducing random tiny individual distortions into all atom coordinates and all cell vectors. Total energy minimization will promptly return this randomly distorted crystal structure to its initial configuration and symmetry if it is stable. In contrast, an unstable model will converge to a new configuration with lower energy and lower symmetry. The test performed with the

NUDGE utility (Le Page & Rodgers, 2006) on the strontiodresserite *P1* model confirmed that the *Pnma* model of strontiodresserite is stable and lowering the symmetry is not necessary. The same test, repeated with the literature structure of dundasite combined with hydrogen atoms from strontiodresserite confirmed the structure and symmetry of dundasite as reported by Cocco *et al* (1972).

H. Complementarity of powder methods, valence summation and DFT optimization

This study illustrates the fact that the combination of laboratory X-ray Rietveld profile analysis with valence summation and *ab initio* methods can in a number of cases reliably elucidate crystal structures of a complexity that used to require X-ray single-crystal diffraction. As shown here, this possibility opens new perspectives with samples that can be obtained in powder form only. For oxides, bond-valence summation (Brown, 2006b) provides a powerful check of the validity of the crystal structure, but *ab initio* optimization provides several additional and very stringent checks about the correctness of the structure model. The first such check is that stress calculated *ab initio* using experimental cell parameters was verified here to be small and uniform. With VASP, this stress is usually calculated as a small negative and essentially isotropic pressure, in part because *ab initio* calculations are performed at 0 K temperature, but also due to tiny imperfections in the numerical representation of quantum-calculated core electron density. A second test is that calculated optimized atom coordinates for atoms should actually match the experimentally determined atom positions. This test is particularly powerful in cases like here, where some atoms were located experimentally while others are deduced from crystal-chemical considerations: a wrong assignment of hydrogen atoms would have repercussions on hydroxyl and water oxygen positions. Verification of the match of

coordinates within least squares uncertainties then validates both the framework architecture and the hydrogen-bond scheme deduced from it. The third test is that of the stability of the optimized structure model as explained previously. This test validated here the use of space group *Pnma* for the structure solution, demonstrating that a lower symmetry was not required.

IV. CONCLUSION

The structure of strontiodresserite has been determined from laboratory powder diffraction data using a combination of charge-flipping and simulated annealing techniques. The crystal structure is orthorhombic and has been described in *Pnma*, with two chains of AlO_6 octahedra along the *b*-direction linked by irregular SrO_9 polyhedra and carbonate ions. The water molecule lies in a channel that runs parallel to the *b*-axis. This study confirms the isostructural relationship between strontiodresserite and dundasite proposed by Roberts (1978). The hydroxyl and water oxygens were identified using bond valence sums. A unique model of hydrogen atoms was then deduced from crystal chemistry and optimized by *ab initio* DFT energy minimization. The stability of the resulting *Pnma* strontiodresserite structure and that of the corresponding dundasite structure were then demonstrated using *ab initio* methods. Strontiodresserite and dundasite are isostructural in space group *Pnma*, basically as described in Cocco *et al* (1972) albeit in *Pbnm*, including their hydrogen-bond network established here.

References

- Balzar, D. and Ledbetter, H. (1995). "Accurate modelling of size and strain broadening in the Rietveld refinement: The "double-Voigt" approach," *Advances in X-Ray Analysis* **38**, 397-404.
- Birch, W. D., Kolitsch, U., Witzke, T., Nasdala, L. and Bottrill, R. S. (2000). "Petterdite, the Cr-dominant analogue of dundasite, a new mineral species from Dundas, Tasmania, Australia and Callenberg, Saxony, Germany," *Can. Mineral.* **38**, 1467-1476.
- Brown, I.D. (2006a). *bvparm2006.cif*. Available from www.ccp14.ac.uk.
- Brown, I. D. (2006b). *The Chemical Bond in Inorganic Chemistry. The Bond Valence Model*, (Oxford: Oxford University Press; IUCr Monographs on Crystallography).
- Bruker-AXS (2008a) *DIFFRACPlus TOPAS: TOPAS 4.2 Technical Reference*. (Computer Software) Bruker-AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany
- Bruker-AXS (2008b) *DIFFRACPlus TOPAS: TOPAS 4.2 User Manual*. (Computer Software) Bruker-AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany
- Cocco, G., Fanfini, L., Nunzi, A. and Zanazzi, P. F. (1972). "The crystal structure of dundasite," *Mineral. Mag.* **38**, 564-569.
- Coelho, A. A. (2003). "Indexing of powder diffraction patterns by iterative use of singular value decomposition," *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **36**, 86-95.
- Coelho, A. A. (2007). "A Charge Flipping algorithm incorporating the tangent formula for solving difficult structures," *Acta Crystallogr., Sect A: Found. Crystallogr.* **36**, 400-406.
- Ferraris, G. and Ivaldi, G. (1988). "Bond valence vs bond length in O...O hydrogen bonds," *Acta. Cryst. B* **44**, 341-344.

- Jambor, J. L., Fong, D. G. and Sabina, A. P. (1969). "Dresserite, the new barium analogue of dundasite," *Can. Mineral.* **10**, 84-89.
- Jambor, J. L., Sabina, A. P., Roberts, A. C. and Sturman, B. D. (1977). "Strontiodresserite, a new Sr-Al carbonate from Montreal Island, Quebec," *Can. Mineral.* **15**, 405-407.
- Järvinen, M. (1993). "Application of symmetrized harmonics expansion to correction of the preferred orientation effect," *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **24**, 525-531.
- Kresse, G. (1993) Ph.D. Thesis. Technische Universität Wien, Austria.
- Kresse, G. and Hafner, J. (1993). "Ab initio molecular dynamics for open-shell transition metals," *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 13115-13118.
- Le Bail, A. and Cranswick, L. M. D. (2008). SDPDRR-3: Structure determination by powder diffractometry round robin - 3. <http://cristal.org/SDPDRR3/results/index.html> .
- Le Bail, A., Duroy, H. and Fourquet, J. L. (1988). "Ab-initio structure determination of LiSbWO_6 by X-ray powder diffraction," *Mat. Res. Bull.* **23**, 447-452.
- Le Page, Y. and Rodgers, J. R. (2005). "Quantum software interfaced with crystal structure databases: tools, results and perspectives," *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **38**, 697-705.
- Le Page, Y. & Rodgers, J.R. (2006). "Low energy models from scratch: Application to SiNF ," *Comp. Mat. Sci.* **37**, 537-542.
- Looijenga-Vos, A. and Buerger, M. J. (2006). "Space-group determination and diffraction symbols," in *International Tables for Crystallography, volume A*, edited by Th. Hahn, (International Union for Crystallography, Chester, UK) p. 46
- Madsen, I.C. & Hill, R.J. (1994). "Collection and analysis of powder diffraction data with near-constant counting statistics," *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **27**, 385-392

- McCusker, L. B., Von Dreele, R. B., Cox, D. E., Louer, D. and Scardi, P. (1999). "Rietveld refinement guidelines," J. Appl. Crystallogr. **32**, 36-50.
- Mercier, P. H. J. & Le Page, Y. (2008). "Kaolin polytypes revisited ab initio," Acta Crystallogr., Section B: Struct. Sci. **64**, 131-143.
- Oszlányi, G. and Sütö, A. (2004). "Ab initio structure solution by charge flipping," Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Cryst. **60**, 134-141.
- Roberts, A.C. (1978). "The space group of strontiodresserite," Scientific and Technical Notes in Current Research, Part B; Geological Survey of Canada Paper 78-1B, 180
- Roberts, A. C., Sabina, A. P., Bonardi, M., Jambor, J. L., Ramik, R. A., Sturman, B. D. and Carr, M. J. (1986). "Montroyalite, a new hydrated Sr-Al hydroxycarbonate from the Francon Quarry, Montreal, Quebec," Can. Mineral. **24**, 455-459.
- Sabine, T. M., Hunter, B. A., Sabine, W. R. and Ball, C. J. (1998). "Analytical expressions for the transmission factor and peak shift in absorbing cylindrical specimens," J. Appl. Crystallogr. **31**, 47-51.
- Shankland, K. and David, W. I. F. (2002) "Global optimization strategies" in *Structure Determination from Powder Diffraction Data*, edited by W.I.F David, K. Shankland, L.B. McCusker, and Ch. Baerlocher, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK) p. 252-285.
- Shiono, M. and Woolfson, M. M. (1992). "Direct-space methods in phase extension and phase determination. 1. Low-density elimination," Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. **48**, 451-456.
- Wills, A. S. (2008). VaList. (Computer Program) Available from www.ccp14.ac.uk.

Table I. Variable count-time (VCT) data collection regime.

Start $2\theta(^{\circ})$	End $2\theta(^{\circ})$	Step-size ($^{\circ}$)	Count-time (s)
9.00	41.75	0.0142	2
41.75	74.50	0.0214	4
74.50	107.30	0.0285	8
107.30	140.00	0.0356	16

Table II. Refined experimental atomic coordinates for strontiodresserite.

Atom	Wyckoff position	x	y	z	occ	$B_{\text{iso}} (\text{\AA}^2)$
Sr	4c	0.4146(6)	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.4740(1)	0.807(7)	6.0(12)
Ca					0.193(7)	
Al	8d	0.2039(1)	0.5029(6)	0.6693(3)	1	1.34(6)
C1	4c	0.5499(9)	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.7516(14)	1	3.1(2)
C2	4c	0.3540(8)	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.5830(15)	1	3.1(2)
O1	4c	0.2180(4)	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.8038(7)	1	0.99(8)
O2	4c	0.1620(3)	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.5402(8)	1	0.99(8)
O3	8d	0.0889(3)	0.5472(11)	0.7388(5)	1	1.2(1)
O4	4c	0.2428(3)	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.7822(7)	1	0.99(8)
O5	8d	0.3165(3)	0.4505(9)	0.5953(5)	1	1.1(1)
O6	4c	0.1859(3)	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.5305(8)	1	0.99(8)
O7	4c	0.4716(4)	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.7348(7)	1	1.7(2)
O8	4c	0.4271(4)	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.5437(8)	1	1.2(2)
O9w	4c	0.5375(4)	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.1007(8)	1	2.0(2)

Table III. Bond valence sums for the strontiodresserite structure. The underlined oxygen atoms indicate the hydroxide oxygens.

Atom	BVS
Sr1 (80.7% Sr + 19.3% Ca)	1.9
Al1	2.7
C1	4.0
C2	4.2
O1	<u>1.2</u>
O2	<u>0.8</u>
O3	1.9
O4	<u>1.1</u>
O5	2.1
O6	<u>0.9</u>
O7	1.7
O8	2.1

Table IV. *Ab initio* optimized atomic coordinates for strontiodresserite.

Atom	Wyckoff position	x	y	z
Sr	4c	0.41416	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.47573
Al	8d	0.20417	0.50175	0.67222
C1	4c	0.55058	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.75132
C2	4c	0.35398	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.58153
O1	4c	0.21453	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.80553
O2	4c	0.16595	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.54708
O3	8d	0.09089	0.54721	0.74019
O4	4c	0.24184	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.78613
O5	8d	0.31547	0.45126	0.59842
O6	4c	0.18791	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.53421
O7	4c	0.47232	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.73442
O8	4c	0.43101	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.54528
O9w	4c	0.54102	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.09722
H1	4c	0.25616	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.88756
H2	4c	0.10565	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.53420
H4	4c	0.28046	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.86935
H6	4c	0.13228	$\frac{3}{4}$	0.48416
H9w	8d	0.52987	0.61027	0.15886

Table V. Selected bond distances derived from the refinement of the experimental data and the DFT-optimized structure.

Bond pair	Experimental (298 K) bond distance (Å)	DTF (0 K) bond distance (Å)
Sr1 - O1	2.645(6)	2.595
Sr1 - O3	2.729(5)	2.732
Sr1 - O5	2.562(5)	2.570
Sr1 - O7	2.564(7)	2.553
Sr1 - O8	2.554(6)	2.500
Al1 - O1 (equatorial)	1.895(5)	1.867
Al1 - O3 (axial)	1.974(5)	1.944
C1 - O3	1.304(9)	1.313
C1 - O7	1.27(2)	1.269
C2 - O5	1.283(8)	1.298
C2 - O8	1.23(1)	1.284
O9w - H9w	-	0.984
H9w - O3	-	2.262
H9w - O7	-	2.247
H6 - O9w	-	1.648
H1 - O6	-	1.620
H4 - O2	-	1.846

Table VI. Selected bond angles from the DTF-optimized structure.

Atom grouping	Bond angle (°)
O1 - H1 ... O6	172
O4 - H4 ... O2	169
O6 - H6 ... O9w	180
O9w - H9w ... O3	131
O9w - H9w ... O7	166
H9w - O9w - H9w	106
H6 ... O9w - H9w	115
H1 ... O6 - H6	97
H4 ... O2 - H2	111

Figure captions

Figure 1. Optical image of strontiodresserite hemispheres embedded in the bulk silicocarbonatite sill rock. The hemispheres are approximately 1mm in diameter.

Figure 2. A SEM micrograph of a crushed strontiodresserite hemisphere.

Figure 3. Rietveld difference plot for the refinement of the strontiodresserite structure. The inset shows a blowup of the region between 100 and 140 $^{\circ}2\theta$.

Figure 4. Polyhedral representation of the experimental strontiodresserite crystal structure.

Figure 5. The hydrogen bonding network in strontiodresserite.